PART 70 OPERATING PERMIT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY

KSI, LLC 1500 Genesis Drive LaPorte, Indiana 46352

(herein known as the Permittee) is hereby authorized to operate subject to the conditions contained herein, the source described in Section A (Source Summary) of this permit.

This permit is issued in accordance with 326 IAC 2 and 40 CFR Part 70 Appendix A and contains the conditions and provisions specified in 326 IAC 2-7 as required by 42 U.S.C. 7401, et. seq. (Clean Air Act as amended by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments), 40 CFR Part 70.6, IC 13-15 and IC 13-17.

Operation Permit No.: T 091-11278-00061

Issued by: Original signed by Janet McCabe Janet G. McCabe, Assistant Commissioner

Office of Air Quality

Issuance Date: March 19, 2002

Expiration Date: March 19, 2007

KSI, LLC Page 2 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A SOURCE SUMMARY

- A.1 General Information [326 IAC 2-7-4(c)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(15)] [326 IAC 2-7-1(22)]
- A.2 Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary [326 IAC 2-7-4(c)(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(15)]
- A.3 Specifically Regulated Insignificant Activities [326 IAC 2-7-1(21)] [326 IAC 2-7-4(c)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(15)
- A.4 Part 70 Permit Applicability [326 IAC 2-7-2]

B GENERAL CONDITIONS

- B.1 Definitions [326 IAC 2-7-1]
- B.2 Permit Term [326 IAC 2-7-5(2)] [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]
- B.3 Enforceability [326 IAC 2-7-7]
- B.4 Termination of Right to Operate [326 IAC 2-7-10] [326 IAC 2-7-4(a)]
- B.5 Severability [326 IAC 2-7-5(5)]
- B.6 Property Rights or Exclusive Privilege [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(D)]
- B.7 Duty to Supplement and Provide Information [326 IAC 2-7-4(b)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(E)] [326 IAC 2-7-6(6)]
- B.8 Compliance with Permit Conditions [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(A)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(B)]
- B.9 Certification [326 IAC 2-7-4(f)] [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)]
- B.10 Annual Compliance Certification [326 IAC 2-7-6(5)]
- B.11 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-7-5(1),(3) and (13)] [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)and(6)] [326 IAC 1-6-3]
- B.12 Emergency Provisions [326 IAC 2-7-16]
- B.13 Permit Shield [326 IAC 2-7-15] [326 IAC 2-7-20] [326 IAC 2-7-12]
- B.14 Prior Permits Superseded [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]
- B.15 Deviations from Permit Requirements and Conditions [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)(ii)]
- B.16 Permit Modification, Reopening, Revocation and Reissuance, or Termination [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(C)] [326 IAC 2-7-8(a)] [326 IAC 2-7-9]
- B.17 Permit Renewal [326 IAC 2-7-4]
- B.18 Permit Amendment or Modification [326 IAC 2-7-11] [326 IAC 2-7-12]
- B.19 Permit Revision Under Economic Incentives and Other Programs [326 IAC 2-7-5(8)] [326 IAC 2-7-12(b)(2)]
- B.20 Operational Flexibility [326 IAC 2-7-20] [326 IAC 2-7-10.5]
- B.21 Source Modification Requirement [326 IAC 2-7-10.5]
- B.22 Inspection and Entry [326 IAC 2-7-6] [IC 13-14-2-2]
- B.23 Transfer of Ownership or Operational Control [326 IAC 2-7-11]
- B.24 Annual Fee Payment [326 IAC 2-7-19] [326 IAC 2-7-5(7)]

C SOURCE OPERATION CONDITIONS

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

- C.1 Particulate Matter Emission Limitations For Processes with Process Weight Rates Less Than One Hundred (100) pounds per hour [326 IAC 6-3-2(c)]
- C.2 Opacity [326 IAC 5-1]
- C.3 Open Burning [326 IAC 4-1] [IC 13-17-9]
- C.4 Incineration [326 IAC 4-2] [326 IAC 9-1-2]
- C.5 Fugitive Dust Emissions [326 IAC 6-4]
- C.6 Operation of Equipment [326 IAC 2-7-6(6)]
- C.7 Asbestos Abatement Projects [326 IAC 14-10] [326 IAC 18] [40 CFR 61, Subpart M]

KSI, LLC Page 3 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]

C.8 Performance Testing [326 IAC 3-6]

Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

C.9 Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)] [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]

- C.10 Compliance Monitoring [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]
- C.11 Maintenance of Emission Monitoring Equipment [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(A)(iii)]
- C.12 Monitoring Methods [326 IAC 3] [40 CFR 60] [40 CFR63]
- C.13 Pressure Gauge and Other Instrument Specifications [326 IAC 2-1.1-11][326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]

Corrective Actions and Response Steps [326 IAC 2-7-5] [326 IAC 2-7-6]

- C.14 Risk Management Plan [326 IAC 2-7-5(12)] [40 CFR 68.215]
- C.15 Compliance Response Plan Preparation, Implementation, Records and Reports [326 IAC 2-7-5] [326 IAC 2-7-6]
- C.16 Actions Related to Noncompliance Demonstrated by a Stack Test [326 IAC 2-7-5][326 IAC 2-7-6]

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-19]

- C.17 Emission Statement [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)(iii)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(7)] [326 IAC 2-7-19(c)][326 IAC 2-6] [326 IAC 2-7-19(e)]
- C.18 General Record Keeping Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-6]
- C.19 General Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)] [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

Stratospheric Ozone Protection

C.20 Compliance with 40 CFR 82 and 326 IAC 22-1

D.1 FACILITY OPERATION CONDITIONS: Chromium electroplating bay and evaporator storage tank

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

- D.1.1 General Provisions Relating to HAPs [326 IAC 20-1-1][40 CFR Part 63, Subpart A]
- D.1.2 Chromium Electroplating and Anodizing NESHAP [326 IAC 20-8-1] [40 CFR Part 63, Subpart N]
- D.1.3 Chromium Emissions Limitation [40 CFR 63.342(c)] [40 CFR 63.343(a)(1)&(2)][326 IAC 20-8-11
- D.1.4 Work Practice Standards [40 CFR 63.342(f)][326 IAC 20-8-1]
- D.1.5 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-7-5(13)]
- D.1.6 Operation and Maintenance Plan [40 CFR 63.342(f)(3)][326 IAC 20-8-1]

Compliance Determination Requirements

D.1.7 Performance Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11] [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)] [40 CFR 63.343(b)(2)] [40 CFR 63.7] [40 CFR 63.344][326 IAC 20-8-1]

Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

D.1.8 Monitoring to Demonstrate Continuous Compliance [326 IAC 2-5.1-3(e)(2)][326 IAC 2-7-6(1)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)] [40 CFR 63.343(c)][326 IAC 20-8-1]

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-19]

- D.1.9 Record Keeping Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [40 CFR 63.346][326 IAC 20-8-1]
- D.1.10 Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 3-6-4(b)] [40 CFR 63.344(a)] [40 CFR 63.345] [40 CFR 63.347][326 IAC 20-8-1]

 KSI, LLC
 Page 4 of 43

 LaPorte, Indiana
 OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

D.2 FACILITY OPERATION CONDITIONS: Insignificant Activities

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

D.2.1 Particulate Matter (PM) [326 IAC 6-3-2]

Compliance Determination Requirements

D.2.2 Particulate Matter (PM)

Certification
Emergency Occurrence Report
Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report
Ongoing Compliance Status Reports

KSI, LLC Page 5 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

SECTION A

SOURCE SUMMARY

This permit is based on information requested by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), Office of Air Quality (OAQ). The information describing the source contained in conditions A.1 through A.3 is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions. However, the Permittee should be aware that a physical change or a change in the method of operation that may render this descriptive information obsolete or inaccurate may trigger requirements for the Permittee to obtain additional permits or seek modification of this permit pursuant to 326 IAC 2, or change other applicable requirements presented in the permit application.

A.1 General Information [326 IAC 2-7-4(c)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(15)] [326 IAC 2-7-1(22)]

The Permittee owns and operates stationary hard chrome electroplating source.

Responsible Official: Henry C. Winters

Source Address: 1500 Genesis Drive, LaPorte, Indiana 46352 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1825, LaPorte, Indiana 46352

General Source Phone Number: (219) 324-2101

SIC Code: 3471 County Location: LaPorte

Source Location Status: Attainment for all criteria pollutants

Source Status: Part 70 Permit Program

Minor Source, under PSD Rules;

Minor Source, Section 112 of the Clean Air Act

A.2 Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Summary [326 IAC 2-7-4(c)(3)]

[326 IAC 2-7-5(15)]

This stationary source consists of the following emission units and pollution control devices:

- (a) One (1) chromium electroplating bay, identified as BAY 3, consisting of the following:
 - (1) One (1) reverse etch tank, identified as T6, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 15,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 88,200,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SE, as control, and exhausting to stack SEX.
 - (2) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T7, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SE, as control, and exhausting to stack SEX.
 - (3) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T8, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SE, as control, and exhausting to stack SEX.
 - (4) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T9, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SW, as control, and exhausting to stack SWX.

KSI, LLC Page 6 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

(5) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T10, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amphours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SW, as control, and exhausting to stack SWX.

- (6) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T11, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amphours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SW, as control, and exhausting to stack SWX.
- (7) One (1) phosphorus strip tank, identified as T14.
- (8) Three (3) counter flow rinse tanks, identified as T3, T4 and T13, equipped with two (2) evaporators, identified as E1 and E2, and a mist eliminator, and exhausting to stack E2X/E3X.
- (9) One (1) spray rinse tank, identified as T12.
- (10) One (1) rinse tank, identified as T5.
- (11) One (1) electroclean tank, identified as T2, equipped with an evaporator, identified as E3, and a mist eliminator, and exhausting to stack E1X.
- (12) One (1) load tank, identified as T1.
- (b) One (1) vertical above ground evaporator storage tank, identified as T-4, constructed in 1998, for storing chromic acid and hydrochloric acid, capacity: 2,100 gallons.
- A.3 Specifically Regulated Insignificant Activities [326 IAC 2-7-1(21)] [326 IAC 2-7-4(c)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(15)]

This stationary source also includes the following insignificant activities which are specifically regulated, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(21):

- (a) The following equipment related to manufacturing activities not resulting in the emission of HAPs: brazing equipment, cutting torches, soldering equipment, welding equipment. [326 IAC 6-3-2]
- (b) Grinding and machining operations controlled with fabric filters, scrubbers, mist collectors, wet collectors and electrostatic precipitators with a design grain loading of less than or equal to 0.03 grains per actual cubic foot and a gas flow rate less than or equal to 4,000 actual cubic feet per minute, including the following: deburring; buffing; polishing; abrasive blasting; pneumatic conveying; and woodworking operations. [326 IAC 6-3-2]

A.4 Part 70 Permit Applicability [326 IAC 2-7-2]

This stationary source is required to have a Part 70 permit by 326 IAC 2-7-2 (Applicability) because:

- (a) It is a major source, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(22);
- (b) It is a source in a source category designated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) under 40 CFR 70.3 (Part 70 Applicability).

KSI, LLC Page 7 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

SECTION B

GENERAL CONDITIONS

B.1 Definitions [326 IAC 2-7-1]

Terms in this permit shall have the definition assigned to such terms in the referenced regulation. In the absence of definitions in the referenced regulation, the applicable definitions found in the statutes or regulations (IC 13-11, 326 IAC 1-2 and 326 IAC 2-7) shall prevail.

B.2 Permit Term [326 IAC 2-7-5(2)] [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]

This permit is issued for a fixed term of five (5) years from the original date, as determined in accordance with IC 4-21.5-3-5(f) and IC 13-15-5-3. Subsequent revisions, modifications, or amendments of this permit do not affect the expiration date.

B.3 Enforceability [326 IAC 2-7-7]

Unless otherwise stated, all terms and conditions in this permit, including any provisions designed to limit the source's potential to emit, are enforceable by IDEM, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) and by citizens in accordance with the Clean Air Act.

B.4 Termination of Right to Operate [326 IAC 2-7-10] [326 IAC 2-7-4(a)]

The Permittee's right to operate this source terminates with the expiration of this permit unless a timely and complete renewal application is submitted at least nine (9) months prior to the date of expiration of the source's existing permit, consistent with 326 IAC 2-7-3 and 326 IAC 2-7-4(a).

B.5 Severability [326 IAC 2-7-5(5)]

The provisions of this permit are severable; a determination that any portion of this permit is invalid shall not affect the validity of the remainder of the permit.

B.6 Property Rights or Exclusive Privilege [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(D)]

This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege.

B.7 Duty to Supplement and Provide Information [326 IAC 2-7-4(b)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(E)] [326 IAC 2-7-6(6)]

(a) The Permittee, upon becoming aware that any relevant facts were omitted or incorrect information was submitted in the permit application, shall promptly submit such supplementary facts or corrected information to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Permits Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P. O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

The submittal by the Permittee does require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (b) The Permittee shall furnish to IDEM, OAQ, within a reasonable time, any information that IDEM, OAQ, may request in writing to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The submittal by the Permittee does require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34). Upon request, the Permittee shall also furnish to IDEM, OAQ, copies of records required to be kept by this permit or, for information claimed to be confidential, the Permittee may furnish such records directly to the U. S. EPA along with a claim of confidentiality. [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(E)]
- (c) The Permittee may include a claim of confidentiality in accordance with 326 IAC 17.1. When furnishing copies of requested records directly to U. S. EPA, the Permittee may

assert a claim of confidentiality in accordance with 40 CFR 2, Subpart B.

B.8 Compliance with Permit Conditions [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(A)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(B)]

- (a) The Permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Noncompliance with any provisions of this permit is grounds for:
 - (1) Enforcement action;
 - (2) Permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or
 - (3) Denial of a permit renewal application.
- (b) Noncompliance with any provisions of this permit, except any provision specifically designated as not federally enforceable, constitutes a violation of the Clean Air Act.
- (c) It shall not be a defense for the Permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.
- (d) An emergency does constitute an affirmative defense in an enforcement action provided the Permittee complies with the applicable requirements set forth in Section B, Emergency Provisions.

B.9 Certification [326 IAC 2-7-4(f)] [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)]

- (a) Where specifically designated by this permit or required by an applicable requirement, any application form, report, or compliance certification submitted shall contain certification by a responsible official of truth, accuracy, and completeness. This certification shall state that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in the document are true, accurate, and complete.
- (b) One (1) certification shall be included, using the attached Certification Form, with each submittal requiring certification.
- (c) A responsible official is defined at 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

B.10 Annual Compliance Certification [326 IAC 2-7-6(5)]

(a) The Permittee shall annually submit a compliance certification report which addresses the status of the source's compliance with the terms and conditions contained in this permit, including emission limitations, standards, or work practices. The initial certification shall cover the time period from the date of final permit issuance through December 31 of the same year. All subsequent certifications shall cover the time period from January 1 to December 31 of the previous year, and shall be submitted in letter form no later than July 1 of each year to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P. O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

and

> United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region V Air and Radiation Division, Air Enforcement Branch - Indiana (AE-17J) 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

- (b) The annual compliance certification report required by this permit shall be considered timely if the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ, on or before the date it is due.
- (c) The annual compliance certification report shall include the following:
 - (1) The appropriate identification of each term or condition of this permit that is the basis of the certification;
 - (2) The compliance status;
 - (3) Whether compliance was continuous or intermittent;
 - (4) The methods used for determining the compliance status of the source, currently and over the reporting period consistent with 326 IAC 2-7-5(3); and
 - (5) Such other facts, as specified in Sections D of this permit, as IDEM, OAQ, may require to determine the compliance status of the source.

The submittal by the Permittee does require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

B.11 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-7-5(1),(3) and (13)] [326 IAC 2-7-6(1) and (6)] [326 IAC 1-6-3]

- (a) If required by specific condition(s) in Section D of this permit, the Permittee shall prepare and maintain Preventive Maintenance Plans (PMPs) within ninety (90) days after issuance of this permit, including the following information on each facility:
 - (1) Identification of the individual(s) responsible for inspecting, maintaining, and repairing emission control devices:
 - (2) A description of the items or conditions that will be inspected and the inspection schedule for said items or conditions; and
 - (3) Identification and quantification of the replacement parts that will be maintained in inventory for quick replacement.

If, due to circumstances beyond the Permittee's control, the PMPs cannot be prepared and maintained within the above time frame, the Permittee may extend the date an additional ninety (90) days provided the Permittee notifies:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P. O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

The PMP and the PMP extension notification do not require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (b) The Permittee shall implement the PMPs as necessary to ensure that failure to implement a PMP does not cause or contribute to a violation of any limitation on emissions or potential to emit.
- (c) A copy of the PMPs shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ, upon request and within a reasonable time, and shall be subject to review and approval by IDEM, OAQ. IDEM, OAQ, may require the Permittee to revise its PMPs whenever lack of proper maintenance causes or contributes to any violation. The PMP does not require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).
- (d) Records of preventive maintenance shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years. These records shall be kept at the source location for a minimum of three (3) years. The records may be stored elsewhere for the remaining two (2) years as long as they are available upon request. If the Commissioner makes a request for records to the Permittee, the Permittee shall furnish the records to the Commissioner within a reasonable time.

B.12 Emergency Provisions [326 IAC 2-7-16]

- (a) An emergency, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(12), is not an affirmative defense for an action brought for noncompliance with a federal or state health-based emission limitation.
- (b) An emergency, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(12), constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with a technology-based emission limitation if the affirmative defense of an emergency is demonstrated through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs or other relevant evidence that describe the following:
 - (1) An emergency occurred and the Permittee can, to the extent possible, identify the causes of the emergency;
 - (2) The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated;
 - (3) During the period of an emergency, the Permittee took all reasonable steps to minimize levels of emissions that exceeded the emission standards or other requirements in this permit;
 - (4) For each emergency lasting one (1) hour or more, the Permittee notified IDEM, OAQ, within four (4) daytime business hours after the beginning of the emergency, or after the emergency was discovered or reasonably should have been discovered;

Telephone Number: 1-800-451-6027 (ask for Office of Air Quality, Compliance Section), or

Telephone Number: 317-233-5674 (ask for Compliance Section)

Facsimile Number: 317-233-5967

(5) For each emergency lasting one (1) hour or more, the Permittee submitted the attached Emergency Occurrence Report Form or its equivalent, either by mail or facsimile to:

> Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P. O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

within two (2) working days of the time when emission limitations were exceeded due to the emergency.

The notice fulfills the requirement of 326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)(ii) and must contain the following:

- (A) A description of the emergency;
- (B) Any steps taken to mitigate the emissions; and
- (C) Corrective actions taken.

The notification which shall be submitted by the Permittee does not require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (6) The Permittee immediately took all reasonable steps to correct the emergency.
- (c) In any enforcement proceeding, the Permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an emergency has the burden of proof.
- (d) This emergency provision supersedes 326 IAC 1-6 (Malfunctions). This permit condition is in addition to any emergency or upset provision contained in any applicable requirement.
- (e) IDEM, OAQ, may require that the Preventive Maintenance Plans required under 326 IAC 2-7-4-(c)(10) be revised in response to an emergency.
- (f) Failure to notify IDEM, OAQ, by telephone or facsimile of an emergency lasting more than one (1) hour in accordance with (b)(4) and (5) of this condition shall constitute a violation of 326 IAC 2-7 and any other applicable rules.
- (g) If the emergency situation causes a deviation from a technology-based limit, the Permittee may continue to operate the affected emitting facilities during the emergency provided the Permittee immediately takes all reasonable steps to correct the emergency and minimize emissions.

B.13 Permit Shield [326 IAC 2-7-15] [326 IAC 2-7-20] [326 IAC 2-7-12]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-7-15, the Permittee has been granted a permit shield. The permit shield provides that compliance with the conditions of this permit shall be deemed in compliance with any applicable requirements as of the date of permit issuance, provided that either the applicable requirements are included and specifically identified in this permit or the permit contains an explicit determination or concise summary of a determination that other specifically identified requirements are not applicable. The Indiana statutes from IC 13 and rules from 326 IAC, referenced in conditions in this permit, are those applicable at the time the permit was issued. The issuance or possession of this permit shall not alone constitute a defense against an alleged violation of any law, regulation or standard, except for the requirement to obtain a Part 70 permit under 326 IAC 2-7 or for applicable requirements for which a permit shield has been granted.

This permit shield does not extend to applicable requirements which are promulgated after

the date of issuance of this permit unless this permit has been modified to reflect such new requirements.

- (b) If, after issuance of this permit, it is determined that the permit is in nonconformance with an applicable requirement that applied to the source on the date of permit issuance, IDEM, OAQ, shall immediately take steps to reopen and revise this permit and issue a compliance order to the Permittee to ensure expeditious compliance with the applicable requirement until the permit is reissued. The permit shield shall continue in effect so long as the Permittee is in compliance with the compliance order.
- (c) No permit shield shall apply to any permit term or condition that is determined after issuance of this permit to have been based on erroneous information supplied in the permit application. Erroneous information means information that the Permittee knew to be false, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have been known to be false, at the time the information was submitted.
- (d) Nothing in 326 IAC 2-7-15 or in this permit shall alter or affect the following:
 - (1) The provisions of Section 303 of the Clean Air Act (emergency orders), including the authority of the U.S. EPA under Section 303 of the Clean Air Act;
 - (2) The liability of the Permittee for any violation of applicable requirements prior to or at the time of this permit's issuance;
 - (3) The applicable requirements of the acid rain program, consistent with Section 408(a) of the Clean Air Act; and
 - (4) The ability of U.S. EPA to obtain information from the Permittee under Section 114 of the Clean Air Act.
- (e) This permit shield is not applicable to any change made under 326 IAC 2-7-20(b)(2) (Sections 502(b)(10) of the Clean Air Act changes) and 326 IAC 2-7-20(c)(2) (trading based on State Implementation Plan (SIP) provisions).
- (f) This permit shield is not applicable to modifications eligible for group processing until after IDEM, OAQ, has issued the modifications. [326 IAC 2-7-12(c)(7)]
- (g) This permit shield is not applicable to minor Part 70 permit modifications until after IDEM, OAQ, has issued the modification. [326 IAC 2-7-12(b)(7)]

B.14 Prior Permits Superseded [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]

- (a) All terms and conditions of previous permits issued pursuant to permitting programs approved into the state implementation plan have been either
 - (1) incorporated as originally stated,
 - (2) revised, or
 - (3) deleted

by this permit.

(b) All previous registrations and permits are superseded by this permit.

KSI, LLC Page 13 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

B.15 Deviations from Permit Requirements and Conditions [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)(ii)]

(a) Deviations from any permit requirements (for emergencies see Section B - Emergency Provisions), the probable cause of such deviations, and any response steps or preventive measures taken shall be reported to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

using the attached Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report, or its equivalent. A deviation required to be reported pursuant to an applicable requirement that exists independent of this permit, shall be reported according to the schedule stated in the applicable requirement and does not need to be included in this report.

The Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report does require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (b) A deviation is an exceedance of a permit limitation or a failure to comply with a requirement of the permit.
- (c) Emergencies shall be included in the Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report.
- B.16 Permit Modification, Reopening, Revocation and Reissuance, or Termination [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(C)] [326 IAC 2-7-8(a)] [326 IAC 2-7-9]
 - (a) This permit may be modified, reopened, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the Permittee for a Part 70 permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or of a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any condition of this permit. [326 IAC 2-7-5(6)(C)] The notification by the Permittee does require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).
 - (b) This permit shall be reopened and revised under any of the circumstances listed in IC 13-15-7-2 or if IDEM, OAQ, determines any of the following:
 - (1) That this permit contains a material mistake.
 - (2) That inaccurate statements were made in establishing the emissions standards or other terms or conditions.
 - (3) That this permit must be revised or revoked to assure compliance with an applicable requirement. [326 IAC 2-7-9(a)(3)]
 - (c) Proceedings by IDEM, OAQ, to reopen and revise this permit shall follow the same procedures as apply to initial permit issuance and shall affect only those parts of this permit for which cause to reopen exists. Such reopening and revision shall be made as expeditiously as practicable. [326 IAC 2-7-9(b)]
 - (d) The reopening and revision of this permit, under 326 IAC 2-7-9(a), shall not be initiated before notice of such intent is provided to the Permittee by IDEM, OAQ, at least thirty (30) days in advance of the date this permit is to be reopened, except that IDEM, OAQ, may provide a shorter time period in the case of an emergency. [326 IAC 2-7-9(c)]

B.17 Permit Renewal [326 IAC 2-7-4]

(a) The application for renewal shall be submitted using the application form or forms prescribed by IDEM, OAQ, and shall include the information specified in 326 IAC 2-7-4. Such information shall be included in the application for each emission unit at this source, except those emission units included on the trivial or insignificant activities list contained in 326 IAC 2-7-1(21) and 326 IAC 2-7-1(40). The renewal application does require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

Request for renewal shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Permits Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

- (b) Timely Submittal of Permit Renewal [326 IAC 2-7-4(a)(1)(D)]
 - (1) A timely renewal application is one that is:
 - (A) Submitted at least nine (9) months prior to the date of the expiration of this permit; and
 - (B) If the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ, on or before the date it is due.
 - (2) If IDEM, OAQ, upon receiving a timely and complete permit application, fails to issue or deny the permit renewal prior to the expiration date of this permit, this existing permit shall not expire and all terms and conditions shall continue in effect, including any permit shield provided in 326 IAC 2-7-15, until the renewal permit has been issued or denied.
- (c) Right to Operate After Application for Renewal [326 IAC 2-7-3] If the Permittee submits a timely and complete application for renewal of this permit, the source's failure to have a permit is not a violation of 326 IAC 2-7 until IDEM, OAQ, takes final action on the renewal application, except that this protection shall cease to apply if, subsequent to the completeness determination, the Permittee fails to submit by the deadline specified in writing by IDEM, OAQ, any additional information identified as being needed to process the application.
- (d) United States Environmental Protection Agency Authority [326 IAC 2-7-8(e)] If IDEM, OAQ, fails to act in a timely way on a Part 70 permit renewal, the U.S. EPA may invoke its authority under Section 505(e) of the Clean Air Act to terminate or revoke and reissue a Part 70 permit.

B.18 Permit Amendment or Modification [326 IAC 2-7-11] [326 IAC 2-7-12]

- (a) Permit amendments and modifications are governed by the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-11 or 326 IAC 2-7-12 whenever the Permittee seeks to amend or modify this permit.
- (b) Any application requesting an amendment or modification of this permit shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management

> Permits Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

Any such application shall be certified by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (c) The Permittee may implement administrative amendment changes addressed in the request for an administrative amendment immediately upon submittal of the request. [326 IAC 2-7-11(c)(3)]
- B.19 Permit Revision Under Economic Incentives and Other Programs [326 IAC 2-7-5(8)] [326 IAC 2-7-12(b)(2)]
 - (a) No Part 70 permit revision shall be required under any approved economic incentives, marketable Part 70 permits, emissions trading, and other similar programs or processes for changes that are provided for in a Part 70 permit.
 - (b) Notwithstanding 326 IAC 2-7-12(b)(1)(D)(i) and 326 IAC 2-7-12(c)(1), minor Part 70 permit modification procedures may be used for Part 70 modifications involving the use of economic incentives, marketable Part 70 permits, emissions trading, and other similar approaches to the extent that such minor Part 70 permit modification procedures are explicitly provided for in the applicable State Implementation Plan (SIP) or in applicable requirements promulgated or approved by the U.S. EPA.
- B.20 Operational Flexibility [326 IAC 2-7-20] [326 IAC 2-7-10.5]
 - (a) The Permittee may make any change or changes at the source that are described in 326 IAC 2-7-20(b), (c), or (e), without a prior permit revision, if each of the following conditions is met:
 - (1) The changes are not modifications under any provision of Title I of the Clean Air Act;
 - (2) Any preconstruction approval required by 326 IAC 2-7-10.5 has been obtained;
 - (3) The changes do not result in emissions which exceed the emissions allowable under this permit (whether expressed herein as a rate of emissions or in terms of total emissions):
 - (4) The Permittee notifies the:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Permits Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P. O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

and

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region V Air and Radiation Division, Regulation Development Branch - Indiana (AR-18J) 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

in advance of the change by written notification at least ten (10) days in advance copy of this permit; and

Page 16 of 43 OP T 091-11278-00061

KSI, LLC LaPorte, Indiana Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

(5) The Permittee maintains records on-site which document, on a rolling five (5) year basis, all such changes and emissions trading that are subject to 326 IAC 2-7-20 (b), (c), or (e) and makes such records available, upon reasonable request, for public review.

Such records shall consist of all information required to be submitted to IDEM, OAQ, in the notices specified in 326 IAC 2-7-20(b), (c)(1), and (e)(2).

- (b) The Permittee may make Section 502(b)(10) of the Clean Air Act changes (this term is defined at 326 IAC 2-7-1(36)) without a permit revision, subject to the constraint of 326 IAC 2-7-20(a). For each such Section 502(b)(10) of the Clean Air Act change, the required written notification shall include the following:
 - (1) A brief description of the change within the source;
 - (2) The date on which the change will occur;
 - (3) Any change in emissions; and
 - (4) Any permit term or condition that is no longer applicable as a result of the change.

The notification which shall be submitted is not considered an application form, report or compliance certification. Therefore, the notification by the Permittee does not require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (c) Emission Trades [326 IAC 2-7-20(c)]
 The Permittee may trade increases and decreases in emissions in the source, where the applicable SIP provides for such emission trades without requiring a permit revision, subject to the constraints of Section (a) of this condition and those in 326 IAC 2-7-20(c).
- (d) Alternative Operating Scenarios [326 IAC 2-7-20(d)]

 The Permittee may make changes at the source within the range of alternative operating scenarios that are described in the terms and conditions of this permit in accordance with 326 IAC 2-7-5(9). No prior notification of IDEM, OAQ, or U.S. EPA is required.
- B.21 Source Modification Requirement [326 IAC 2-7-10.5]

A modification, construction, or reconstruction is governed by 326 IAC 2 and 326 IAC 2-7-10.5.

B.22 Inspection and Entry [326 IAC 2-7-6] [IC 13-14-2-2]

Upon presentation of proper identification cards, credentials, and other documents as may be required by law, and subject to the Permittee's right under all applicable laws and regulations to assert that the information collected by the agency is confidential and entitled to be treated as such, the Permittee shall allow IDEM, OAQ, U.S. EPA, or an authorized representative to perform the following:

- (a) Enter upon the Permittee's premises where a Part 70 source is located, or emissions related activity is conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- (b) Have access to and copy any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- (c) Inspect any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and air pollution control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit;

KSI, LLC Page 17 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

(d) Sample or monitor substances or parameters for the purpose of assuring compliance with this permit or applicable requirements; and

(e) Utilize any photographic, recording, testing, monitoring, or other equipment for the purpose of assuring compliance with this permit or applicable requirements.

B.23 Transfer of Ownership or Operational Control [326 IAC 2-7-11]

- (a) The Permittee must comply with the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-11 whenever the Permittee seeks to change the ownership or operational control of the source and no other change in the permit is necessary.
- (b) Any application requesting a change in the ownership or operational control of the source shall contain a written agreement containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage and liability between the current and new Permittee. The application shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Permits Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

The application which shall be submitted by the Permittee does require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

(c) The Permittee may implement administrative amendment changes addressed in the request for an administrative amendment immediately upon submittal of the request. [326 IAC 2-7-11(c)(3)]

B.24 Annual Fee Payment [326 IAC 2-7-19] [326 IAC 2-7-5(7)]

- (a) The Permittee shall pay annual fees to IDEM, OAQ, within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of a billing. Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-7-19(b), if the Permittee does not receive a bill from IDEM, OAQ, the applicable fee is due April 1 of each year.
- (b) Except as provided in 326 IAC 2-7-19(e), failure to pay may result in administrative enforcement action or revocation of this permit.
- (c) The Permittee may call the following telephone numbers: 1-800-451-6027 or 317-233-0425 (ask for OAQ, Technical Support and Modeling Section), to determine the appropriate permit fee.

SECTION C

SOURCE OPERATION CONDITIONS

Entire Source

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

C.1 Particulate Matter Emission Limitations For Processes with Process Weight Rates Less Than One Hundred (100) pounds per hour [326 IAC 6-3-2(c)]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-2(c), the allowable particulate matter emissions rate from any process not already regulated by 326 IAC 6-1 or any New Source Performance Standard, and which has a maximum process weight rate less than 100 pounds per hour shall not exceed 0.551 pounds per hour.

C.2 Opacity [326 IAC 5-1]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 5-1-2 (Opacity Limitations), except as provided in 326 IAC 5-1-3 (Temporary Alternative Opacity Limitations), opacity shall meet the following, unless otherwise stated in this permit:

- (a) Opacity shall not exceed an average of forty percent (40%) in any one (1) six (6) minute averaging period as determined in 326 IAC 5-1-4.
- (b) Opacity shall not exceed sixty percent (60%) for more than a cumulative total of fifteen (15) minutes (sixty (60) readings as measured according to 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, Method 9 or fifteen (15) one (1) minute nonoverlapping integrated averages for a continuous opacity monitor) in a six (6) hour period.

C.3 Open Burning [326 IAC 4-1] [IC 13-17-9]

The Permittee shall not open burn any material except as provided in 326 IAC 4-1-3, 326 IAC 4-1-4 or 326 IAC 4-1-6. The previous sentence notwithstanding, the Permittee may open burn in accordance with an open burning approval issued by the Commissioner under 326 IAC 4-1-4.1. 326 IAC 4-1-3 (a)(2)(A) and (B) are not federally enforceable.

C.4 Incineration [326 IAC 4-2] [326 IAC 9-1-2]

The Permittee shall not operate an incinerator or incinerate any waste or refuse except as provided in 326 IAC 4-2 and 326 IAC 9-1-2. 326 IAC 9-1-2 is not federally enforceable.

C.5 Fugitive Dust Emissions [326 IAC 6-4]

The Permittee shall not allow fugitive dust to escape beyond the property line or boundaries of the property, right-of-way, or easement on which the source is located, in a manner that would violate 326 IAC 6-4 (Fugitive Dust Emissions). 326 IAC 6-4-2(4) is not federally enforceable.

C.6 Operation of Equipment [326 IAC 2-7-6(6)]

Except as otherwise provided by statute or rule, or in this permit, all air pollution control equipment listed in this permit and used to comply with an applicable requirement shall be operated at all times that the emission units vented to the control equipment are in operation.

C.7 Asbestos Abatement Projects [326 IAC 14-10] [326 IAC 18] [40 CFR 61, Subpart M]

(a) Notification requirements apply to each owner or operator. If the combined amount of regulated asbestos containing material (RACM) to be stripped, removed or disturbed is at least 260 linear feet on pipes or 160 square feet on other facility components, or at least thirty-five (35) cubic feet on all facility components, then the notification requirements of 326 IAC 14-10-3 are mandatory. All demolition projects require notification whether or not asbestos is present.

KSI, LLC Page 19 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

(b) The Permittee shall ensure that a written notification is sent on a form provided by the Commissioner at least ten (10) working days before asbestos stripping or removal work or before demolition begins, per 326 IAC 14-10-3, and shall update such notice as necessary, including, but not limited to the following:

- (1) When the amount of affected asbestos containing material increases or decreases by at least twenty percent (20%); or
- (2) If there is a change in the following:
 - (A) Asbestos removal or demolition start date;
 - (B) Removal or demolition contractor; or
 - (C) Waste disposal site.
- (c) The Permittee shall ensure that the notice is postmarked or delivered according to the guidelines set forth in 326 IAC 14-10-3(2).
- (d) The notice to be submitted shall include the information enumerated in 326 IAC 14-10-3(3).

All required notifications shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Asbestos Section, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

The notice shall include a signed certification from the owner or operator that the information provided in this notification is correct and that only Indiana licensed workers and project supervisors will be used to implement the asbestos removal project. The notifications do not require a certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (e) Procedures for Asbestos Emission Control
 The Permittee shall comply with the applicable emission control procedures in 326 IAC 1410-4 and 40 CFR 61.145(c). Per 326 IAC 14-10-4, emission control requirements are
 applicable for any removal or disturbance of RACM greater than three (3) linear feet on
 pipes or three (3) square feet on any other facility components or a total of at least 0.75
 cubic feet on all facility components.
- (f) Indiana Accredited Asbestos Inspector
 The Permittee shall comply with 326 IAC 14-10-1(a) that requires the owner or operator,
 prior to a renovation/demolition, to use an Indiana Accredited Asbestos Inspector to thoroughly inspect the affected portion of the facility for the presence of asbestos. The requirement that the inspector be accredited, pursuant to the provisions of 40 CFR 61, Subpart M,
 is federally enforceable.

Testing Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]

C.8 Performance Testing [326 IAC 3-6]

(a) All testing shall be performed according to the provisions of 326 IAC 3-6 (Source Sampling Procedures), except as provided elsewhere in this permit, utilizing any applicable procedures and analysis methods specified in 40 CFR 51, 40 CFR 60, 40 CFR 61, 40 CFR 63,

40 CFR 75, or other procedures approved by IDEM, OAQ.

A test protocol, except as provided elsewhere in this permit, shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P. O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

no later than thirty-five (35) days prior to the intended test date. The protocol submitted by the Permittee does not require certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (b) The Permittee shall notify IDEM, OAQ of the actual test date at least fourteen (14) days prior to the actual test date. The notification submitted by the Permittee does not require certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).
- (c) Pursuant to 326 IAC 3-6-4(b), all test reports must be received by IDEM, OAQ not later than forty-five (45) days after the completion of the testing. An extension may be granted by IDEM, OAQ, if the source submits to IDEM, OAQ, a reasonable written explanation not later than five (5) days prior to the end of the initial forty-five (45) day period.

Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

C.9 Compliance Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

The commissioner may require stack testing, monitoring, or reporting at any time to assure compliance with all applicable requirements. Any monitoring or testing shall be performed in accordance with 326 IAC 3 or other methods approved by the commissioner or the U. S. EPA.

Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)] [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]

C.10 Compliance Monitoring [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]

Unless otherwise specified in this permit, all monitoring and record keeping requirements not already legally required shall be implemented within ninety (90) days of permit issuance. If required by Section D, the Permittee shall be responsible for installing any necessary equipment and initiating any required monitoring related to that equipment. If due to circumstances beyond its control, that equipment cannot be installed and operated within ninety (90) days, the Permittee may extend the compliance schedule related to the equipment for an additional ninety (90) days provided the Permittee notifies:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P. O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

in writing, prior to the end of the initial ninety (90) day compliance schedule, with full justification of the reasons for the inability to meet this date.

The notification which shall be submitted by the Permittee does require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

Unless otherwise specified in the approval for the new emission unit(s), compliance monitoring for new emission units or emission units added through a source modification shall be implemented when operation begins.

KSI, LLC Page 21 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

C.11 Maintenance of Emission Monitoring Equipment [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(A)(iii)]

(a) In the event that a breakdown of the emission monitoring equipment occurs, a record shall be made of the times and reasons of the breakdown and efforts made to correct the problem. To the extent practicable, supplemental or intermittent monitoring of the parameter should be implemented at intervals no less frequent than required in Section D of this permit until such time as the monitoring equipment is back in operation. In the case of continuous monitoring, supplemental or intermittent monitoring of the parameter should be implemented at intervals no less often than once an hour until such time as the continuous monitor is back in operation.

(b) The Permittee shall install, calibrate, quality assure, maintain, and operate all necessary monitors and related equipment. In addition, prompt corrective action shall be initiated whenever indicated.

C.12 Monitoring Methods [326 IAC 3] [40 CFR 60] [40 CFR 63]

Any monitoring or testing required by Section D of this permit shall be performed according to the provisions of 326 IAC 3, 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, 40 CFR 60 Appendix B, 40 CFR 63, or other approved methods as specified in this permit.

- C.13 Pressure Gauge and Other Instrument Specifications [326 IAC 2-1.1-11] [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]
 - (a) Whenever a condition in this permit requires the measurement of pressure drop across any part of the unit or its control device, the gauge employed shall have a scale such that the expected normal reading shall be no less than twenty percent (20%) of full scale and be accurate within plus or minus two percent (±2%) of full scale reading.
 - (b) The Permittee may request the IDEM, OAQ approve the use of a pressure gauge or other instrument that does not meet the above specifications provided the Permittee can demonstrate an alternative pressure gauge or other instrument specification will adequately ensure compliance with permit conditions requiring the measurement of pressure drop or other parameters.

Corrective Actions and Response Steps [326 IAC 2-7-5] [326 IAC 2-7-6]

C.14 Risk Management Plan [326 IAC 2-7-5(12)] [40 CFR 68.215]

If a regulated substance, subject to 40 CFR 68, is present at a source in more than a threshold quantity, 40 CFR 68 is an applicable requirement and the Permittee shall submit:

- (a) A compliance schedule for meeting the requirements of 40 CFR 68; or
- (b) As a part of the annual compliance certification submitted under 326 IAC 2-7-6(5), a certification statement that the source is in compliance with all the requirements of 40 CFR 68, including the registration and submission of a Risk Management Plan (RMP).

All documents submitted pursuant to this condition shall include the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

C.15 Compliance Response Plan - Preparation, Implementation, Records and Reports [326 IAC 2-7-5] [326 IAC 2-7-6]

(a) The Permittee is required to prepare a Compliance Response Plan (CRP) for each compliance monitoring condition of this permit. A CRP shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ upon request. The CRP shall be prepared within ninety (90) days after issuance of this permit by the Permittee, supplemented from time to time by the Permittee, maintained on

KSI. LLC Page 22 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

site, and comprised of:

(1) Reasonable response steps that may be implemented in the event that a response step is needed pursuant to the requirements of Section D of this permit; and an expected timeframe for taking reasonable response steps.

- (2) If, at any time, the Permittee takes reasonable response steps that are not set forth in the Permittee's current Compliance Response Plan and the Permittee documents such response in accordance with subsection (e) below, the Permittee shall amend its Compliance Response Plan to include such response steps taken.
- (b) For each compliance monitoring condition of this permit, reasonable response steps shall be taken when indicated by the provisions of that compliance monitoring condition as follows:
 - Reasonable response steps shall be taken as set forth in the Permittee's current (1) Compliance Response Plan; or
 - (2) If none of the reasonable response steps listed in the Compliance Response Plan is applicable or responsive to the excursion, the Permittee shall devise and implement additional response steps as expeditiously as practical. Taking such additional response steps shall not be considered a deviation from this permit so long as the Permittee documents such response steps in accordance with this condition.
 - (3) If the Permittee determines that additional response steps would necessitate that the emissions unit or control device be shut down, the IDEM, OAQ shall be promptly notified of the expected date of the shut down, the status of the applicable compliance monitoring parameter with respect to normal, and the results of the actions taken up to the time of notification.
 - (4) Failure to take reasonable response steps shall constitute a violation of the permit.
- The Permittee is not required to take any further response steps for any of the following (c) reasons:
 - A false reading occurs due to the malfunction of the monitoring equipment and (1) prompt action was taken to correct the monitoring equipment.
 - (2)The Permittee has determined that the compliance monitoring parameters established in the permit conditions are technically inappropriate, has previously submitted a request for a minor permit modification to the permit, and such request has not been denied.
 - (3) An automatic measurement was taken when the process was not operating.
 - The process has already returned or is returning to operating within "normal" (4) parameters and no response steps are required.
- When implementing reasonable steps in response to a compliance monitoring condition, (d) if the Permittee determines that an exceedance of an emission limitation has occurred, the Permittee shall report such deviations pursuant to Section B-Deviations from Permit Requirements and Conditions.

- (e) The Permittee shall record all instances when response steps are taken. In the event of an emergency, the provisions of 326 IAC 2-7-16 (Emergency Provisions) requiring prompt corrective action to mitigate emissions shall prevail.
- (f) Except as otherwise provided by a rule or provided specifically in Section D, all monitoring as required in Section D shall be performed when the emission unit is operating, except for time necessary to perform quality assurance and maintenance activities.

C.16 Actions Related to Noncompliance Demonstrated by a Stack Test [326 IAC 2-7-5][326 IAC 2-7-6]

- (a) When the results of a stack test performed in conformance with Section C Performance Testing, of this permit exceed the level specified in any condition of this permit, the Permittee shall take appropriate response actions. The Permittee shall submit a description of these response actions to IDEM, OAQ, within thirty (30) days of receipt of the test results. The Permittee shall take appropriate action to minimize excess emissions from the affected facility while the response actions are being implemented.
- (b) A retest to demonstrate compliance shall be performed within one hundred twenty (120) days of receipt of the original test results. Should the Permittee demonstrate to IDEM, OAQ that retesting in one-hundred and twenty (120) days is not practicable, IDEM, OAQ may extend the retesting deadline.
- (c) IDEM, OAQ reserves the authority to take any actions allowed under law in response to noncompliant stack tests.

The documents submitted pursuant to this condition do require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-19]

- C.17 Emission Statement [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)(iii)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(7)] [326 IAC 2-7-19(c)] [326 IAC 2-6] [326 IAC 2-7-19 (e)]
 - (a) The Permittee shall submit an annual emission statement certified pursuant to the requirements of 326 IAC 2-6, that must be received by July 1 of each year and must comply with the minimum requirements specified in 326 IAC 2-6-4. The annual emission statement shall meet the following requirements and be used for the purpose of a Part 70 fee assessment:
 - (1) Indicate estimated actual emissions of criteria pollutants from the source;
 - (2) Indicate estimated actual emissions of other regulated pollutants (as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1) from the source, for purposes of Part 70 fee assessment.
 - (b) The annual emission statement covers the twelve (12) consecutive month time period starting January 1 and ending December 31. The annual emission statement must be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Technical Support and Modeling Section, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P. O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

The emission statement does require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

KSI, LLC Page 24 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

(c) The annual emission statement required by this permit shall be considered timely if the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ, on or before the date it is due.

C.18 General Record Keeping Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-6]

- (a) Records of all required data, reports and support information shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years from the date of monitoring sample, measurement, report, or application. These records shall be kept at the source location for a minimum of three (3) years. The records may be stored elsewhere for the remaining two (2) years as long as they are available upon request. If the Commissioner makes a request for records to the Permittee, the Permittee shall furnish the records to the Commissioner within a reasonable time.
- (b) Unless otherwise specified in this permit, all record keeping requirements not already legally required shall be implemented within ninety (90) days of permit issuance.

C.19 General Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)] [326 IAC 2-1.1-11]

- (a) The source shall submit the attached Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report or its equivalent. Any deviation from permit requirements, the date(s) of each deviation, the cause of the deviation, and the response steps taken must be reported. This report shall be submitted within thirty (30) days of the end of the reporting period. The Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report shall include the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).
- (b) The report required in (a) of this condition and reports required by conditions in Section D of this permit shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P. O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

- (c) Unless otherwise specified in this permit, any notice, report, or other submission required by this permit shall be considered timely if the date postmarked on the envelope or certified mail receipt, or affixed by the shipper on the private shipping receipt, is on or before the date it is due. If the document is submitted by any other means, it shall be considered timely if received by IDEM, OAQ, on or before the date it is due.
- (d) Unless otherwise specified in this permit, all reports required in Section D of this permit shall be submitted within thirty (30) days of the end of the reporting period. All reports do require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).
- (e) The first report shall cover the period commencing on the date of issuance of this permit and ending on the last day of the reporting period. Reporting periods are based on calendar years.

Stratospheric Ozone Protection

C.20 Compliance with 40 CFR 82 and 326 IAC 22-1

Pursuant to 40 CFR 82 (Protection of Stratospheric Ozone), Subpart F, except as provided for motor vehicle air conditioners in Subpart B, the Permittee shall comply with the standards for recycling and emissions reduction:

KSI, LLC Page 25 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

(a) Persons opening appliances for maintenance, service, repair, or disposal must comply with the required practices pursuant to 40 CFR 82.156.

- (b) Equipment used during the maintenance, service, repair, or disposal of appliances must comply with the standards for recycling and recovery equipment pursuant to 40 CFR 82.158.
- (c) Persons performing maintenance, service, repair, or disposal of appliances must be certified by an approved technician certification program pursuant to 40 CFR 82.161.

SECTION D.1

FACILITY OPERATION CONDITIONS

Facility Description [326 IAC 2-7-5(15)]:

- (a) One (1) chromium electroplating bay, identified as BAY 3, consisting of the following:
 - (1) One (1) reverse etch tank, identified as T6, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 15,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 88,200,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SE, as control, and exhausting to stack SEX.
 - (2) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T7, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SE, as control, and exhausting to stack SEX.
 - (3) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T8, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SE, as control, and exhausting to stack SEX.
 - (4) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T9, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SW, as control, and exhausting to stack SWX.
 - (5) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T10, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SW, as control, and exhausting to stack SWX.
 - (6) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T11, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SW, as control, and exhausting to stack SWX.
 - (7) One (1) phosphorus strip tank, identified as T14.
 - (8) Three (3) counter flow rinse tanks, identified as T3, T4 and T13, equipped with two (2) evaporators, identified as E1 and E2, and a mist eliminator, and exhausting to stack E2X/E3X.
 - (9) One (1) spray rinse tank, identified as T12.
 - (10) One (1) rinse tank, identified as T5.
 - (11) One (1) electroclean tank, identified as T2, equipped with an evaporator, identified as E3, and a mist eliminator, and exhausting to stack E1X.
 - (12) One (1) load tank, identified as T1.

(b) One (1) vertical above ground evaporator storage tank, identified as T-4, constructed in 1998, for storing chromic acid and hydrochloric acid, capacity: 2,100 gallons.

(The information describing the process contained in this facility description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

- D.1.1 General Provisions Relating to HAPs [326 IAC 20-1-1][40 CFR Part 63, Subpart A]

 The provisions of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart A General Provisions, which are incorporated by reference as 326 IAC 20-1-1, apply to the facility described in this section except when otherwise specified in 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart N. The Permittee shall comply with the requirements of this condition on and after the compliance date for the tanks.
- D.1.2 Chromium Electroplating and Anodizing NESHAP [326 IAC 20-8-1] [40 CFR Part 63, Subpart N] The provisions of 40 CFR 63, Subpart N National Emission Standards for Chromium Emissions From Hard and Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Chromium Anodizing Tanks, which are incorporated by reference as 326 IAC 20-8-1, apply to tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11. A copy of this rule is attached. The Permittee shall comply with the requirements of this condition on and after the compliance date for the tanks.
- D.1.3 Chromium Emissions Limitation [40 CFR 63.342(c)] [40 CFR 63.343(a)(1)&(2)][326 IAC 20-8-1]
 - (a) The emission limitations in this condition apply only during tank operation, and also apply during periods of startup and shutdown as these are routine occurrences for tanks subject to 326 IAC 20-8-1. The emission limitations do not apply during periods of malfunction.
 - (b) The hard chromium electroplating tanks, identified as tanks T9, T10 and T11 above, are considered part of a large, new hard chromium electroplating operation. During tank operation, the Permittee shall control chromium emissions discharged to the atmosphere from the tanks by not allowing the concentration of total chromium in the exhaust gas stream discharged to the atmosphere to exceed 0.015 mg/dscm [6.6x10⁻⁶ gr/dscf].
 - (c) The hard chromium electroplating tanks, identified as tanks T7 and T8 above, are considered part of a large, new hard chromium electroplating operation. Therefore, during operation of any one (1) of the two (2) affected tanks, T7 and/or T8, the Permittee shall control chromium emissions discharged to the atmosphere from tanks T7 and T8 by not allowing the total chromium in the exhaust gas stream discharged to the atmosphere to exceed 659.12 milligrams of total chromium per hour (mg/hr). This limit was calculated based upon the following equations from 40 CFR 63.344(e)(3):

Equation 1:
$$VR_{tot} \times IDA_i/EIA_{total} = VR_{inlet}$$

Equation 2: EVR_{inlet} × Emission Limit × 60 minutes/hour = AMR_{svs}

Where VR_{tot} is the average total ventilation rate in dry standard cubic meters per minute (dscm/min) for the three test runs as determined at the outlet by means of the Method 306 testing; IDA_i is the total inlet area for all ducts associated with affected sources; IA_{total} is the sum of all inlet duct areas from both affected and nonaffected sources; VR_{inlet} is the total ventilation rate from all inlet ducts associated with affected sources; EVR_{inlet} is the total ventilation rate in dry standard cubic meters per minute (dscm/min) from the affected sources; EVR_{inlet} is the allowable mass emission rate in milligrams per hour (mg/hr); and the Emission

KSI, LLC Page 28 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

Limit is the emission limit from 40 CFR 63.342, which is equal to 0.015 mg/dscm.

D.1.4 Work Practice Standards [40 CFR 63.342(f)][326 IAC 20-8-1]

The following work practice standards apply to tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11:

- (a) At all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, malfunction and excess emissions, the Permittee shall operate and maintain tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11, including the composite mesh pad systems and monitoring equipment, in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices, consistent with the Operation and Maintenance Plan (OMP) required by Condition D.1.6.
- (b) Malfunctions and excess emissions shall be corrected as soon as practicable after their occurrence in accordance with the OMP required by Condition D.1.6.
- (c) These operation and maintenance requirements are enforceable independent of emissions limitations or other requirements in this section.
- (d) Determination of whether acceptable operation and maintenance procedures are being used will be based on information available to IDEM, OAM, which may include, but is not limited to, monitoring results; review of the OMP, procedures, and records; and inspection of the source.
- (e) Based on the results of a determination made under paragraph (d) of this condition, IDEM, OAM may require that the Permittee make changes to the OMP required by Condition D.1.6. Revisions may be required if IDEM, OAM finds that the plan:
 - (1) Does not address a malfunction or period of excess emissions that has occurred;
 - (2) Fails to provide for the operation of tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11, the composite mesh pad systems and process monitoring equipment during a malfunction or period of excess emissions in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices; or
 - (3) Does not provide adequate procedures for correcting malfunctioning process equipment, composite mesh pad systems, monitoring equipment or other causes of excess emissions as quickly as practicable.

The work practice standards that address operation and maintenance must be followed during malfunctions and periods of excess emissions.

D.1.5 Preventive Maintenance Plan [326 IAC 2-7-5(13)]

A Preventive Maintenance Plan (PMP), in accordance with Section B-Preventive Maintenance Plan, of this permit, is required for tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11 and the composite mesh pad systems.

D.1.6 Operation and Maintenance Plan [40 CFR 63.342(f)(3)][326 IAC 20-8-1]

- (a) The Permittee shall prepare an Operation and Maintenance Plan (OMP) to be implemented no later than the startup date of tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11. The OMP shall specify the operation and maintenance criteria for the tanks, the composite mesh pad systems, and monitoring equipment and shall include the following elements:
 - (1) For each composite mesh-pad system (CMP):
 - (A) Quarterly visual inspections of the device to ensure there is proper drainage, no chromic acid buildup on the pads, and no evidence of

KSI, LLC Page 29 of 43
LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061
Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

chemical attack on the structural integrity of the device.

- (B) Quarterly visual inspection of the back portion of the mesh pad closest to the fan to ensure there is no breakthrough of chromic acid mist.
- (C) Quarterly visual inspection of the duct work from the tank to the control device to ensure there are no leaks.
- (D) Perform washdown of the composite mesh-pads in accordance with manufacturers recommendations.
- (2) A standardized checklist to document the operation and maintenance criteria for tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11, the composite mesh pad systems and the monitoring equipment.
- (3) Procedures to be followed to ensure that equipment or process malfunctions due to poor maintenance or other preventable conditions or periods of excess emissions as indicated by monitoring data do not occur.
- (4) A systematic procedure for identifying malfunctions and periods of excess emissions of tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11, the composite mesh pad systems and monitoring equipment; and for implementing corrective actions to address such malfunctions and periods of excess emissions.
- (b) The Permittee may use applicable standard operating procedures (SOP) manuals, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) plans, or other existing plans such as the PMP required in Condition D.1.5, as the OMP, provided the alternative plans meet the above listed criteria in Condition D.1.6(a).
- (c) If the OMP fails to address or inadequately addresses an event that meets the characteristics of a malfunction or period of excess emissions at the time the plan is initially developed, the Permittee shall revise the OMP within forty-five (45) days after such an event occurs. The revised plan shall include procedures for operating and maintaining tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11, the composite mesh pad systems and the monitoring equipment, during similar malfunction or period of excess emissions events, and a program for corrective action for such events.
- (d) If actions taken by the Permittee during periods of malfunction or period of excess emissions are inconsistent with the procedures specified in the OMP, the Permittee shall record the actions taken for that event and shall report by phone such actions within two (2) working days after commencing actions inconsistent with the plan. This report shall be followed by a letter within seven (7) working days after the end of the event, unless the Permittee makes alternative reporting arrangements, in advance, with IDEM, OAM.
- (e) The Permittee shall keep the written OMP on record after it is developed to be made available, upon request, by IDEM, OAM for the life of tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11 or until the tank is no longer subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 63.340. In addition, if the OMP is revised, the Permittee shall keep previous versions of the OMPs on record to be made available for inspection, upon request by IDEM, OAM for a period of five (5) years after each revision to the plan.

Compliance Determination Requirements [326 IAC 2-1.1-11] [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)]

KSI, LLC Page 30 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

CFR 63.344][326 IAC 20-8-1]

(a) A performance test demonstrating initial compliance for tanks T7 through T11 was performed on December 21 through 23, 1998.

- (1) During the performance test conducted on December 21 through 23, 1998, it was determined that the average pressure drop across the east composite mesh pad system, identified as SE, controlling tanks T6 through T8 was 2.9 inches of water and the average outlet chromium concentration is 0.0063 mg/dscm. Although the reverse etch tank, T6, is not subject to Subpart N, the emissions from the tank are included in the performance test results because it exhausts through the composite mesh pad system, SE.
- (2) During the performance test conducted on December 21 through 23, 1998, it was determined that the average pressure drop across the west composite mesh pad system, identified as SW, controlling tanks T9 through T11 was 2.55 inches of water and the average outlet chromium concentration is 0.0048 mg/dscm.
- (b) The Permittee is not required to further test tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11 by this permit. However, the IDEM may require testing when necessary to determine if the tanks are in compliance. If testing is required by the IDEM, compliance with the limits specified in Condition D.1.3 shall be determined by a performance test conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 63.344 and Section C Performance Testing.
- (c) Any change, modification, or reconstruction of tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11, the composite mesh pad system, or monitoring equipment may require additional performance testing conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 63.344 and Section C Performance Testing.

Compliance Monitoring Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

D.1.8 Monitoring to Demonstrate Continuous Compliance [326 IAC 2-7-6(1)] [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)] [40 CFR 63.343(c)][326 IAC 20-8-1]

- (c) Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.343(c)(1)(ii), when using a composite mesh-pad system to comply with the limits specified in Condition D.1.3, the Permittee shall monitor and record the pressure drop across the composite mesh-pad system during tank operation once each day that the hard chromium electroplating tanks are operating. To be in compliance with the standards, the composite mesh-pad system shall be operated within ±1 inch of water column of the pressure drop value established during the initial performance test, or within the range of compliant values for pressure drop established during multiple performance tests.
- (b) Tank operation or operating time is defined as that time when a part is in the tank and the rectifier is turned on. If the amount of time that no part is in the tank is fifteen minutes or longer, that time is not considered operating time. Likewise, if the amount of time between placing parts in the tank (i.e., when no part is in the tank) is less than fifteen minutes, that time between plating the two parts may be considered operating time.

Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [326 IAC 2-7-19]

D.1.9 Record Keeping Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)] [40 CFR 63.346][326 IAC 20-8-1]

The Permittee shall maintain records to document compliance with Conditions D.1.3, D.1.4 and D.1.6 using the forms provided with this permit. These records shall be maintained in accordance with Section C - General Record Keeping Requirements of this permit and include a minimum of the following:

KSI, LLC Page 31 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

(a) Inspection records for the composite mesh pad systems and monitoring equipment to document that the inspection and maintenance required by Condition D.1.8 has taken place. The record can take the form of a checklist and should identify the following:

- (1) The device inspected;
- (2) The date of inspection;
- (3) A brief description of the working condition of the device during the inspection, including any deficiencies found; and
- (4) Any actions taken to correct deficiencies found during the inspection, including the date(s) such actions were taken.
- (b) Records of all maintenance performed on tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11, the composite mesh pad systems and monitoring equipment.
- (c) Records of the occurrence, duration, and cause (if known) of each malfunction of tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11, the composite mesh pad systems and monitoring equipment.
- (d) Records of the occurrence, duration, and cause (if known) of each period of excess emissions of tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11, the composite mesh pad systems and monitoring equipment as indicated by monitoring data collected in accordance with this condition.
- (e) Records of actions taken during periods of malfunction or excess emissions when such actions are inconsistent with the OMP.
- (f) Other records, which may take the form of checklists, necessary to demonstrate consistency with the provisions of the OMP.
- (g) Test reports documenting results of all performance tests.
- (h) All measurements as may be necessary to determine the conditions of performance tests, including measurements necessary to determine compliance.
- (i) Records of monitoring data required by 40 CFR 63.343(c) that are used to demonstrate compliance with the standard including the date and time the data are collected.
- (j) The total process operating time, as defined in Condition D.1.8(b), of each tank, during the reporting period.
- (k) Records of the actual cumulative rectifier capacity of each hard chromium electroplating tank expended during each month of the reporting period, and the total capacity expended to date for a reporting period.
- (I) All documentation supporting the notifications and reports required by 40 CFR 63.9 and 63.10 (Subpart A, General Provisions) and by Condition D.1.10.
- D.1.10 Reporting Requirements [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)][326 IAC 3-6-4(b)][40 CFR 63.344(a), 63.345 and 63.347][326 IAC 20-8-1]

The notifications and reports required in this section shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ using the address specified in Section C - General Reporting Requirements.

(a) Notifications:

KSI, LLC Page 32 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

(1) Initial Notifications

The Permittee shall notify IDEM, OAQ in writing that the source is subject to 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart N. The notification shall be submitted no later than one hundred eighty (180) days after the compliance date and shall contain the information listed in 40 CFR 63.347(c)(1).

- (2) A Notification of Compliance Status (NCS) is required each time that the facility becomes subject to the requirements of 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart N.
 - (A) The NCS shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ, and shall list, for each tank, the information identified in 40 CFR 63.347(e)(2).
 - (B) The NCS for tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11 shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ immediately.
- (3) Notification of Construction or Reconstruction
 Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.345(b)(1), the Permittee may not construct a new tank subject to 40 CFR 63, Subpart N (including non-affected tanks defined in 40 CFR

subject to 40 CFR 63, Subpart N (including non-affected tanks defined in 40 CFR 63.344(e)) without submitting a Notification of Construction or Reconstruction (NCR) to IDEM, OAQ. In addition, the Permittee may not change, modify, or reconstruct tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11 without submitting a Notification of Construction or Reconstruction (NCR) to IDEM, OAQ.

- (A) The NCR shall contain the information identified in 40 CFR 63.345(b) (2) and (3).
- (B) A change, modification, or reconstruction of this facility includes any change in the air pollution control techniques, the addition of add-on control devices, or the construction of duct work for the purpose of controlling both existing tanks and non-affected facilities by a common control technique or device.
- (C) A complete application to construct new chromium electroplating or chromium anodizing tanks serves as this notification. Likewise, the complete application to modify or reconstruct tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11 serves as this notification.
- (D) Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-1.1-2(a), permission must be received from IDEM, OAQ before construction, modification, or reconstruction may commence.
- (b) Performance Test Results

The Permittee shall document results from any future performance tests in a complete test report that contains the information required in 40 CFR 63.344(a).

The Permittee shall submit reports of performance test results as part of the Notification of Compliance Status, described in 40 CFR 63.347(e), no later than forty-five (45) days following the completion of the performance test.

(c) Ongoing Compliance Status Report

The Permittee shall prepare summary reports to document the ongoing compliance status of tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11 using the Ongoing Compliance Status Report form provided with this permit. This report shall contain the information specified in 40 CFR 63.347(g)(3).

KSI, LLC Page 33 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

(d) Because tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11 located at site that is an area source of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), the Ongoing Compliance Status Report shall be retained on site and made available to IDEM, OAQ upon request.

- (1) The Ongoing Compliance Status Report shall be completed according to the following schedule except as provided in paragraphs (c)(2).
 - (A) The first report shall cover the period from the start-up date of the emissions units to December 31 of the year in which the emissions units begin operation.
 - (B) Following the first year of reporting, the report shall be completed on a calendar year basis with the reporting period covering from January 1 to December 31.
- (2) If either of the following conditions are met, semiannual reports shall be prepared and submitted to IDEM, OAQ:
 - (A) The total duration of excess emissions (as indicated by the monitoring data collected by the Permittee in accordance with 40 CFR 63.343(c)) is one percent (1%) or greater of the total operating time as defined in Condition D.1.8(b) for the reporting period; or
 - (B) The total duration of malfunctions of the add-on air pollution control device and monitoring equipment is five percent (5%) or greater of the total operating time as defined in Condition D.1.8(b).

Once the Permittee reports an exceedance as defined above, Ongoing Compliance Status Reports shall be submitted semiannually until a request to reduce reporting frequency in accordance with 40 CFR 63.347(g)(2) is approved.

(3) IDEM, OAQ may determine on a case-by-case basis that the summary report shall be completed more frequently and submitted, or that the annual report shall be submitted instead of being retained on site, if these measures are necessary to accurately assess the compliance status of the source.

Page 34 of 43 OP T 091-11278-00061

KSI, LLC LaPorte, Indiana Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

SECTION D.2

FACILITY OPERATION CONDITIONS

Facility Description [326 IAC 2-7-5(15)]: Insignificant Activities

- (a) The following equipment related to manufacturing activities not resulting in the emission of HAPs: brazing equipment, cutting torches, soldering equipment, welding equipment. [326 IAC 6-3-2]
- (b) Grinding and machining operations controlled with fabric filters, scrubbers, mist collectors, wet collectors and electrostatic precipitators with a design grain loading of less than or equal to 0.03 grains per actual cubic foot and a gas flow rate less than or equal to 4,000 actual cubic feet per minute, including the following: deburring; buffing; polishing; abrasive blasting; pneumatic conveying; and woodworking operations. [326 IAC 6-3-2]

(The information describing the process contained in this facility description box is descriptive information and does not constitute enforceable conditions.)

Emission Limitations and Standards [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)]

D.2.1 Particulate Matter (PM) [326 IAC 6-3-2]

Pursuant to 326 IAC 6-3-2, the particulate matter (PM) from the insignificant brazing equipment, cutting torches, soldering equipment, welding equipment, and grinding and machining operations shall be limited by the following:

Interpolation of the data for the process weight rate up to sixty thousand (60,000) pounds per hour shall be accomplished by use of the equation:

 $E = 4.10 P^{0.67}$ where E = rate of emission in pounds per hour and P = process weight rate in tons per hour

Compliance Determination Requirements

D.2.2 Particulate Matter (PM)

In order to comply with Condition D.2.1, the control equipment shall be in operation at all times the grinding and machining is in operation.

KSI, LLC Page 35 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY COMPLIANCE BRANCH

PART 70 OPERATING PERMIT CERTIFICATION

Source Name: KSI, LLC

Source Address: 1500 Genesis Drive, LaPorte, Indiana 46352 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1825, LaPorte, Indiana 46352

Part 70 Permit No.: T 091-11278-00061

This certification shall be included when submitting monitoring, testing reports/results or other documents as required by this permit.					
Please check what document is being certified:					
9 Annual Compliance Certification Letter					
9 Test Result (specify)					
9 Report (specify)					
9 Notification (specify)					
9 Affidavit (specify)					
9 Other (specify)					
I certify that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in the document are true, accurate, and complete.					
Signature:					
Printed Name:					
Title/Position:					
Phone:					
Date:					

KSI, LLC Page 36 of 43 LaPorte, Indiana OP T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY

COMPLIANCE BRANCH

100 North Senate Avenue P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015 Phone: 317-233-5674 Fax: 317-233-5967

PART 70 OPERATING PERMIT EMERGENCY OCCURRENCE REPORT

Source Name: KSI, LLC

Source Address: 1500 Genesis Drive, LaPorte, Indiana 46352 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1825, LaPorte, Indiana 46352

Part 70 Permit No.: T 091-11278-00061

This form consists of 2 pages

Page 1 of 2

9	This is an emergency a	s defined	in 326	IAC 2-7-	-1(12)
---	------------------------	-----------	--------	----------	--------

- The Permittee must notify the Office of Air Quality (OAQ), within four (4) business hours (1-800-451-6027 or 317-233-5674, ask for Compliance Section); and
- The Permittee must submit notice in writing or by facsimile within two (2) days (Facsimile Number: 317-233-5967), and follow the other requirements of 326 IAC 2-7-16.

If any of the following are not applicable, mark N/A

Facility/Equipment/Operation:
Control Equipment:
Permit Condition or Operation Limitation in Permit:
Description of the Emergency:
Describe the cause of the Emergency:

If any of the following are not applicable, mark N/A	Page 2 of 2
Date/Time Emergency started:	
Date/Time Emergency was corrected:	
Was the facility being properly operated at the time of the emergency? Describe:	Y N
Type of Pollutants Emitted: TSP, PM-10, SO ₂ , VOC, NO _x , CO, Pb, other	··
Estimated amount of pollutant(s) emitted during emergency:	
Describe the steps taken to mitigate the problem:	
Describe the corrective actions/response steps taken:	
Describe the measures taken to minimize emissions:	
If applicable, describe the reasons why continued operation of the facilit imminent injury to persons, severe damage to equipment, substantial lo loss of product or raw materials of substantial economic value:	
Form Completed by:	
Title / Position:	
Date:	
Phone:	

A certification is not required for this report.

Page 38 of 43 OP T 091-11278-00061

KSI. LLC LaPorte, Indiana Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY **COMPLIANCE BRANCH**

PART 70 OPERATING PERMIT QUARTERLY DEVIATION AND COMPLIANCE MONITORING REPORT

Source Name: KSI, LLC Source Address: 1500 Genesis Drive, LaPorte, Indiana 46352 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1825, LaPorte, Indiana 46352 Part 70 Permit No.: T 091-11278-00061 Months: _____ to _____ Year: _____ Page 1 of 2 This report is an affirmation that the source has met all the requirements stated in this permit. This report shall be submitted quarterly based on a calendar year. Any deviation from the requirements, the date(s) of each deviation, the probable cause of the deviation, and the response steps taken must be reported. Deviations that are required to be reported by an applicable requirement shall be reported according to the schedule stated in the applicable requirement and do not need to be included in this report. Additional pages may be attached if necessary. If no deviations occurred, please specify in the box marked "No deviations occurred this reporting period". 9 NO DEVIATIONS OCCURRED THIS REPORTING PERIOD. 9 THE FOLLOWING DEVIATIONS OCCURRED THIS REPORTING PERIOD **Permit Requirement** (specify permit condition #) **Date of Deviation: Duration of Deviation: Number of Deviations: Probable Cause of Deviation:** Response Steps Taken: **Permit Requirement** (specify permit condition #) **Date of Deviation: Duration of Deviation: Number of Deviations: Probable Cause of Deviation:** Response Steps Taken:

Page 2 of 2

Permit Requi	rement	(specify	permit condition #)		
Date of Devia	tion:			Duration of Deviation:	
Number of De	eviation	s:			
Probable Cau	ise of D	eviation	:		
Response Sto	eps Tak	en:			
Permit Requi	rement	(specify	permit condition #)		
Date of Devia	ation:			Duration of Deviation:	
Number of De	eviation	s:			
Probable Cau	ise of D	eviation	:		
Response Sto	eps Tak	en:			
Permit Requi	rement	(specify	permit condition #)		
Date of Devia	tion:			Duration of Deviation:	
Number of De	eviation	s:			
Probable Cau	ise of D	eviation	:		
Response Sto	eps Tak	en:			
	9	No devi	ation occurred in this	month.	
	9	Deviation	on/s occurred in this r	month.	
		Deviatio	on has been reported	on:	_
	Submit	ted by:			_
	Title/Po	sition:			_
	Signatu	ıre:			_
	Date:				_
	Phone:				_

Attach a signed certification to complete this report.

Page 40 of 43 OP T 091-11278-00061

KSI. LLC LaPorte, Indiana

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR MANAGEMENT **COMPLIANCE BRANCH**

PART 70 OPERATING PERMIT CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING AND ANODIZING NESHAP ONGOING COMPLIANCE STATUS REPORT

Complete this form for each affected tank

Source Name: KSI, LLC

Source Address: 1500 Genesis Drive, LaPorte, Indiana 46352 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1825, LaPorte, Indiana 46352

Part 70 Permit No.: T 091-11278-00061

Tank ID #:

Type of process: Hard Chrome Electroplating

Monitoring Parameter: Pressure Drop

Parameter Value: 2.55 +/- 1 inch of water for tanks T9 - T11 exhausting to the west composite

mesh pad system (SW)

Limits: Total chromium concentration may not exceed 0.015 mg/dscm

This form is to be used to report compliance for the Chromium Electroplating and Anodizing NESHAP only. The frequency for completing this report may be altered by IDEM, OAQ, Compliance Branch.

Companies classified as a major source: submit this report no later than 30 days after the end of the reporting period. Companies classified as an area source: complete this report no later than 30 days after the end of the reporting period, and retain on site unless otherwise notified.

This form consists of 2 pages

Page 1 of 2

BEGINNING AND ENDING DATES OF THE REPORTING PERIOD:

TOTAL OPERATING TIME OF THE TANK DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD:

MAJOR AND AREA SOURCES: CHECK ONE

- 9 NO DEVIATIONS OF THE MONITORING PARAMETER ASSOCIATED WITH THIS TANK FROM THE COMPLIANT VALUE OR RANGE OF VALUES OCCURRED DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD.
- 9 THE MONITORING PARAMETER DEVIATED FROM THE COMPLIANT VALUE OR RANGE OF VALUES DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD (THUS INDICATING THE EMISSION LIMITATION MAY HAVE BEEN EXCEEDED, WHICH COULD RESULT IN MORE FREQUENT REPORTING).

AREA (I.E., NON-MAJOR) SOURCES OF HAP ONLY:

IF DEVIATIONS OCCURRED, LIST THE AMOUNT OF TANK OPERATING TIME EACH MONTH THAT MONITORING RECORDS SHOW THE MONITORING PARAMETER DEVIATED FROM THE COMPLIANT VALUE OR RANGE OF VALUES.

JAN	APR	JUL	OCT
FEB	MAY	AUG	NOV
MAR	JUN	SEP	DEC

HARD CHROME TANKS / MAXIMUM RECTIFIER CAPACITY LIMITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH 40 CFR 63.342(c)(2) ONLY	;
LIST THE ACTUAL AMPERE-HOURS CONSUMED (BASED ON AN AMP-HR METER) BY THE INDIVIDUAL TANK.	

JAN	APR	JUL	ОСТ
FEB	MAY	AUG	NOV
MAR	JUN	SEP	DEC

KSI, LLC LaPorte, Indiana Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING AND ANODIZING NESHAP ONGOING COMPLIANCE STATUS REPORT

ATTACH	H A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED	Page 2 of 2
IF THE OPE EXPLANATI	ERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN REQUIRED BY 40 CFR 63.342 (f)(3) WAS NOT FOLLOWED, PROFION OF THE REASONS FOR NOT FOLLOWING THE PLAN AND DESCRIBE THE ACTIONS TAKEN FO	OVIDE AN OR THAT EVENT:
DESCRIBE REPORT:	ANY CHANGES IN TANKS, RECTIFIERS, CONTROL DEVICES, MONITORING, ETC. SINCE THE LAST	STATUS
ADDITIONA	AL COMMENTS:	
ALL SOURC	CES: CHECK ONE	
9	I CERTIFY THAT THE WORK PRACTICE STANDARDS IN 40 CFR 63.342(f) WERE FOLLOWED IN ACT WITH THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN ON FILE; AND, THAT THE INFORMATION CONTREPORT IS ACCURATE AND TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.	
9	THE WORK PRACTICE STANDARDS IN 40 CFR 63.342(f) WERE NOT FOLLOWED IN ACCORDANCE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN ON FILE, AS EXPLAINED ABOVE AND/OR ON ATTACHED.	
	Submitted by: Title/Position: Signature: Date:	

Attach a signed certification to complete this report.

KSI. LLC LaPorte, Indiana Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF AIR MANAGEMENT **COMPLIANCE BRANCH**

PART 70 OPERATING PERMIT CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING AND ANODIZING NESHAP ONGOING COMPLIANCE STATUS REPORT

Complete this form for each affected tank

Source Name: KSI, LLC

Source Address: 1500 Genesis Drive, LaPorte, Indiana 46352 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1825, LaPorte, Indiana 46352

Part 70 Permit No.: T 091-11278-00061

Tank ID #:

Type of process: Hard Chrome Electroplating

Monitoring Parameter: Pressure Drop

Parameter Value: 2.9 +/- 1 inch of water for tanks T7 and T8 exhausting to the east composite mesh

pad system (SE)

Limits: Total chromium emissions may not exceed 659.16 mg/hr

This form is to be used to report compliance for the Chromium Electroplating and Anodizing NESHAP only.

The frequency for completing this report may be altered by IDEM, OAQ, Compliance Branch.

Companies classified as a major source: submit this report no later than 30 days after the end of the reporting period. Companies classified as an area source: complete this report no later than 30 days after the end of the reporting period, and retain on site unless otherwise notified.

This form consists of 2 pages

Page 1 of 2

BEGINNING AND ENDING DATES OF THE REPORTING PERIOD:

TOTAL OPERATING TIME OF THE TANK DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD:

MAJOR AND AREA SOURCES: CHECK ONE

- 9 NO DEVIATIONS OF THE MONITORING PARAMETER ASSOCIATED WITH THIS TANK FROM THE COMPLIANT VALUE OR RANGE OF VALUES OCCURRED DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD.
- 9 THE MONITORING PARAMETER DEVIATED FROM THE COMPLIANT VALUE OR RANGE OF VALUES DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD (THUS INDICATING THE EMISSION LIMITATION MAY HAVE BEEN EXCEEDED, WHICH COULD RESULT IN MORE FRÈQUENT REPORTING).

AREA (I.E., NON-MAJOR) SOURCES OF HAP ONLY:

IF DEVIATIONS OCCURRED, LIST THE AMOUNT OF TANK OPERATING TIME EACH MONTH THAT MONITORING RECORDS SHOW THE MONITORING PARAMETER DEVIATED FROM THE COMPLIANT VALUE OR RANGE OF VALUES.

JAN	APR	JUL	ОСТ
FEB	MAY	AUG	NOV
MAR	JUN	SEP	DEC

HARD CHROME TANKS / MAXIMUM RECTIFIER CAPACITY LIMITED IN ACCORDANCE WITH 40 CFR 63.342(c)(2) ONLY: LIST THE ACTUAL AMPERE-HOURS CONSUMED (BASED ON AN AMP-HR METER) BY THE INDIVIDUAL TANK.

JAN	APR	JUL	OCT
FEB	MAY	AUG	NOV
MAR	JUN	SEP	DEC

KSI, LLC LaPorte, Indiana Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING AND ANODIZING NESHAP ONGOING COMPLIANCE STATUS REPORT

ATTACH	A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED Page 2 of	2
	RATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN REQUIRED BY 40 CFR 63.342 (f)(3) WAS NOT FOLLOWED, PROVIDE AN DN OF THE REASONS FOR NOT FOLLOWING THE PLAN AND DESCRIBE THE ACTIONS TAKEN FOR THAT EVEN	IT:
DESCRIBE A	NY CHANGES IN TANKS, RECTIFIERS, CONTROL DEVICES, MONITORING, ETC. SINCE THE LAST STATUS	
ADDITIONAL	COMMENTS:	
ALL SOURC	ES: CHECK ONE	
9	I CERTIFY THAT THE WORK PRACTICE STANDARDS IN 40 CFR 63.342(f) WERE FOLLOWED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN ON FILE; AND, THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT IS ACCURATE AND TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.	S
9	THE WORK PRACTICE STANDARDS IN 40 CFR 63.342(f) WERE NOT FOLLOWED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN ON FILE, AS EXPLAINED ABOVE AND/OR ON ATTACHED.	
	Submitted by: Title/Position: Signature: Date: Phone:	

Attach a signed certification to complete this report.

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Office of Air Quality

Addendum to the Technical Support Document for a Part 70 Operating Permit

Source Name: KSI, LLC

Source Location: 1500 Genesis Drive, LaPorte, Indiana 46352

County: LaPorte SIC Code: 3471

Operation Permit No.: T 091-11278-00061
Permit Reviewer: CarrieAnn Paukowits

On September 27, 2001, the Office of Air Quality (OAQ) had a notice published in the News Dispatch, Michigan City, Indiana, stating that KSI, LLC, had applied for a Part 70 Operating Permit to operate hard chrome electroplating source with a composite mesh pad systems as control. The notice also stated that OAQ proposed to issue a Part 70 Operating Permit for this operation and provided information on how the public could review the proposed Part 70 Operating Permit and other documentation. Finally, the notice informed interested parties that there was a period of thirty (30) days to provide comments on whether or not this Part 70 Operating Permit should be issued as proposed.

Upon further review, the OAQ has decided to make the following changes to the Part 70 Operating Permit: The permit language is changed to read as follows (deleted language appears as strikeouts, new language is **bolded**):

Changes 4 through 7, and part of Change 3, have been made to incorporate the Article 2 rule revisions that were adopted on October 3, 2001, and became effective on January 19, 2002. For more information about this rule making, refer to the October 2001 Air Pollution Control Board P a c k e t , w h i c h c a n b e f o u n d o n t h e i n t e r n e t a t http://www.state.in.us/idem/air/rule/apcb/packets/index.html. The rule revisions will be published in the February 1, 2002 Indiana Register, which can be found on the internet at http://www.IN.gov/legislative/register/index-25.html.

Change 1:

The IDEM, OAQ, has revised Condition B.15, Deviations from Permit Requirements, to address concerns regarding the independent enforceability of permit conditions [see 40 CFR 70.6(a)(6)(i)]. The parametric monitoring conditions have been revised to establish normal operating conditions for the emission unit or control device and to require implementation of the compliance response plan when monitoring indicates operation is outside the normal range. Language that inferred that operating outside of the normal range could be considered by itself to be a deviation was removed. B.15 was revised to remove language that could be considered to grant exemptions from permit requirements and to clarify reporting obligations.

B.15 Deviations from Permit Requirements and Conditions [326 IAC 2-7-5(3)(C)(ii)]

Deviations from any permit requirements (for emergencies see Section B - Emergency Provisions), the probable cause of such deviations, and any response steps or preventive measures taken shall be reported to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Compliance Branch, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015 KSI, LLC Page 2 of 7 LaPorte, Indiana T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

using the attached Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report, or its equivalent. Deviations that are required to be reported by an applicable requirement A deviation required to be reported pursuant to an applicable requirement that exists independent of this permit, shall be reported according to the schedule stated in the applicable requirement and do does not need to be included in this report.

The notification by the Permittee Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report does require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (b) A deviation is an exceedance of a permit limitation or a failure to comply with a requirement of the permit or a rule. It does not include:
 - (1) An excursion from compliance monitoring parameters as identified in Section D of this permit unless tied to an applicable rule or limit; or
 - (2) Failure to implement elements of the Preventive Maintenance Plan unless such failure has caused or contributed to a deviation.

A Permittee's failure to take the appropriate response step when an excursion of a compliance monitoring parameter has occurred is a deviation.

(c) Emergencies shall be included in the Quarterly Deviation and Compliance Monitoring Report.

Change 2:

Part 70 requires any application form, report, or compliance certification to be certified by the Responsible Official. IDEM, OAQ has revised C.7, Asbestos Abatement Projects, to clarify that the asbestos notification does not require a certification by the responsible official, but it does need to be certified by the owner or operator. IDEM, OAQ has revised C.16, Actions Related to Noncompliance Demonstrated by a Stack Test; a certification by the responsible official is required for the notification sent in response to non-compliance with a stack test.

C.7 Asbestos Abatement Projects [326 IAC 14-10] [326 IAC 18] [40 CFR 61, Subpart M]

- (a) Notification requirements apply to each owner or operator. If the combined amount of regulated asbestos containing material (RACM) to be stripped, removed or disturbed is at least 260 linear feet on pipes or 160 square feet on other facility components, or at least thirty-five (35) cubic feet on all facility components, then the notification requirements of 326 IAC 14-10-3 are mandatory. All demolition projects require notification whether or not asbestos is present.
- (b) The Permittee shall ensure that a written notification is sent on a form provided by the Commissioner at least ten (10) working days before asbestos stripping or removal work or before demolition begins, per 326 IAC 14-10-3, and shall update such notice as necessary, including, but not limited to the following:
 - (1) When the amount of affected asbestos containing material increases or decreases by at least twenty percent (20%); or
 - (2) If there is a change in the following:
 - (A) Asbestos removal or demolition start date;

KSI, LLC Page 3 of 7 LaPorte, Indiana T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

- (B) Removal or demolition contractor; or
- (C) Waste disposal site.
- (c) The Permittee shall ensure that the notice is postmarked or delivered according to the guidelines set forth in 326 IAC 14-10-3(2).
- (d) The notice to be submitted shall include the information enumerated in 326 IAC 14-10-3(3).

All required notifications shall be submitted to:

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Asbestos Section, Office of Air Quality 100 North Senate Avenue, P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206-6015

The notice shall include a signed certification from the owner or operator that the information provided in this notification is correct and that only Indiana licensed workers and project supervisors will be used to implement the asbestos removal project. The notifications do not require a certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

- (e) Procedures for Asbestos Emission Control
 The Permittee shall comply with the applicable emission control procedures in 326 IAC 1410-4 and 40 CFR 61.145(c). Per 326 IAC 14-10-4, emission control requirements are
 applicable for any removal or disturbance of RACM greater than three (3) linear feet on
 pipes or three (3) square feet on any other facility components or a total of at least 0.75
 cubic feet on all facility components.
- (f) Indiana Accredited Asbestos Inspector
 The Permittee shall comply with 326 IAC 14-10-1(a) that requires the owner or operator,
 prior to a renovation/demolition, to use an Indiana Accredited Asbestos Inspector to
 thoroughly inspect the affected portion of the facility for the presence of asbestos. The
 requirement that the inspector be accredited, pursuant to the provisions of 40 CFR 61,
 Subpart M, is federally enforceable.

C.16 Actions Related to Noncompliance Demonstrated by a Stack Test [326 IAC 2-7-5] [326 IAC 2-7-6]

- (a) When the results of a stack test performed in conformance with Section C Performance Testing, of this permit exceed the level specified in any condition of this permit, the Permittee shall take appropriate response actions. The Permittee shall submit a description of these response actions to IDEM, OAQ, within thirty (30) days of receipt of the test results. The Permittee shall take appropriate action to minimize excess emissions from the affected facility while the response actions are being implemented.
- (b) A retest to demonstrate compliance shall be performed within one hundred twenty (120) days of receipt of the original test results. Should the Permittee demonstrate to IDEM, OAQ that retesting in one-hundred and twenty (120) days is not practicable, IDEM, OAQ may extend the retesting deadline.
- (c) IDEM, OAQ reserves the authority to take any actions allowed under law in response to noncompliant stack tests.

KSI, LLC Page 4 of 7 LaPorte, Indiana T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

The documents submitted pursuant to this condition do not require the certification by the "responsible official" as defined by 326 IAC 2-7-1(34).

Change 3:

The IDEM, OAQ has restructured Condition C.15 to clarify the contents and implementation of the compliance response plan. The language regarding the OAQ's discretion to excuse failure to perform monitoring under certain conditions has been deleted. The OAQ retains this discretion to excuse minor incidents of missing data; however, it is not necessary to state criteria regarding the exercise of that discretion in the permit. In Condition C.15(c)(2), "administrative amendment" has been revised to "minor permit modification," because 326 IAC 2-7-11(a)(7) has been repealed as follows:

- C.15 Compliance Monitoring Response Plan Failure to Take Response Steps Preparation, Implementation, Records and Reports [326 IAC 2-7-5] [326 IAC 2-7-6]
 - (a) The Permittee is required to **prepare** implement: a compliance monitoring plan to ensure that reasonable information is available to evaluate its continuous compliance with applicable requirements. The compliance monitoring plan can be either an entirely new document, consist in whole of information contained in other documents, or consist of a combination of new information and information contained in other documents. If the compliance monitoring plan incorporates by reference information contained in other documents, the Permittee shall identify as part of the compliance monitoring plan the documents in which the information is found. The elements of the compliance monitoring plan are:
 - (1) This condition;
 - (2) The Compliance Determination Requirements in Section D of this permit;
 - (3) The Compliance Monitoring Requirements in Section D of this permit;
 - (4) The Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements in Section C (General Record Keeping Requirements, and General Reporting Requirements) and in Section D of this permit; and
 - (5) A a Compliance Response Plan (CRP) for each compliance monitoring condition of this permit. A CRP's shall be submitted to IDEM, OAQ upon request and shall be subject to review and approval by IDEM, OAQ. The CRP shall be prepared within ninety (90) days after issuance of this permit by the Permittee, supplemented from time to time by the Permittee, and maintained on site, and is comprised of:
 - (A)(1) Reasonable response steps that may be implemented in the event that compliance related information indicates that a response step is needed pursuant to the requirements of Section D of this permit; and an expected timeframe for taking reasonable response steps.
 - (B) A time schedule for taking reasonable response steps including a schedule for devising additional response steps for situations that may not have been predicted.
 - (2) If, at any time, the Permittee takes reasonable response steps that are not set forth in the Permittee's current Compliance Response Plan

KSI, LLC Page 5 of 7 LaPorte, Indiana T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

and the Permittee documents such response in accordance with subsection (e) below, the Permittee shall amend its Compliance Response Plan to include such response steps taken.

- (b) For each compliance monitoring condition of this permit, reasonable response steps shall be taken when indicated by the provisions of that compliance monitoring condition **as follows:** Failure to take reasonable response steps may constitute a violation of the permit.
 - (1) Reasonable response steps shall be taken as set forth in the Permittee's current Compliance Response Plan; or
 - (2) If none of the reasonable response steps listed in the Compliance Response Plan is applicable or responsive to the excursion, the Permittee shall devise and implement additional response steps as expeditiously as practical. Taking such additional response steps shall not be considered a deviation from this permit so long as the Permittee documents such response steps in accordance with this condition.
 - (3) If the Permittee determines that additional response steps would necessitate that the emissions unit or control device be shut down, the IDEM, OAQ shall be promptly notified of the expected date of the shut down, the status of the applicable compliance monitoring parameter with respect to normal, and the results of the actions taken up to the time of notification.
 - (4) Failure to take reasonable response steps shall constitute a violation of the permit.
- (c) Upon investigation of a compliance monitoring excursion, the The Permittee is excused from taking not required to take any further response steps for any of the following reasons:
 - (1) A false reading occurs due to the malfunction of the monitoring equipment **and**This shall be an excuse from taking further response steps providing that prompt action was taken to correct the monitoring equipment.
 - (2) The Permittee has determined that the compliance monitoring parameters established in the permit conditions are technically inappropriate, has previously submitted a request for an administrative amendment a minor permit modification to the permit, and such request has not been denied.
 - (3) An automatic measurement was taken when the process was not operating.
 - (4) The process has already returned or is returning to operating within "normal" parameters and no response steps are required.
- (d) When implementing reasonable steps in response to a compliance monitoring condition, if the Permittee determines that an exceedance of an emission limitation has occurred, the Permittee shall report such deviations pursuant to Section B-Deviations from Permit Requirements and Conditions.
- (d)(e) Records shall be kept of all instances in which the compliance related information was not

KSI, LLC Page 6 of 7 LaPorte, Indiana T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

met and of all response steps taken. The Permittee shall record all instances when response steps are taken. In the event of an emergency, the provisions of 326 IAC 2-7-16 (Emergency Provisions) requiring prompt corrective action to mitigate emissions shall prevail.

- (e)(f) Except as otherwise provided by a rule or provided specifically in Section D, all monitoring as required in Section D shall be performed at all times when the equipment emission unit is operating, except for time necessary to perform quality assurance and maintenance activities. If monitoring is required by Section D and the equipment is not operating, then the Permittee may record the fact that the equipment is not operating or perform the required monitoring.
- (f) At its discretion, IDEM may excuse the Permittee's failure to perform the monitoring and record keeping as required by Section D, if the Permittee provides adequate justification and documents that such failures do not exceed five percent (5%) of the operating time in any quarter. Temporary, unscheduled unavailability of qualified staff shall be considered a valid reason for failure to perform the monitoring or record keeping requirements in Section D.

Change 4:

Condition B.2 has had the rule cite 326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5 added. This rule clarifies when permits expire and when conditions in previous issued permits are superseded.

B.2 Permit Term [326 IAC 2-7-5(2)] [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]

This permit is issued for a fixed term of five (5) years from the original date, as determined in accordance with IC 4-21.5-3-5(f) and IC 13-15-5-3. Subsequent revisions, modifications, or amendments of this permit do not affect the expiration date.

Change 5:

Condition B.12, Emergency Provisions, paragraphs (a), (b) and (g) have been revised to reflect rule changes to 326 IAC 2-7-16. The rule changes removed the affirmative defense for health-based emergencies because Part 70 only allows this defense for technology-based emergencies. Revisions to Condition B.12 are as follows:

B.12 Emergency Provisions [326 IAC 2-7-16]

- (a) An emergency, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(12), is not an affirmative defense for an action brought for noncompliance with a federal or state health-based emission limitation, except as provided in 326 IAC 2-7-16.
- (b) An emergency, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(12), constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with a health-based or technology-based emission limitation if the affirmative defense of an emergency is demonstrated through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs or other relevant evidence that describe the following:
- (g) Operations may continue during an emergency only if the following conditions are met:
 - (1) If the emergency situation causes a deviation from a technology-based limit, the Permittee may continue to operate the affected emitting facilities during the emergency provided the Permittee immediately takes all reasonable steps to correct the emergency and minimize emissions.

KSI, LLC Page 7 of 7 LaPorte, Indiana T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

(2) If an emergency situation causes a deviation from a health-based limit, the Permittee may not continue to operate the affected emissions facilities unless:

- (A) The Permittee immediately takes all reasonable steps to correct the emergency situation and to minimize emissions; and
- (B) Continued operation of the facilities is necessary to prevent imminent injury to persons, severe damage to equipment, substantial loss of capital investment, or loss of product or raw materials of substantial economic value.

Any operation shall continue no longer than the minimum time required to prevent the situations identified in (g)(2)(B) of this condition.

Change 6:

Condition B.14, Multiple Exceedances, has been deleted, because 326 IAC 2-7-5(1)(E) has been repealed, as follows:

B.14 Multiple Exceedances [326 IAC 2-7-5(1)(E)]

Any exceedance of a permit limitation or condition contained in this permit, which occurs contemporaneously with an exceedance of an associated surrogate or operating parameter established to detect or assure compliance with that limit or condition, both arising out of the same act or occurrence, shall constitute a single potential violation of this permit.

Change 7:

A new Condition B.14, Prior Permit Conditions Superceded, has been added to the proposed permit in order to clarify the intent of the new rule, 326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5, regarding when prior permit conditions are superseded. In addition, Condition B.13(b) has been deleted since paragraph (b) is no longer necessary due to the addition of the new Condition B.14 as follows:

B.13 Permit Shield [326 IAC 2-7-15] [326 IAC 2-7-20] [326 IAC 2-7-12]

(b) This permit shall be used as the primary document for determining compliance with applicable requirements established by previously issued permits. All previously issued operating permits are superseded by this permit.

B.14 Prior Permits Superseded [326 IAC 2-1.1-9.5]

- (a) All terms and conditions of previous permits issued pursuant to permitting programs approved into the state implementation plan have been either
 - (1) incorporated as originally stated,
 - (2) revised, or
 - (3) deleted

by this permit.

(b) All previous registrations and permits are superseded by this permit.

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Office of Air Quality

Technical Support Document (TSD) for a Part 70 Operating Permit

Source Background and Description

Source Name: KSI, LLC

Source Location: 1500 Genesis Drive, LaPorte, Indiana 46352

County: LaPorte SIC Code: 3471

Operation Permit No.: T 091-11278-00061
Permit Reviewer: CarrieAnn Paukowits

The Office of Air Quality (OAQ) has reviewed a Part 70 permit application from KSI, LLC relating to the operation of a hard chrome electroplating source.

Permitted Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment

The source consists of the following permitted emission units and pollution control devices:

- (a) One (1) chromium electroplating bay, identified as BAY 3, consisting of the following:
 - (1) One (1) reverse etch tank, identified as T6, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 15,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 88,200,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SE, as control, and exhausting to stack SEX.
 - (2) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T7, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SE, as control, and exhausting to stack SEX.
 - (3) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T8, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SE, as control, and exhausting to stack SEX.
 - (4) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T9, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amp-hours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SW, as control, and exhausting to stack SWX.
 - One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T10, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of

KSI, LLC Page 2 of 12 LaPorte, Indiana T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amphours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SW, as control, and exhausting to stack SWX.

- (6) One (1) hard chrome plating tank, identified as T11, constructed after December 16, 1993, using a hexavalent chromium bath and having a rectifier capacity of 25,000 amps and a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity of 147,000,000 amphours, equipped with a composite mesh pad system, identified as SW, as control, and exhausting to stack SWX.
- (7) One (1) phosphorus strip tank, identified as T14.
- (8) Three (3) counter flow rinse tanks, identified as T3, T4 and T13, equipped with two (2) evaporators, identified as E1 and E2, and a mist eliminator, and exhausting to stack E2X/E3X.
- (9) One (1) spray rinse tank, identified as T12.
- (10) One (1) rinse tank, identified as T5.
- (11) One (1) electroclean tank, identified as T2, equipped with an evaporator, identified as E3, and a mist eliminator, and exhausting to stack E1X.
- (12) One (1) load tank, identified as T1.
- (b) One (1) vertical above ground evaporator storage tank, identified as T-4, constructed in 1998, for storing chromic acid and hydrochloric acid, capacity: 2,100 gallons.

Unpermitted Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment

There are no unpermitted facilities operating at this source during this review process.

New Emission Units and Pollution Control Equipment Receiving Advanced Source Modification Approval

There are no new facilities proposed at this source during this review process.

Insignificant Activities

The source also consists of the following insignificant activities, as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(21):

- (a) The following equipment related to manufacturing activities not resulting in the emission of HAPs: brazing equipment, cutting torches, soldering equipment, welding equipment. [326 IAC 6-3-2]
- (b) Grinding and machining operations controlled with fabric filters, scrubbers, mist collectors, wet collectors and electrostatic precipitators with a design grain loading of less than or equal to 0.03 grains per actual cubic foot and a gas flow rate less than or equal to 4,000 actual cubic feet per minute, including the following: deburring; buffing; polishing; abrasive blasting; pneumatic conveying; and woodworking operations. [326 IAC 6-3-2]
- (c) Natural gas-fired combustion sources with heat input equal to or less than ten million (10,000,000) British thermal units per hour. There are no boilers at this source.

KSI, LLC Page 3 of 12 LaPorte, Indiana T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

(d) Propane for liquefied petroleum gas, or butane-fired combustion sources with heat input equal to or less than six million (6,000,000) British thermal units per hour. There are no boilers at this source.

- (e) Equipment powered by internal combustion engines of capacity equal to or less than 500,000 British thermal units per hour, except where total capacity of equipment operated by one stationary source exceeds 2,000,000 British thermal units per hour.
- (f) VOC and HAP storage tanks with capacity less than or equal to 1,000 gallons and annual throughputs less than 12,000 gallons.
- (g) Vessels storing lubricating oil, hydraulic oils, machining oils, and machining fluids.
- (h) Application of oils, greases lubricants or other nonvolatile materials applied as temporary protective coatings.
- (i) Machining where an aqueous cutting coolant continuously floods the machining interface.
- (j) Closed loop heating and cooling systems.
- (k) Activities associated with the treatment of wastewater streams with an oil and grease content less than or equal to one percent (1%) by volume.
- (I) Any operation using aqueous solutions containing less than one percent (1%) by weight of VOCs excluding HAPs.
- (m) Natural draft cooling towers not regulated under a NESHAP.
- (n) Quenching operations used with heat treating processes.
- (o) Paved and unpaved roads and parking lots with public access (the unpaved roads are seldom used). [326 IAC 6-4]
- (p) Equipment used to collect any material that might be released during a malfunction, process upset, or spill cleanup, including catch tanks, temporary liquid separators, tanks, and fluid handling equipment.
- (q) Blowdown for any of the following: sight glass; boiler; compressors; pumps; and cooling tower.
- (r) On-site fire and emergency response training approved by the department.
- (s) A laboratory as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(21)(D).

Existing Approvals

The source has been operating under previous approvals including, but not limited to, the following:

- (a) Registered Construction and Operation Status CP 091-2255-00061, issued on February 11, 1992; and
- (b) Registered Construction and Operation Status CP 091-9486-00061, issued on May 14, 1998.

KSI, LLC Page 4 of 12 LaPorte, Indiana T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

All conditions from previous approvals were incorporated into this Part 70 permit.

Enforcement Issue

There are no enforcement actions pending.

Recommendation

The staff recommends to the Commissioner that the Part 70 permit be approved. This recommendation is based on the following facts and conditions:

Unless otherwise stated, information used in this review was derived from the application and additional information submitted by the applicant.

An administratively complete Part 70 permit application for the purposes of this review was received on August 25, 1999. Additional information was received on March 22, 2000 and July 2, 2001.

There was no notice of completeness letter mailed to the source.

Emission Calculations

Chromium emissions (Single HAP) from the biggest chromium electroplating source in Indiana are less than ten (10) tons per year and KSI, LLC is a much smaller source in comparison. Therefore, no emission calculations were necessary for the chromium electroplating because the chromium emissions from this source will be less than ten (10) tons per year. However, the applicant provided emission calculations for the chromium electroplating operations and these emission calculations, based on Chapter 12.20 of AP-42, have been determined to be acceptable. The actual emission rates from these facilities will be much lower than the calculated potential to emit. The maximum potential emission rates are:

Chromium, 7.16 tons per year PM_{10} , 14.7 tons per year

Potential To Emit

Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(16), Potential to Emit is defined as "the maximum capacity of a stationary source to emit any air pollutant under its physical and operational design. Any physical or operational limitation on the capacity of a source to emit an air pollutant, including air pollution control equipment and restrictions on hours of operation or type or amount of material combusted, stored, or processed shall be treated as part of its design if the limitation is enforceable by the U. S. EPA."

This table reflects the PTE before controls. Control equipment is not considered federally enforceable until it has been required in a federally enforceable permit.

Page 5 of 12 T 091-11278-00061

KSI, LLC LaPorte, Indiana Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

 Pollutant
 Potential To Emit (tons/year)

 PM
 24.7

 PM₁₀
 24.7

 SO₂
 1.00

 VOC
 5.00

Note: For the purpose of determining Title V applicability for particulates, PM₁₀, not PM, is the regulated pollutant in consideration.

5.00

5.00

CO

 NO_X

HAPs	Potential To Emit (tons/year)
Chromium	Less than 10
TOTAL	Less than 10

The potential to emit (as defined in 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(16)) of each criteria pollutant is less (a) than one hundred (100) tons per year, the potential to emit (as defined in 326 IAC 2-1.1-1(16)) of any single HAP is less than ten (10) tons per year and the potential to emit (as defined in 326 IAC 2-7-1(29)) of a combination HAPs is less than twenty-five (25) tons per year. However, pursuant to 326 IAC 20-8-1 (Hard and Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Chromium Anodizing Tanks), the air pollution control board incorporates by reference 40 CFR 63, Subpart N, National Emission Standards for Chromium Emissions from Hard and Decorative Electroplating and Anodizing Tanks. Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.340(e)(2), an owner or operator of any other affected source subject to the provisions of Subpart N is also subject to the Title V permit requirements. IDEM currently has a Nonrule Policy Document which states that the EPA has granted permitting authorities discretion to extend the Part 70 permit application deadline for area sources subject to 40 CFR 63, Subpart N, to December 9, 2005, pursuant to an amendment to 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart N, published in the Federal Register at 64 FR 69637 (December 14, 1999). This source has opted to apply for a Part 70 Operating Permit at this time.

(b) Fugitive Emissions

Since this type of operation is not one of the 28 listed source categories under 326 IAC 2-2, 40 CFR 52.21, or 326 IAC 2-3 and since there are no applicable New Source Performance Standards that were in effect on August 7, 1980, the fugitive particulate matter (PM) and volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions are not counted toward determination of PSD and Emission Offset applicability.

Actual Emissions

The following table shows the actual emissions from the source. This information reflects the 1999 OAQ emission data.

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

Pollutant	Actual Emissions (tons/year)
PM	not reported
PM ₁₀	0.025
SO ₂	0.002
VOC	0.014
СО	0.21
NO _X	0.25
HAPs	not reported

Potential to Emit After Issuance

The table below summarizes the potential to emit, reflecting all limits, of the significant emission units after controls. The control equipment is considered federally enforceable only after issuance of this Part 70 Operating Permit.

	Limited Potential to Emit (tons/year)						
Process/facility	PM	PM ₁₀	SO ₂	voc	СО	NO _x	HAPs
One (1) chromium electroplating bay (BAY 3)	14.7	14.7	1	-	1	-	less than 10
One (1) vertical above ground evaporator storage tank, T- 4	-	-	Г	-	Г	-	negligible
Insignificant Activities	10.0	10.0	1.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	negligible
Total Emissions	24.7	24.7	1.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	less than 10

County Attainment Status

The source is located in LaPorte County.

Page 7 of 12 T 091-11278-00061

KSI, LLC LaPorte, Indiana Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

Pollutant	Status
PM ₁₀	attainment
SO ₂	attainment
NO ₂	attainment
Ozone	attainment
СО	attainment

(a) Volatile organic compounds (VOC) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_X) are precursors for the formation of ozone. Therefore, VOC and NO_X emissions are considered when evaluating the rule applicability relating to the ozone standards. LaPorte County has been designated as attainment or unclassifiable for ozone. Therefore, VOC and NO_X emissions were reviewed pursuant to the requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), 326 IAC 2-2 and 40 CFR 52.21.

attainment

Lead

(b) LaPorte County has been classified as attainment or unclassifiable for all remaining criteria pollutants. Therefore, these emissions were reviewed pursuant to the requirements for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), 326 IAC 2-2 and 40 CFR 52.21.

Part 70 Permit Conditions

This source is subject to the requirements of 326 IAC 2-7, pursuant to which the source has to meet the following:

- (a) Emission limitations and standards, including those operational requirements and limitations that assure compliance with all applicable requirements at the time of issuance of Part 70 permits.
- (b) Monitoring and related record keeping requirements which assume that all reasonable information is provided to evaluate continuous compliance with the applicable requirements.

Federal Rule Applicability

- (a) This Part 70 does not involve a pollutant-specific emissions unit with the potential to emit after control in an amount equal to or greater than one hundred (100) tons per year. Therefore, the requirements of 40 CFR Part 64, Compliance Assurance Monitoring, are not applicable.
- (b) There are no New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)(326 IAC 12 and 40 CFR Part 60) applicable to this source.
- (c) Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.340(c), process tanks associated with a chromium electroplating process, but in which neither chromium electroplating nor chromium anodizing is taking place, are not subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 63, Subpart N. Therefore, the requirements of the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (40 CFR 63, Subpart N, and 326 IAC 20-8-1) are not applicable to the one (1) reverse etch tank, identified as T6, the one (1) phosphorus strip tank, identified as T14, the three (3) counter flow rinse tanks, identified as T3, T4 and T13, the one (1) spray rinse tank, identified as T12, the one (1) rinse tank, identified as T5, the one (1) electroclean tank, identified as T2, the one

KSI, LLC Page 8 of 12 LaPorte, Indiana T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

(1) load tank, identified as T1, and the one (1) vertical above ground evaporator storage tank, identified as T-4. However, since the emissions from the one (1) reverse etch tank, identified as T6, exhaust through the composite mesh pad system, identified as SE, the emissions from the reverse etch tank will be included when conducting performance tests to determine compliance with the NESHAP.

(d) Chromium electroplating tanks T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11 are subject to the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, 326 IAC 14, (40 CFR 63, Subpart N, and 326 IAC 20-1-1). Pursuant to 40 CFR 63, Subpart N, and 326 IAC 20-8-1, the chromium electroplating operations are subject to the following conditions:

(1) Emission limitation:

The Permittee shall comply with the requirements of this rule on and after the compliance date for the tanks.

The hard chromium electroplating tanks, identified as T7 through T11 above, have a maximum cumulative rectifier capacity greater than 60 million Ampere-hours and were constructed after December 16, 1993. Therefore, the hard chromium electroplating tanks, identified as T7 through T11, are considered a large, new hard chromium electroplating operation.

- (A) During tank operation, the Permittee shall control chromium emissions discharged to the atmosphere from tanks T9, T10 and T11 by not allowing the concentration of total chromium in the exhaust gas stream discharged to the atmosphere to exceed fifteen-thousandth milligrams of total chromium per dry standard cubic meter of ventilation air (0.015 mg/dscm) [equivalent to six and six-tenths times ten raised to the power of negative six grains of total chromium per dry standard cubic foot of ventilation air (6.6x10⁻⁶ gr/dscf)].
- (B) Two (2) chrome plating tanks, T7 and T8, and one (1) non-affected tank exhaust to the composite mesh pad system identified as SE and stack SEX. Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.342(b)(2)(ii), if an owner or operator is controlling a group of tanks with a common add-on air pollution control device, the emission limitation calculated according to 40 CFR 63.344(e)(3) applies whenever any one affected source is operated, if affected sources are performing the same type of operation, are subject to the same emission limitation, and are controlled with an add-on air pollution control device that is also controlling nonaffected sources. Therefore, the Permittee shall control chromium emissions discharged to the atmosphere from tanks T7 and T8 by not allowing the total chromium in the exhaust gas stream discharged to the atmosphere to exceed 659.12 milligrams of total chromium per hour (mg/hr). This limit was calculated based upon the following equations from 40 CFR 63.344(e)(3):

Equation 1: $VR_{tot} \times IDA_i/EIA_{total} = VR_{inlet}$

Equation 2: EVR_{inlet} × Emission Limit × 60 minutes/hour = AMR_{sys}

Where VR_{tot} is the average total ventilation rate in dry standard cubic meters per minute (dscm/min) for the three test runs as determined at the outlet by means of the Method 306 testing; IDA_i is the total inlet area for all ducts associated with affected sources; IA_{total} is the sum of all inlet duct

Page 9 of 12 T 091-11278-00061

KSI, LLC LaPorte, Indiana Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

areas from both affected and nonaffected sources; VR_{inlet} is the total ventilation rate from all inlet ducts associated with affected sources; EVR_{inlet} is the total ventilation rate in dry standard cubic meters per minute (dscm/min) from the affected sources; AMR_{sys} is the allowable mass

is the total ventilation rate in dry standard cubic meters per minute (dscm/min) from the affected sources; AMR_{sys} is the allowable mass emission rate in milligrams per hour (mg/hr); and the Emission Limit is the emission limit from 40 CFR 63.342, which is equal to 0.015 mg/dscm. The inlet duct area from each tank is the same; therefore, IDA_i/EIA_{total} is equal to two-thirds (2/3).

 $VR_{tot} = 38,818 \text{ dscfm} \times (0.0283 \text{ m}^3/\text{ft}^3) = 1,098.55 \text{ dscm per minute}$

 $VR_{inlet} = 1,098.55 \text{ dscm/min} \times (2/3) = 732.36 \text{ dscm/min}$

 $AMR_{sys} = 732.36 \text{ dscm/min} \times 0.015 \text{ mg/dscm} \times 60 \text{ min/hr} = 659.12 \text{ mg/hr}$

Mass emission rate from December 1998 testing = 1,098.55 dscm/min × 0.00633 mg/dscm × 60 min/hr = 417.23 mg/hr

The mass emission rate of 417.23 mg/hr is less than the allowable mass emission rate of 659.12 mg/hr. Therefore, tanks T7 and T8 will comply with this emission limitation.

Note: 38,818 dscfm was the flowrate from the testing in December 1998 and 0.00633 mg/dscm was the emission rate from the testing in December 1998.

(2) Monitoring Requirements:

- (A) Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.343(c)(1)(ii), when using a composite mesh-pad system to comply with the limits specified above, the Permittee shall monitor and record the pressure drop across the composite mesh-pad system during tank operation once each day that the hard chromium electroplating tanks are operating. To be in compliance with the standards, the composite mesh-pad system shall be operated within ±1 inch of water column of the pressure drop value established during the initial performance test, or within the range of compliant values for pressure drop established during multiple performance tests.
- (B) Tank operation or operating time is defined as that time when a part is in the tank and the rectifier is turned on. If the amount of time that no part is in the tank is fifteen minutes or longer, that time is not considered operating time. Likewise, if the amount of time between placing parts in the tank (i.e., when no part is in the tank) is less than fifteen minutes, that time between plating the two parts may be considered operating time.

(3) Reporting Requirements:

A summary report shall be prepared to document the ongoing compliance status of the chromium electroplating operation. This report shall be completed annually, retained on site, and made available to IDEM upon request. If there are significant exceedance of chromium air emission limits (as defined in 40 CFR Part 63.347 (h)(2)), then semiannual reports shall be submitted to:

KSI, LLC Page 10 of 12 LaPorte, Indiana T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

Indiana Department of Environmental Management Air Compliance Branch, Office of Air Quality Chromium Electroplating 100 North Senate Avenue, P.O. Box 6015 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206

- (4) The chromium electroplating operations shall be subject to the record keeping and reporting requirement as indicated in the chromium electroplating NESHAP.
- (e) This source is not subject to the requirements of the New Source Performance Standards, 326 IAC 12, (40 CFR 60.110, 60.110a and 60.110b, Subparts K, Ka and Kb) because each storage tank containing volatile material, such as petroleum, has a capacity less than 40 cubic meters.

State Rule Applicability - Entire Source

326 IAC 2-6 (Emission Reporting)

This source is located in LaPorte County and the potentials to emit CO, VOC, NO_x, PM₁₀ and SO₂ are less than one hundred (100) tons per year. Therefore, 326 IAC 2-6 does not apply.

The source will be required to annually submit a statement of the actual emissions of all federally regulated pollutants from the source, for the purpose of fee assessment.

326 IAC 5-1 (Opacity Emissions Limitations)

Pursuant to 326 IAC 5-1-2 (Opacity Limitations), except as provided in 326 IAC 5-1-3 (Temporary alternative opacity limitations), opacity shall meet the following, unless otherwise stated in this permit:

- (a) Opacity shall not exceed an average of forty percent (40%) any one (1) six (6) minute averaging period as determined in 326 IAC 5-1-4.
- (b) Opacity shall not exceed sixty percent (60%) for more than a cumulative total of fifteen (15) minutes (sixty (60) readings as measured according to 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 or fifteen (15) one (1) minute nonoverlapping integrated averages for a continuous opacity monitor) in a six (6) hour period.

State Rule Applicability - Individual Facilities

326 IAC 6-3-2 (Process Operations)

The particulate matter (PM) from the insignificant brazing equipment, cutting torches, soldering equipment, welding equipment, and grinding and machining operations shall be limited by the following:

Interpolation of the data for the process weight rate up to sixty thousand (60,000) pounds per hour shall be accomplished by use of the equation:

 $E = 4.10 P^{0.67}$ where E = rate of emission in pounds per hour and P = process weight rate in tons per hour

KSI, LLC Page 11 of 12 LaPorte, Indiana T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

The control equipment shall be in operation at all times when the grinding and machining is in operation, in order to comply with this limit.

326 IAC 20-8-1 (Hard and Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Chromium Anodizing Tanks)

The hard chrome electroplating tanks, T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11, are subject to the requirements of 326 IAC 20-8-1. This rule incorporates by reference 40 CFR 63, Subpart N. The requirements of this rule are outlined in the "Federal Rules Applicability" section of this document.

Testing Requirements

Initial compliance tests were performed for the hard chrome electroplating tanks, T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11. There are no additional compliance tests required by 326 IAC 20-8-1 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart N, at this time.

Compliance Requirements

Permits issued under 326 IAC 2-7 are required to ensure that sources can demonstrate compliance with applicable state and federal rules on a more or less continuous basis. All state and federal rules contain compliance provisions, however, these provisions do not always fulfill the requirement for a more or less continuous demonstration. When this occurs IDEM, OAQ, in conjunction with the source, must develop specific conditions to satisfy 326 IAC 2-7-5. As a result, compliance requirements are divided into two sections: Compliance Determination Requirements and Compliance Monitoring Requirements.

Compliance Determination Requirements in Section D of the permit are those conditions that are found more or less directly within state and federal rules and the violation of which serves as grounds for enforcement action. If these conditions are not sufficient to demonstrate continuous compliance, they will be supplemented with Compliance Monitoring Requirements, also Section D of the permit. Unlike Compliance Determination Requirements, failure to meet Compliance Monitoring conditions would serve as a trigger for corrective actions and not grounds for enforcement action. However, a violation in relation to a compliance monitoring condition will arise through a source's failure to take the appropriate corrective actions within a specific time period.

The compliance monitoring requirements applicable to this source are as follows:

The chrome electroplating tanks, T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11, have applicable compliance monitoring conditions as specified below:

- (a) Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.343(c)(1)(ii), when using a composite mesh-pad system to comply with the limits of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart N, the Permittee shall monitor and record the pressure drop across the composite mesh-pad system during tank operation once each day that the hard chromium electroplating tanks are operating. To be in compliance with the standards, the composite mesh-pad system shall be operated within ±1 inch of water column of the pressure drop value established during the initial performance test, or within the range of compliant values for pressure drop established during multiple performance tests.
- (b) Tank operation or operating time is defined as that time when a part is in the tank and the rectifier is turned on. If the amount of time that no part is in the tank is fifteen minutes or longer, that time is not considered operating time. Likewise, if the amount of time between placing parts in the tank (i.e., when no part is in the tank) is less than fifteen minutes, that time between plating the two parts may be considered operating time.

KSI, LLC Page 12 of 12 LaPorte, Indiana T 091-11278-00061

Permit Reviewer: CAP/MES

These monitoring conditions are necessary to ensure compliance with 326 IAC 20-8-1 and 326 IAC 2-7 (Part 70).

Conclusion

The operation of this hard chrome electroplating source shall be subject to the conditions of the attached proposed **Part 70 Permit No. T 091-11278-00061**.

Subpart N—National Emission Standards for Chromium Emissions From Hard and Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Chromium Anodizing Tanks

SOURCE: 60 FR 4963, Jan. 25, 1995, unless otherwise noted.

§63.340 Applicability and designation of sources.

- (a) The affected source to which the provisions of this subpart apply is each chromium electroplating or chromium anodizing tank at facilities performing hard chromium electroplating, decorative chromium electroplating, or chromium anodizing.
- (b) Owners or operators of affected sources subject to the provisions of this subpart must also comply with the requirements of subpart A of this part, according to the applicability of subpart A of this part to such sources, as identified in Table 1 of this subpart.
- (c) Process tanks associated with a chromium electroplating or chromium anodizing process, but in which neither chromium electroplating nor chromium anodizing is taking place, are not subject to the provisions of this subpart. Examples of such tanks include, but are not limited to, rinse tanks, etching tanks, and cleaning tanks. Likewise, tanks that contain a chromium solution, but in which no electrolytic process occurs, are not subject to this subpart. An example of such a tank is a chrome conversion coating tank where no electrical current is applied.
- (d) Affected sources in which research and laboratory operations are performed are exempt from the provisions of this subpart when such operations are taking place.
- (e)(1) The Administrator has determined, pursuant to the criteria under section 502(a) of the Act, that an owner or operator of the following types of operations that are not by themselves major sources and that are not located at major sources, as defined under 40 CFR 70.2, is permanently exempt from title V permitting requirements for that operation:

- (i) Any decorative chromium electroplating operation or chromium anodizing operation that uses fume suppressants as an emission reduction technology; and
- (ii) Any decorative chromium electroplating operation that uses a trivalent chromium bath that incorporates a wetting agent as a bath ingredient.
- (2) An owner or operator of any other affected source subject to the provisions of this subpart is subject to title V permitting requirements. These affected sources, if not major or located at major sources as defined under 40 CFR 70.2, may be deferred by the applicable title V permitting authority from title V permitting requirements for 5 years after the date on which the EPA first approves a part 70 program (i.e., until December 9,1999). All sources receiving deferrals shall submit title V permit applications within 12 months of such date (by December 9, 2000). All sources receiving deferrals still must meet the compliance schedule as stated in §63.343.

[60 FR 4963, Jan. 25, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 27787, June 3, 1996]

§63.341 Definitions and nomenclature.

(a) *Definitions*. Terms used in this subpart are defined in the Act, in subpart A of this part, or in this section. For the purposes of subpart N of this part, if the same term is defined in subpart A of this part and in this section, it shall have the meaning given in this section.

Add-on air pollution control device means equipment installed in the ventilation system of chromium electroplating and anodizing tanks for the purposes of collecting and containing chromium emissions from the tank(s).

Air pollution control technique means any method, such as an add-on air pollution control device or a chemical fume suppressant, that is used to reduce chromium emissions from chromium electroplating and chromium anodizing tanks.

Base metal means the metal or metal alloy that comprises the workpiece.

Bath component means the trade or brand name of each component(s) in trivalent chromium plating baths. For trivalent chromium baths, the bath composition is proprietary in most cases. Therefore, the trade or brand name for each component(s) can be used; however, the chemical name of the wetting agent contained in that component must be identified.

Chemical fume suppressant means any chemical agent that reduces or suppresses fumes or mists at the surface of an electroplating or anodizing bath; another term for fume suppressant is mist suppressant.

Chromic acid means the common name for chromium anhydride (CrO₃).

Chromium anodizing means the electrolytic process by which an oxide layer is produced on the surface of a base metal for functional purposes (e.g., corrosion resistance or electrical insulation) using a chromic acid solution. In chromium anodizing, the part to be anodized acts as the anode in the electrical circuit, and the chromic acid solution, with a concentration typically ranging from 50 to 100 grams per liter (g/L), serves as the electrolyte.

Chromium electroplating or chromium anodizing tank means the receptacle or container in which hard or decorative chromium electroplating or chromium anodizing occurs.

Composite mesh-pad system means an add-on air pollution control device typically consisting of several mesh-pad stages. The purpose of the first stage is to remove large particles. Smaller particles are removed in the second stage, which consists of the composite mesh pad. A final stage may remove any reentrained particles not collected by the composite mesh pad.

Decorative chromium electroplating means the process by which a thin layer of chromium (typically 0.003 to 2.5 microns) is electrodeposited on a base metal, plastic, or undercoating to provide a bright surface with wear and tarnish resistance. In this process, the part(s) serves as the cathode in the electrolytic cell and the solution serves as the electrolyte. Typical current density applied during this process ranges from 540 to 2,400 Amperes per square meter (A/m²) for total plating times ranging between 0.5 to 5 minutes.

Electroplating or anodizing bath means the electrolytic solution used as the conducting medium in which the flow of current is accompanied by movement of metal ions for the purposes of electroplating metal out of the solution onto a workpiece or for oxidizing the base material.

Emission limitation means, for the purposes of this subpart, the concentration of total chromium allowed to be emitted expressed in milligrams per dry standard cubic meter (mg/dscm), or the allowable surface tension expressed in dynes per centimeter (dynes/cm).

Facility means the major or area source at which chromium electroplating or chromium anodizing is performed.

Fiber-bed mist eliminator means an add-on air pollution control device that removes contaminants from a gas stream through the mechanisms of inertial impaction and Brownian diffusion. These devices are typically installed downstream of another control device, which serves to prevent plugging, and consist of one or more fiber beds. Each bed consists of a hollow cylinder formed from two concentric screens; the fiber between the screens may be fabricated from glass, ceramic plastic, or metal.

Foam blanket means the type of chemical fume suppressant that generates a layer of foam across the surface of a solution when current is applied to that solution.

Fresh water means water, such as tap water, that has not been previously used in a process operation or, if the water has been recycled from a process operation, it has been treated and meets the effluent guidelines for chromium wastewater.

Hard chromium electroplating or industrial chromium electroplating means a process by which a thick layer of chromium (typically 1.3 to 760 microns) is electrodeposited on a base material to provide a surface with functional properties such as wear resistance, a low coefficient of friction, hardness, and corrosion resistance. In this process, the part serves as the cathode in the electrolytic cell and the solution serves as the electrolyte. Hard chromium electroplating process is performed at current densities typically ranging from 1,600 to 6,500 Å/m2 for total plating times ranging from 20 minutes to 36 hours depending upon the desired plate thickness.

Hexavalent chromium means the form of chromium in a valence state of +6.

Large, hard chromium electroplating facility means a facility that performs hard chromium electroplating and has a maximum cumulative potential rectifier capacity greater than or equal to 60 million ampere-hours per year (amphr/yr).

Maximum cumulative potential rectifier capacity means the summation of the total installed rectifier capacity associated with the hard chromium electroplating tanks at a facility, expressed in amperes, multiplied by the maximum potential operating schedule of 8,400 hours per year and 0.7, which assumes that electrodes are energized 70 percent of the total operating time. The maximum potential operating schedule is based on operating 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, 50 weeks per year.

Operating parameter value means a minimum or maximum value established for a control device or process parameter which, if achieved by itself or in combination with one or more other operating parameter values, determines that an owner or operator is in continual compliance with the applicable emission limitation or standard.

Packed-bed scrubber means an add-on air pollution control device consisting of a single or double packed bed that contains packing media on which the chromic acid droplets impinge. The packed-bed section of the scrubber is followed by a mist eliminator to remove any water entrained from the packed-bed section.

Research or laboratory operation means an operation whose primary purpose is for research and development of new processes and products, that is conducted under the close supervision of technically trained personnel, and that is not involved in the manufacture of products for commercial sale in commerce, except in a de minimis manner.

Small, hard chromium electroplating facility means a facility that performs hard chromium electroplating and has a maximum cumulative potential rectifier capacity less than 60 million amp-hr/yr.

Stalagmometer means a device used to measure the surface tension of a solution.

Surface tension means the property, due to molecular forces, that exists in the surface film of all liquids and tends to prevent liquid from spreading.

Tank operation means the time in which current and/or voltage is being applied to a chromium electroplating tank or a chromium anodizing tank.

Tensiometer means a device used to measure the surface tension of a solu-

Trivalent chromium means the form of chromium in a valence state of +3.

Trivalent chromium process means the process used for electrodeposition of a thin layer of chromium onto a base material using a trivalent chromium solution instead of a chromic acid solution

Wetting agent means the type of chemical fume suppressant that reduces the surface tension of a liquid.

- (b) *Nomenclature*. The nomenclature used in this subpart has the following meaning:
- (1) AMR=the allowable mass emission rate from each type of affected source subject to the same emission limitation in milligrams per hour (mg/hr).
- (2) AMR_{sys}=the allowable mass emission rate from affected sources controlled by an add-on air pollution control device controlling emissions from multiple sources in mg/hr.
- (3) EL=the applicable emission limitation from §63.342 in milligrams per dry standard cubic meter (mg/dscm).
- (4) IA_{total} =the sum of all inlet duct areas from both affected and non-affected sources in meters squared.
- (5) IDA_i=the total inlet area for all ducts associated with affected sources in meters squared.
- (6) IDA_{i,a}=the total inlet duct area for all ducts conveying chromic acid from each type of affected source performing the same operation, or each type of affected source subject to the same emission limitation in meters squared.
- (7) VR=the total of ventilation rates for each type of affected source subject to the same emission limitation in dry standard cubic meters per minute (dscm/min).
- (8) VR_{inlet}=the total ventilation rate from all inlet ducts associated with affected sources in dscm/min.
- (9) $VR_{inlet,a}$ =the total ventilation rate from all inlet ducts conveying chromic

acid from each type of affected source performing the same operation, or each type of affected source subject to the same emission limitation in dscm/min.

(10) VR_{tot} =the average total ventilation rate for the three test runs as determined at the outlet by means of the Method 306 in appendix A of this part testing in dscm/min.

§63.342 Standards.

(a) Each owner or operator of an affected source subject to the provisions of this subpart shall comply with these requirements on and after the compliance dates specified in §63.343(a). All affected sources are regulated by applying maximum achievable control technology.

(b) Applicability of emission limits. (1) The emission limitations in this section apply only during tank operation, and also apply during periods of start-up and shutdown as these are routine occurrences for affected sources subject to this subpart. The emission limitations do not apply during periods of malfunction, but the work practice standards that address operation and maintenance and that are required by paragraph (f) of this section must be followed during malfunctions.

(2) If an owner or operator is controlling a group of tanks with a common add-on air pollution control device, the emission limitations of paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) of this section apply whenever any one affected source is operated. The emission limitation that applies to the group of affected sources is:

- (i) The emission limitation identified in paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) of this section if the affected sources are performing the same type of operation (e.g., hard chromium electroplating), are subject to the same emission limitation, and are not controlled by an add-on air pollution control device also controlling nonaffected sources;
- (ii) The emission limitation calculated according to §63.344(e)(3) if affected sources are performing the same type of operation, are subject to the same emission limitation, and are controlled with an add-on air pollution control device that is also controlling nonaffected sources; and
- (iii) The emission limitation calculated according to §63.344(e)(4) if af-

fected sources are performing different types of operations, or affected sources are performing the same operations but subject to different emission limitations, and are controlled with an addon air pollution control device that may also be controlling emissions from nonaffected sources.

- (c)(1) Standards for hard chromium electroplating tanks. During tank operation, each owner or operator of an existing, new, or reconstructed affected source shall control chromium emissions discharged to the atmosphere from that affected source by not allowing the concentration of total chromium in the exhaust gas stream discharged to the atmosphere to exceed:
- (i) 0.015 milligrams of total chromium per dry standard cubic meter (mg/dscm) of ventilation air (6.6×10⁻⁶ grains per dry standard cubic foot [gr/dscf]); or
- (ii) 0.03 mg/dscm (1.3×10⁻⁵ gr/dscf) if the hard chromium electroplating tank is an existing affected source and is located at a small, hard chromium electroplating facility.
- (2)(i) An owner or operator may demonstrate the size of a hard chromium electroplating facility through the definitions in §63.341(a). Alternatively, an owner or operator of a facility with a maximum cumulative potential rectifier capacity of 60 million amp-hr/yr or more may be considered small if the actual cumulative rectifier capacity is eless than 60 million amp-hr/yr as demonstrated using the following procedures:
- (A) If records show that the facility's previous annual actual rectifier capacity was less than 60 million amp-hr/yr, by using nonresettable ampere-hr meters and keeping monthly records of actual ampere-hr usage for each 12-month rolling period following the compliance date in accordance with §63.346(b)(12). The actual cumulative rectifier capacity for the previous 12-month rolling period shall be tabulated monthly by adding the capacity for the current month to the capacities for the previous 11 months; or
- (B) By accepting a Federally-enforceable limit on the maximum cumulative potential rectifier capacity of a hard chromium electroplating facility and

by maintaining monthly records in accordance with §63.346(b)(12) to demonstrate that the limit has not been exceeded. The actual cumulative rectifier capacity for the previous 12-month rolling period shall be tabulated monthly by adding the capacity for the current month to the capacities for the previous 11 months.

- (ii) Once the monthly records required to be kept by \$63.346(b)(12) and by this paragraph show that the actual cumulative rectifier capacity over the previous 12-month rolling period corresponds to the large designation, the owner or operator is subject to the emission limitation identified in paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section, in accordance with the compliance schedule of \$63.343(a)(5).
- (d) Standards for decorative chromium electroplating tanks using a chromic acid bath and chromium anodizing tanks. During tank operation, each owner or operator of an existing, new, or reconstructed affected source shall control chromium emissions discharged to the atmosphere from that affected source by either:
- (1) Not allowing the concentration of total chromium in the exhaust gas stream discharged to the atmosphere to exceed 0.01 mg/dscm (4.4×10⁻⁶ gr/dscf); or
- (2) If a chemical fume suppressant containing a wetting agent is used, by not allowing the surface tension of the electroplating or anodizing bath contained within the affected source to exceed 45 dynes per centimeter (dynes/cm) $(3.1\times10^{-3}$ pound-force per foot [lb_f/ft]) at any time during operation of the tank.
- (e) Standards for decorative chromium electroplating tanks using a trivalent chromium bath. (1) Each owner or operator of an existing, new, or reconstructed decorative chromium electroplating tank that uses a trivalent chromium bath that incorporates a wetting agent as a bath ingredient is subject to the recordkeeping and reporting requirements of §§63.346(b)(14) and 63.347(i), but are not subject to the work practice requirements of paragraph (f) of this section, or the continuous compliance monitoring requirements in §63.343(c). The wetting agent must be an ingredient in the trivalent

chromium bath components purchased from vendors.

- (2) Each owner or operator of an existing, new, or reconstructed decorative chromium electroplating tank that uses a trivalent chromium bath that does not incorporate a wetting agent as a bath ingredient is subject to the standards of paragraph (d) of this section.
- (3) Each owner or operator of existing, new, or reconstructed decorative chromium electroplating tank that had been using a trivalent chromium bath that incorporates a wetting agent and ceases using this type of bath must fulfill the reporting requirements of §63.347(i)(3) and comply with the applicable emission limitation within the timeframe specified in §63.343(a)(7).
- (f) Work practice standards. The work practice standards of this section address operation and maintenance practices. All owners or operators subject to the standards in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section are subject to these work practice standards.
- (1)(i) At all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, owners or operators shall operate and maintain any affected source, including associated air pollution control devices and monitoring equipment, in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices, consistent with the operation and maintenance plan required by paragraph (f)(3) of this section
- (ii) Malfunctions shall be corrected as soon as practicable after their occurrence in accordance with the operation and maintenance plan required by paragraph (f)(3) of this section.
- (iii) Operation and maintenance requirements established pursuant to section 112 of the Act are enforceable independent of emissions limitations or other requirements in relevant standards.
- (2)(i) Determination of whether acceptable operation and maintenance procedures are being used will be based on information available to the Administrator, which may include, but is not limited to, monitoring results; review of the operation and maintenance plan, procedures, and records; and inspection of the source.

§ 63.342

- (ii) Based on the results of a determination made under paragraph (f)(2)(i) of this section, the Administrator may require that an owner or operator of an affected source make changes to the operation and maintenance plan required by paragraph (f)(3) of this section for that source. Revisions may be required if the Administrator finds that the plan:
- (A) Does not address a malfunction that has occurred;
- (B) Fails to provide for the operation of the affected source, the air pollution control techniques, or the control system and process monitoring equipment during a malfunction in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices; or
- (C) Does not provide adequate procedures for correcting malfunctioning process equipment, air pollution control techniques, or monitoring equipment as quickly as practicable.
- (3) Operation and maintenance plan. (i) The owner or operator of an affected source subject to the work practices of paragraph (f) of this section shall prepare an operation and maintenance plan to be implemented no later than the compliance date, except for hard chromium electroplaters and chromium anodizing operations in California which have until January 25, 1998. The plan shall be incorporated by reference into the source's title V permit, if and when a title V permit is required. The plan shall include the following elements:
- (A) The plan shall specify the operation and maintenance criteria for the affected source, the add-on air pollution control device (if such a device is used to comply with the emission limits), and the process and control system monitoring equipment, and shall include a standardized checklist to document the operation and maintenance of this equipment;
- (B) For sources using an add-on air pollution control device or monitoring equipment to comply with this subpart, the plan shall incorporate the work practice standards for that device or monitoring equipment, as identified in Table 1 of this section, if the specific equipment used is identified in Table 1 of this section;

- (C) If the specific equipment used is not identified in Table 1 of this section, the plan shall incorporate proposed work practice standards. These proposed work practice standards shall be submitted to the Administrator for approval as part of the submittal required under §63.343(d);
- (D) The plan shall specify procedures to be followed to ensure that equipment or process malfunctions due to poor maintenance or other preventable conditions do not occur; and
- (E) The plan shall include a systematic procedure for identifying malfunctions of process equipment, add-on air pollution control devices, and process and control system monitoring equipment and for implementing corrective actions to address such malfunctions.
- (ii) If the operation and maintenance plan fails to address or inadequately addresses an event that meets the characteristics of a malfunction at the time the plan is initially developed, the owner or operator shall revise the operation and maintenance plan within 45 days after such an event occurs. The revised plan shall include procedures for operating and maintaining the process equipment, add-on air pollution control device, or monitoring equipment during similar malfunction events, and a program for corrective action for such events.
- (iii) Recordkeeping associated with the operation and maintenance plan is identified in $\S63.346(b)$. Reporting associated with the operation and maintenance plan is identified in $\S63.347$ (g) and (h) and paragraph (f)(3)(iv) of this section.
- (iv) If actions taken by the owner or operator during periods of malfunction are inconsistent with the procedures specified in the operation and maintenance plan required by paragraph (f)(3)(i) of this section, the owner or operator shall record the actions taken for that event and shall report by phone such actions within 2 working days after commencing actions inconsistent with the plan. This report shall be followed by a letter within 7 working days after the end of the event, unless the owner or operator makes alternative reporting arrangements, in advance, with the Administrator.

- (v) The owner or operator shall keep the written operation and maintenance plan on record after it is developed to be made available for inspection, upon request, by the Administrator for the life of the affected source or until the source is no longer subject to the provisions of this subpart. In addition, if the operation and maintenance plan is revised, the owner or operator shall keep previous (i.e., superseded) versions of the operation and maintenance plan on record to be made available for inspection, upon request, by the Administrator for a period of 5 years after each revision to the plan.
- (vi) To satisfy the requirements of paragraph (f)(3) of this section, the owner or operator may use applicable standard operating procedure (SOP) manuals, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) plans, or other existing plans, provided the alternative plans meet the requirements of this section.
- (g) The standards in this section that apply to chromic acid baths shall not be met by using a reducing agent to change the form of chromium from hexavalent to trivalent.

TABLE 1 TO §63.342.—SUMMARY OF WORK PRACTICE STANDARDS

Control technique	Work practice standards	Frequency
Composite mesh-pad (CMP) system	Composite mesh-pad (CMP) system 1. Visually inspect device to ensure there is proper drainage, no chronic acid buildup on the pads, and no evidence of chemical attack on the structural integrity of the device. 2. Visually inspect back portion of the mesh pad closest to the fan to ensure there is no breakthrough of chrolous.	 1, 1/quarter. 1/quarter.
Packed-bed scrubber (PSB)	mic acid mist. 3. Visually inspect ductwork from tank to the control device to ensure there are no leaks	3. 1/quarter. 4. Per manufacturer. 1. 1/quarter. 2. 1/quarter.
PBS/CMP system	3. Same as number 3 above 3. 1/quarter. 4. Add fresh makeup water to the top of the packed bed ^{№ b} . 1. Same as for CMP system 2. Same as for CMP system 2. Same as for CMP system 3. 1/quarter. 3. Again as for CMP system 3. 1/quarter.	3. 1/quarter. 4. Whenever makeup is added. 1. 1/quarter. 2. 1/quarter. 3. 1/quarter.
Fiber-bed mist eliminator °	4. Same as for CMP system	4. Per manufacturer. 1. 1/quarter. 2. 1/quarter.
Air pollution control device (APCD) not listed in rule.	Air pollution control device (APCD) not To be proposed by the source for approval by the Administrator	 Per manufacturer. To be proposed by the source for approval by the Adminis- trator.
	Monitoring Equipment	

in religion in the second	Backflush with water, or remove from the duct and rinse with fresh water. Replace in the duct and rotate 180 1/quarter. degrees to ensure that the same zero reading is obtained. Check pitot tube ends for damage. Replace pitot tube if cracked or fatigued.		alf greater than 50 percent of the scrubber water is drained (e.g., for maintenance purposes), makeup water may be added to the scrubber basin. **Por horizontal-flow scrubbers, top is defined as the section of the unit directly above the packing media such that the makeup water would flow perpendicular to the air flow through the unit. packing, For vertical-flow units, the top is defined as the area downstream of the packing material such that the makeup water would flow countercurrent to the air flow through the unit. **VOKK practice standards for the control device installed upstream of the fiber-bed mist eliminator to prevent plugging do not apply as long as the work practice standards for the fiber-bed unit are followed.
	Pitot tube	Stalagmometer	a If greater than 50 percent of the horizontal-flow scrubbers packing. For vertical-flow units, the "Work practice standards for the unit are followed.

[60 FR 4963, Jan. 25, 1995; 60 FR 33122, June 27, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 27787, June 3, 1996; 62 FR 42920, Aug. 11, 1997]

§63.343 Compliance provisions.

- (a) *Compliance dates.* (1) The owner or operator of an existing affected source shall comply with the emission limitations in §63.342 as follows:
- (i) No later than 1 year after January 25, 1995, if the affected source is a decorative chromium electroplating tank; and
- (ii) No later than 2 years after January 25, 1995, if the affected source is a hard chromium electroplating tank or a chromium anodizing tank.
- (2) The owner or operator of a new or reconstructed affected source that has an initial startup after January 25, 1995, shall comply immediately upon startup of the source. The owner or operator of a new or reconstructed affected source that has an initial startup after December 16, 1993 but before January 25, 1995, shall follow the compliance schedule of §63.6(b)(1).
- (3) The owner or operator of an existing area source that increases actual or potential emissions of hazardous air pollutants such that the area source becomes a major source must comply with the provisions for existing major sources, including the reporting provisions of §63.347(g), immediately upon becoming a major source.
- (4) The owner or operator of a new area source (i.e., an area source for which construction or reconstruction was commenced after December 16, 1993) that increases actual or potential emissions of hazardous air pollutants such that the area source becomes a major source must comply with the provisions for new major sources, immediately upon becoming a major source.
- (5) An owner or operator of an existing hard chromium electroplating tank or tanks located at a small, hard chromium electroplating facility that increases its maximum cumulative potential rectifier capacity, or its actual cumulative rectifier capacity, such that the facility becomes a large, hard chromium electroplating facility must comply with the requirements of §63.342(c)(1)(i) for all hard chromium electroplating tanks at the facility no later than 1 year after the month in which monthly records required by §§63.342(c)(2) and 63.346(b)(12) show that the large designation is met, or by the

- compliance date specified in paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section, whichever is later.
- (6) Request for an extension of compliance. An owner or operator of an affected source or sources that requests an extension of compliance shall do so in accordance with this paragraph and the applicable paragraphs of §63.6(i). When the owner or operator is requesting the extension for more than one affected source located at the facility, then only one request may be submitted for all affected sources at the facility.
- (i) The owner or operator of an existing affected source who is unable to comply with a relevant standard under this subpart may request that the Administrator (or a State, when the State has an approved part 70 permit program and the source is required to obtain a part 70 permit under that program, or a State, when the State has been delegated the authority to implement and enforce the emission standard for that source) grant an extension allowing the owner or operator up to 1 additional year to comply with the standard for the affected source. The owner or operator of an affected source who has requested an extension of compliance under this paragraph and is otherwise required to obtain a title V permit for the source shall apply for such permit or apply to have the title V permit revised to incorporate the conditions of the extension of compliance. The conditions of an extension of compliance granted under this paragraph will be incorporated into the owner or operator's title V permit for the affected source(s) according to the provisions of 40 CFR part 70 or 40 CFR part 71, whichever is applicable.
- (ii) Any request under this paragraph for an extension of compliance with a relevant standard shall be submitted in writing to the appropriate authority not later than 6 months before the affected source's compliance date as specified in this section.
- (7) An owner or operator of a decorative chromium electroplating tank that uses a trivalent chromium bath that incorporates a wetting agent, and that ceases using the trivalent chromium process, must comply with the emission limitation now applicable to

§ 63.343

the tank within 1 year of switching bath operation.

- (b) Methods to demonstrate initial compliance.
- (1) Except as provided in paragraphs (b)(2) and (b)(3) of this section, an owner or operator of an affected source subject to the requirements of this subpart is required to conduct an initial performance test as required under §63.7, except for hard chromium electroplaters and chromium anodizing operations in California which have until January 25, 1998, using the procedures and test methods listed in §§63.7 and 63.344.
- (2) If the owner or operator of an affected source meets all of the following criteria, an initial performance test is not required to be conducted under this subpart:
- (i) The affected source is a decorative chromium electroplating tank or a chromium anodizing tank; and
- (ii) A wetting agent is used in the plating or anodizing bath to inhibit chromium emissions from the affected source; and
- (iii) The owner or operator complies with the applicable surface tension limit of $\S63.342(d)(2)$ as demonstrated through the continuous compliance monitoring required by paragraph (c)(5)(ii) of this section.
- (3) If the affected source is a decorative chromium electroplating tank using a trivalent chromium bath, and the owner or operator is subject to the provisions of §63.342(e), an initial performance test is not required to be conducted under this subpart.
- (c) Monitoring to demonstrate continuous compliance. The owner or operator of an affected source subject to the emission limitations of this subpart shall conduct monitoring according to the type of air pollution control technique that is used to comply with the emission limitation. The monitoring required to demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limitations is identified in this section for the air pollution control techniques expected to be used by the owners or operators of affected sources.
- (1) Composite mesh-pad systems. (i) During the initial performance test, the owner or operator of an affected source, or a group of affected sources

under common control, complying with the emission limitations in §63.342 through the use of a composite meshpad system shall determine the outlet chromium concentration using the test methods and procedures in §63.344(c), and shall establish as a site-specific operating parameter the pressure drop across the system, setting the value that corresponds to compliance with the applicable emission limitation, using the procedures in §63.344(d)(5). An owner or operator may conduct multiple performance tests to establish a range of compliant pressure drop values, or may set as the compliant value the average pressure drop measured over the three test runs of one performance test and accept ±1 inch of water column from this value as the compliant range.

(ii) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is required to be completed under §63.7, except for hard chromium electroplaters and chromium anodizing operations in California which have until January 25, 1998, the owner or operator of an affected source, or group of affected sources under common control, shall monitor and record the pressure drop across the composite mesh-pad system once each day that any affected source is operating. To be in compliance with the standards, the composite mesh-pad system shall be operated within ±1 inch of water column of the pressure drop value established during the initial performance test, or shall be operated within the range of compliant values for pressure drop established during multiple performance tests.

(2) Packed-bed scrubber systems. (i) During the initial performance test, the owner or operator of an affected source, or group of affected sources under common control, complying with the emission limitations in §63.342 through the use of a packed-bed scrubber system shall determine the outlet chromium concentration using the procedures in §63.344(c), and shall establish as site-specific operating parameters the pressure drop across the system and the velocity pressure at the common inlet of the control device, setting the value that corresponds to compliance with the applicable emission limitation using the procedures in $\S63.344(d)$ (4) and (5). An owner or operator may conduct multiple performance tests to establish a range of compliant operating parameter values. Alternatively, the owner or operator may set as the compliant value the average pressure drop and inlet velocity pressure measured over the three test runs of one performance test, and accept ± 1 inch of water column from the pressure drop value and ± 10 percent from the velocity pressure value as the compliant range.

(ii) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is required to be completed under §63.7, except for hard chromium electroplaters and chromium anodizing operations in California which have until January 25, 1998, the owner or operator of an affected source, or group of affected sources under common control, shall monitor and record the velocity pressure at the inlet to the packed-bed system and the pressure drop across the scrubber system once each day that any affected source is operating. To be in compliance with the standards, the scrubber system shall be operated within ±10 percent of the velocity pressure value established during the initial performance test, and within ±1 inch of water column of the pressure drop value established during the initial performance test, or within the range of compliant operating parameter values established during multiple performance tests.

(3) Packed-bed scrubber/composite mesh-pad system. The owner or operator of an affected source, or group of affected sources under common control, that uses a packed-bed scrubber in conjunction with a composite mesh-pad system to meet the emission limitations of \$63.342 shall comply with the monitoring requirements for composite mesh-pad systems as identified in paragraph (c)(1) of this section.

(4) Fiber-bed mist eliminator. (i) During the initial performance test, the owner or operator of an affected source, or group of affected sources under common control, complying with the emission limitations in §63.342 through the use of a fiber-bed mist eliminator shall determine the outlet chromium concentration using the procedures in §63.344(c), and shall establish as a site-

specific operating parameter the pressure drop across the fiber-bed mist eliminator and the pressure drop across the control device installed upstream of the fiber bed to prevent plugging, setting the value that corresponds to compliance with the applicable emission limitation using the procedures in $\S63.344(d)(5)$. An owner or operator may conduct multiple performance tests to establish a range of compliant pressure drop values, or may set as the compliant value the average pressure drop measured over the three test runs of one performance test and accept ±1 inch of water column from this value as the compliant range.

(ii) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is required to be completed under §63.7, except for hard chromium electroplaters and chromium anodizing operations in California which have until January 25, 1998, the owner or operator of an affected source, or group of affected sources under common control, shall monitor and record the pressure drop across the fiber-bed mist eliminator, and the control device installed upstream of the fiber bed to prevent plugging, once each day that any affected source is operating. To be in compliance with the standards, the fiber-bed mist eliminator and the upstream control device shall be operated within ±1 inch of water column of the pressure drop value established during the initial performance test, or shall be operated within the range of compliant values for pressure drop established during multiple performance tests.

(5) Wetting agent-type or combination wetting agent-type/foam blanket fume suppressants. (i) During the initial performance test, the owner or operator of an affected source complying with the emission limitations in §63.342 through the use of a wetting agent in the electroplating or anodizing bath shall determine the outlet chromium concentration using the procedures in §63.344(c). The owner or operator shall establish as the site-specific operating parameter the surface tension of the bath using Method 306B, appendix A of this part, setting the maximum value that corresponds to compliance with the applicable emission limitation. In

lieu of establishing the maximum surface tension during the performance test, the owner or operator may accept 45 dynes/cm as the maximum surface tension value that corresponds to compliance with the applicable emission limitation. However, the owner or operator is exempt from conducting a performance test only if the criteria of paragraph (b)(2) of this section are met.

(ii) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is required to be completed under §63.7, except for hard chromium electroplaters and chromium anodizing operations in California which have until January 25, 1998, the owner or operator of an affected source shall monitor the surface tension of the electroplating or anodizing bath. Operation of the affected source at a surface tension greater than the value established during the performance test, or greater than 45 dynes/cm if the owner or operator is using this value in accordance with paragraph (c)(5)(i) of this section, shall constitute noncompliance with the standards. The surface tension shall be monitored according to the following schedule:

(A) The surface tension shall be measured once every 4 hours during operation of the tank with a stalagmometer or a tensiometer as specified in Method 306B, appendix A of this part.

(B) The time between monitoring can be increased if there have been no exceedances. The surface tension shall be measured once every 4 hours of tank operation for the first 40 hours of tank operation after the compliance date. Once there are no exceedances during 40 hours of tank operation, surface tension measurement may be conducted once every 8 hours of tank operation. Once there are no exceedances during 40 hours of tank operation, surface tension measurement may be conducted once every 40 hours of tank operation on an ongoing basis, until an exceedance occurs. The minimum frequency of monitoring allowed by this subpart is once every 40 hours of tank operation.

(C) Once an exceedance occurs as indicated through surface tension monitoring, the original monitoring schedule of once every 4 hours must be resumed. A subsequent decrease in fre-

quency shall follow the schedule laid out in paragraph (c)(5)(ii)(B) of this section. For example, if an owner or operator had been monitoring an affected source once every 40 hours and an exceedance occurs, subsequent monitoring would take place once every 4 hours of tank operation. Once an exceedance does not occur for 40 hours of tank operation, monitoring can occur once every 8 hours of tank operation. Once an exceedance does not occur for 40 hours of tank operation on this schedule, monitoring can occur once every 40 hours of tank operation.

(iii) Once a bath solution is drained from the affected tank and a new solution added, the original monitoring schedule of once every 4 hours must be resumed, with a decrease in monitoring frequency allowed following the procedures of paragraphs (c)(5)(ii) (B) and (C) of this section.

(6) Foam blanket-type fume suppressants. (i) During the initial performance test, the owner or operator of an affected source complying with the emission limitations in §63.342 through the use of a foam blanket in the electroplating or anodizing bath shall determine the outlet chromium concentration using the procedures in §63.344(c), and shall establish as the site-specific operating parameter the thickness of the foam blanket, setting the minimum thickness that corresponds to compliance with the applicable emission limitation. In lieu of establishing the minimum foam blanket thickness during the performance test, the owner or operator may accept 2.54 centimeters (1 inch) as the minimum foam blanket thickness that corresponds to compliance with the applicable emission limitation. All foam blanket measurements must be taken in close proximity to the workpiece or cathode area in the plating tank(s).

(ii) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is required to be completed under §63.7, except for hard chromium electroplaters and chromium anodizing operations in California which have until January 25, 1998, the owner or operator of an affected source shall monitor the foam blanket thickness of the electroplating

or anodizing bath. Operation of the affected source at a foam blanket thickness less than the value established during the performance test, or less than 2.54 cm (1 inch) if the owner or operator is using this value in accordance with paragraph (c)(6)(i) of this section, shall constitute noncompliance with the standards. The foam blanket thickness shall be measured according to the following schedule:

(A) The foam blanket thickness shall be measured once every 1 hour of tank operation.

(B) The time between monitoring can be increased if there have been no exceedances. The foam blanket thickness shall be measured once every hour of tank operation for the first 40 hours of tank operation after the compliance date. Once there are no exceedances for 40 hours of tank operation, foam blanket thickness measurement may be conducted once every 4 hours of tank operation. Once there are exceedances during 40 hours of tank operation, foam blanket thickness measurement may be conducted once every 8 hours of tank operation on an ongoing basis, until an exceedance occurs. The minimum frequency of monitoring allowed by this subpart is once per 8 hours of tank operation.

(C) Once an exceedance occurs as indicated through foam blanket thickness monitoring, the original monitoring schedule of once every hour must be resumed. A subsequent decrease in frequency shall follow the paragraph schedule laid out in (c)(6)(ii)(B) of this section. For example, if an owner or operator had been monitoring an affected source once every 8 hours and an exceedance occurs, subsequent monitoring would take place once every hour of tank operation. Once an exceedance does not occur for 40 hours of tank operation, monitoring can occur once every 4 hours of tank operation. Once an exceedance does not occur for 40 hours of tank operation on this schedule, monitoring can occur once every 8 hours of tank operation.

(iii) Once a bath solution is drained from the affected tank and a new solution added, the original monitoring schedule of once every hour must be resumed, with a decrease in monitoring

frequency allowed following the procedures of paragraphs (c)(6)(ii) (B) and (C) of this section.

(7) Fume suppressant/add-on control device. (i) If the owner or operator of an affected source uses both a fume suppressant and add-on control device and both are needed to comply with the applicable emission limit, monitoring requirements as identified in paragraphs (c) (1) through (6) of this section, and the work practice standards of Table 1 of §63.342, apply for each of the control techniques used.

(ii) If the owner or operator of an affected source uses both a fume suppressant and add-on control device, but only one of these techniques is needed to comply with the applicable emission limit, monitoring requirements as identified in paragraphs (c) (1) through (6) of this section, and work practice standards of Table 1 of §63.342, apply only for the control technique used to achieve compliance.

(8) Use of an alternative monitoring method. (i) Requests and approvals of alternative monitoring methods shall be considered in accordance with $\S 63.8(f)(1)$, (f)(3), (f)(4), and (f)(5).

(ii) After receipt and consideration of an application for an alternative monitoring method, the Administrator may approve alternatives to any monitoring methods or procedures of this subpart including, but not limited to, the following:

(A) Alternative monitoring requirements when installation or use of monitoring devices specified in this subpart would not provide accurate measurements due to interferences caused by substances within the effluent gases; or

(B) Alternative locations for installing monitoring devices when the owner or operator can demonstrate that installation at alternate locations will enable accurate and representative measurements.

(d) An owner or operator who uses an air pollution control device not listed in this section shall submit a description of the device, test results collected in accordance with §63.344(c) verifying the performance of the device for reducing chromium emissions to the atmosphere to the level required by this subpart, a copy of the operation and maintenance plan referenced in

§63.342(f) including proposed work practice standards, and appropriate operating parameters that will be monitored to establish continuous compliance with the standards. The monitoring plan submitted identifying the continuous compliance monitoring is subject to the Administrator's approval.

[60 FR 4963, Jan. 25, 1995; 60 FR 33122, June 27, 1995, as amended at 62 FR 42920, Aug. 11, 1997]

§63.344 Performance test requirements and test methods.

- (a) Performance test requirements. Performance tests shall be conducted using the test methods and procedures in this section and §63.7. Performance test results shall be documented in complete test reports that contain the information required by paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(9) of this section. The test plan to be followed shall be made available to the Administrator prior to the testing, if requested.
 - (1) A brief process description;
 - (2) Sampling location description(s);
- (3) A description of sampling and analytical procedures and any modifications to standard procedures;
 - (4) Test results;
- (5) Quality assurance procedures and results;
- (6) Records of operating conditions during the test, preparation of standards, and calibration procedures;
- (7) Raw data sheets for field sampling and field and laboratory analyses;
- (8) Documentation of calculations; and
- (9) Any other information required by the test method.
- (b)(1) If the owner or operator of an affected source conducts performance testing at startup to obtain an operating permit in the State in which the affected source is located, the results of such testing may be used to demonstrate compliance with this subpart if:
- (i) The test methods and procedures identified in paragraph (c) of this section were used during the performance test;
- (ii) The performance test was conducted under representative operating conditions for the source;

- (iii) The performance test report contains the elements required by paragraph (a) of this section; and
- (iv) The owner or operator of the affected source for which the performance test was conducted has sufficient data to establish the operating parameter value(s) that correspond to compliance with the standards, as required for continuous compliance monitoring under §63.343(c).
- (2) The results of tests conducted prior to December 1991 in which Method 306A, appendix A of this part, was used to demonstrate the performance of a control technique are not acceptable.
- (c) Test methods. Each owner or operator subject to the provisions of this subpart and required by §63.343(b) to conduct an initial performance test shall use the test methods identified in this section to demonstrate compliance with the standards in §63.342.
- (1) Method 306 or Method 306A, "Determination of Chromium Emissions From Decorative and Hard Chromium Electroplating and Anodizing Operations," appendix A of this part shall be used to determine the chromium concentration from hard or decorative chromium electroplating tanks or chromium anodizing tanks. The sampling time and sample volume for each run of Methods 306 and 306A, appendix A of this part shall be at least 120 minutes and 1.70 dscm (60 dscf), respectively. Methods 306 and 306A, appendix A of this part allow the measurement of either total chromium or hexavalent chromium emissions. For the purposes of this standard, sources using chromic acid baths can demonstrate compliance with the emission limits of §63.342 by measuring either total chromium or hexavalent chromium. Hence, the hexavalent chromium concentration measured by these methods is equal to the total chromium concentration for the affected operations.
- (2) The California Air Resources Board (CARB) Method 425 (which is available by contacting the California Air Resources Board, 1102 Q Street, Sacramento, California 95814) may be used to determine the chromium concentration from hard and decorative chromium electroplating tanks and

chromium anodizing tanks if the following conditions are met:

- (i) If a colorimetric analysis method is used, the sampling time and volume shall be sufficient to result in 33 to 66 micrograms of catch in the sampling train.
- (ii) If Atomic Absorption Graphite Furnace (AAGF) or Ion Chromatography with a Post-column Reactor (ICPCR) analyses were used, the sampling time and volume should be sufficient to result in a sample catch that is 5 to 10 times the minimum detection limit of the analytical method (i.e., 1.0 microgram per liter of sample for AAGF and 0.5 microgram per liter of sample for ICPCR).
- (iii) In the case of either paragraph (c)(2) (i) or (ii) of this section, a minimum of 3 separate runs must be conducted. The other requirements of $\S 63.7$ that apply to affected sources, as indicated in Table 1 of this subpart, must also be met.
- (3) Method 306B, "Surface Tension Measurement and Recordkeeping for Tanks Used at Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Anodizing Facilities," appendix A of this part shall be used to measure the surface tension of electroplating and anodizing baths.
- (4) Alternate test methods may also be used if the method has been validated using Method 301, appendix A of this part and if approved by the Administrator. Procedures for requesting and obtaining approval are contained in §63.7(f).
- (d) Establishing site-specific operating parameter values. (1) Each owner or operator required to establish site-specific operating parameters shall follow the procedures in this section.
- (2) All monitoring equipment shall be installed such that representative measurements of emissions or process parameters from the affected source are obtained. For monitoring equipment purchased from a vendor, verification of the operational status of the monitoring equipment shall include execution of the manufacturer's written specifications or recommendations for installation, operation, and calibration of the system.
- (i) Specifications for differential pressure measurement devices used to measure velocity pressure shall be in

accordance with section 2.2 of Method 2 (40 CFR part 60, appendix A).

- (ii) Specification for differential pressure measurement devices used to measure pressure drop across a control system shall be in accordance with manufacturer's accuracy specifications.
- (3) The surface tension of electroplating and anodizing baths shall be measured using Method 306B, "Surface Tension Measurement and Recordkeeping for Tanks used at Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Anodizing Facilities," appendix A of this part. This method should also be followed when wetting agent type or combination wetting agent/foam blanket type fume suppressants are used to control chromium emissions from a hard chromium electroplating tank and surface tension measurement is conducted to demonstrate continuous compliance.
- (4) The owner or operator of a source required to measure the velocity pressure at the inlet to an add-on air pollution control device in accordance with \$63.343(c)(2), shall establish the site-specific velocity pressure as follows:
- (i) Locate a velocity traverse port in a section of straight duct that connects the hooding on the plating tank or tanks with the control device. The port shall be located as close to the control system as possible, and shall be placed a minimum of 2 duct diameters downstream and 0.5 diameter upstream of any flow disturbance such as a bend, expansion, or contraction (see Method 1, 40 CFR part 60, appendix A). If 2.5 diameters of straight duct work does not exist, locate the port 0.8 of the duct diameter downstream and 0.2 of the duct diameter upstream from any flow disturbance.
- (ii) A 12-point velocity traverse of the duct to the control device shall be conducted along a single axis according to Method 2 (40 CFR part 60, appendix A) using an S-type pitot tube; measurement of the barometric pressure and duct temperature at each traverse point is not required, but is suggested. Mark the S-type pitot tube as specified in Method 1 (40 CFR part 60, appendix A) with 12 points. Measure the velocity pressure (Δ p) values for the velocity points and record. Determine the

square root of the individual velocity point Δ p values and average. The point with the square root value that comes closest to the average square root value is the point of average velocity. The Δ p value measured for this point during the performance test will be used as the reference for future monitoring.

(5) The owner or operator of a source required to measure the pressure drop across the add-on air pollution control device in accordance with §63.343(c) (1) through (4) may establish the pressure drop in accordance with the following guidelines:

(i) Pressure taps shall be installed at any of the following locations:

- (A) At the inlet and outlet of the control system. The inlet tap should be installed in the ductwork just prior to the control device and the corresponding outlet pressure tap should be installed on the outlet side of the control device prior to the blower or on the downstream side of the blower;
- (B) On each side of the packed bed within the control system or on each side of each mesh pad within the control system; or
- (C) On the front side of the first mesh pad and back side of the last mesh pad within the control system.
- (ii) Pressure taps shall be sited at locations that are:
- (A) Free from pluggage as possible and away from any flow disturbances such as cyclonic demisters.
- (B) Situated such that no air infiltration at measurement site will occur that could bias the measurement.
- (iii) Pressure taps shall be constructed of either polyethylene, polybutylene, or other nonreactive materials.
- (iv) Nonreactive plastic tubing shall be used to connect the pressure taps to the device used to measure pressure drop.
- (v) Any of the following pressure gauges can be used to monitor pressure drop: a magnehelic gauge, an inclined manometer, or a "U" tube manometer.
- (vi) Prior to connecting any pressure lines to the pressure gauge(s), each gauge should be zeroed. No calibration of the pressure gauges is required.
- (e) Special compliance provisions for multiple sources controlled by a common

add-on air pollution control device. (1) This section identifies procedures for measuring the outlet chromium concentration from an add-on air pollution control device that is used to control multiple sources that may or may not include sources not affected by this subpart.

- (2) When multiple affected sources performing the same type of operation (e.g., all are performing hard chromium electroplating), and subject to the same emission limitation, are controlled with an add-on air pollution control device that is not controlling emissions from any other type of affected operation or from any non-affected sources, the applicable emission limitation identified in §63.342 must be met at the outlet of the add-on air pollution control device.
- (3) When multiple affected sources performing the same type of operation and subject to the same emission limitation are controlled with a common add-on air pollution control device that is also controlling emissions from sources not affected by these standards, the following procedures should be followed to determine compliance with the applicable emission limitation in §63.342:
- (i) Calculate the cross-sectional area of each inlet duct (i.e., uptakes from each hood) including those not affected by the standard.
- (ii) Determine the total sample time per test run by dividing the total inlet area from all tanks connected to the control system by the total inlet area for all ducts associated with affected sources, and then multiply this number by 2 hours. The calculated time is the minimum sample time required per test run.
- (iii) Perform Method 306 testing and calculate an outlet mass emission rate.
- (iv) Determine the total ventilation rate from the affected sources by using equation 1:

ER25JA95.000

where $VR_{\rm tot}$ is the average total ventilation rate in dscm/min for the three test runs as determined at the outlet by means of the Method 306 testing; IDA_i is the total inlet area for all ducts

associated with affected sources; $IA_{\rm total}$ is the sum of all inlet duct areas from both affected and nonaffected sources; and $VR_{\rm inlet}$ is the total ventilation rate from all inlet ducts associated with affected sources.

(v) Establish the allowable mass emission rate of the system (AMR $_{\rm sys}$) in milligrams of total chromium per hour (mg/hr) using equation 2:

ER25JA95.001

where Σ VR $_{\rm inlet}$ is the total ventilation rate in dscm/min from the affected sources, and EL is the applicable emission limitation from §63.342 in mg/dscm. The allowable mass emission rate (AMR $_{\rm sys}$) calculated from equation 2 should be equal to or more than the outlet three-run average mass emission rate determined from Method 306 testing in order for the source to be in compliance with the standard.

- (4) When multiple affected sources performing different types of operations (e.g., hard chromium electroplating, decorative chromium electroplating, or chromium anodizing) are controlled by a common add-on air pollution control device that may or may not also be controlling emissions from sources not affected by these standards, or if the affected sources controlled by the common add-on air pollution control device perform the same operation but are subject to different emission limitations (e.g., because one is a new hard chromium plating tank and one is an existing small, hard chromium plating tank), the following procedures should be followed to determine compliance with the applicable emission limitation in §63.342:
- (i) Follow the steps outlined in paragraphs (e)(3)(i) through (e)(3)(iii) of this section.
- (ii) Determine the total ventilation rate for each type of affected source using equation 3:

ER25JA95.002

where VR_{tot} is the average total ventilation rate in dscm/min for the three test runs as determined at the outlet by means of the Method 306 testing; $IDA_{i,a}$ is the total inlet duct area for all

ducts conveying chromic acid from each type of affected source performing the same operation, or each type of affected source subject to the same emission limitation; IA_{total} is the sum of all duct areas from both affected and non-affected sources; and $VR_{inlet,a}$ is the total ventilation rate from all inlet ducts conveying chromic acid from each type of affected source performing the same operation, or each type of affected source subject to the same emission limitation.

(iii) Establish the allowable mass emission rate in mg/hr for each type of affected source that is controlled by the add-on air pollution control device using equation 4, 5, 6, or 7 as appropriate:

 $VR_{hc1} \times EL_{hc1} \times 60$ minutes/hour = AMR_{hc1} (4)

 $VR_{hc2} \times EL_{hc2} \times 60$ minutes/hour = AMR_{hc2} (5)

 $VR_{dc} \times EL_{dc} \times 60$ minutes/hour = AMR_{dc} (6)

 $VR_{\rm ca} \times EL_{\rm ca} \times 60$ minutes/hour = $AMR_{\rm ca}$ (7)

where "hc" applies to the total of ventilation rates for all hard chromium electroplating tanks subject to the same emission limitation, "dc" applies to the total of ventilation rates for the decorative chromium electroplating tanks, "ca" applies to the total of ventilation rates for the chromium anodizing tanks, and EL is the applicable emission limitation from §63.342 in mg/dscm. There are two equations for hard chromium electroplating tanks because different emission limitations may apply (e.g., a new tank versus an existing, small tank).

(iv) Establish the allowable mass emission rate (AMR) in mg/hr for the system using equation 8, including

each type of affected source as appropriate:

 $\begin{array}{ccccc} AMR_{hc1} + AMR_{hc2} + AMR_{dc} + AMR_{ca} = \\ & AMR_{svs} & \textbf{(8)} \end{array}$

The allowable mass emission rate calculated from equation 8 should be equal to or more than the outlet three-run average mass emission rate determined from Method 306 testing in order for the source to be in compliance with the standards.

- (5) Each owner or operator that uses the special compliance provisions of this paragraph to demonstrate compliance with the emission limitations of §63.342 shall submit the measurements and calculations to support these compliance methods with the notification of compliance status required by §63.347(e).
- (6) Each owner or operator that uses the special compliance provisions of this section to demonstrate compliance with the emission limitations of §63.342 shall repeat these procedures if a tank is added or removed from the control system regardless of whether that tank is a nonaffected source. If the new nonaffected tank replaces an existing nonaffected tank of the same size and is connected to the control system through the same size inlet duct then this procedure does not have to be repeated.

[60 FR 4963, Jan. 25, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 27787, June 3, 1996]

§63.345 Provisions for new and reconstructed sources.

- (a) This section identifies the preconstruction review requirements for new and reconstructed affected sources that are subject to, or become subject to, this subpart.
- (b) New or reconstructed affected sources. The owner or operator of a new or reconstructed affected source is subject to §63.5(a), (b)(1), (b)(5), (b)(6), and (f)(1), as well as the provisions of this paragraph.
- (1) After January 25, 1995, whether or not an approved permit program is effective in the State in which an affected source is (or would be) located, no person may construct a new affected source or reconstruct an affected source subject to this subpart, or reconstruct a source such that it be-

comes an affected source subject to this subpart, without submitting a notification of construction or reconstruction to the Administrator. The notification shall contain the information identified in paragraphs (b) (2) and (3) of this section, as appropriate.

- (2) The notification of construction or reconstruction required under paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall include:
- (i) The owner or operator's name, title, and address;
- (ii) The address (i.e., physical location) or proposed address of the affected source if different from the owner's or operator's;
- (iii) A notification of intention to construct a new affected source or make any physical or operational changes to an affected source that may meet or has been determined to meet the criteria for a reconstruction as defined in §63.2:
- (iv) An identification of subpart N of this part as the basis for the notifica-
- (v) The expected commencement and completion dates of the construction or reconstruction;
- (vi) The anticipated date of (initial) startup of the affected source;
- (vii) The type of process operation to be performed (hard or decorative chromium electroplating, or chromium anodizing):
- (viii) A description of the air pollution control technique to be used to control emissions from the affected source, such as preliminary design drawings and design capacity if an addon air pollution control device is used; and
- (ix) An estimate of emissions from the source based on engineering calculations and vendor information on control device efficiency, expressed in units consistent with the emission limits of this subpart. Calculations of emission estimates should be in sufficient detail to permit assessment of the validity of the calculations.
- (3) If a reconstruction is to occur, the notification required under paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall include the following in addition to the information required in paragraph (b)(2) of this section:

- (i) A brief description of the affected source and the components to be replaced;
- (ii) A brief description of the present and proposed emission control technique, including the information required by paragraphs (b)(2) (viii) and (ix) of this section;
- (iii) An estimate of the fixed capital cost of the replacements and of constructing a comparable entirely new source;
- (iv) The estimated life of the affected source after the replacements; and
- (v) A discussion of any economic or technical limitations the source may have in complying with relevant standards or other requirements after the proposed replacements. The discussion shall be sufficiently detailed to demonstrate to the Administrator's satisfaction that the technical or economic limitations affect the source's ability to comply with the relevant standard and how they do so.
- (vi) If in the notification of reconstruction, the owner or operator designates the affected source as a reconstructed source and declares that there are no economic or technical limitations to prevent the source from complying with all relevant standards or requirements, the owner or operator need not submit the information required in paragraphs (b)(3) (iii) through (v) of this section.
- (4) The owner or operator of a new or reconstructed affected source that submits a notification in accordance with paragraphs (b) (1) through (3) of this section is not subject to approval by the Administrator. Construction or reconstruction is subject only to notification and can begin upon submission of a complete notification.
- (5) Submittal timeframes. After January 25, 1995, whether or not an approved permit program is effective in the State in which an affected source is (or would be) located, an owner or operator of a new or reconstructed affected source shall submit the notification of construction or reconstruction required by paragraph (b)(1) of this section according to the following schedule:
- (i) If construction or reconstruction commences after January 25, 1995, the notification shall be submitted as soon

- as practicable before the construction or reconstruction is planned to commence.
- (ii) If the construction or reconstruction had commenced and initial start-up had not occurred before January 25, 1995, the notification shall be submitted as soon as practicable before startup but no later than 60 days after January 25, 1995.

§63.346 Recordkeeping requirements.

- (a) The owner or operator of each affected source subject to these standards shall fulfill all recordkeeping requirements outlined in this section and in the General Provisions to 40 CFR part 63, according to the applicability of subpart A of this part as identified in Table 1 of this subpart.
- (b) The owner or operator of an affected source subject to the provisions of this subpart shall maintain the following records for such source:
- (1) Inspection records for the add-on air pollution control device, if such a device is used, and monitoring equipment, to document that the inspection and maintenance required by the work practice standards of §63.342(f) and Table 1 of §63.342 have taken place. The record can take the form of a checklist and should identify the device inspected, the date of inspection, a brief description of the working condition of the device during the inspection, and any actions taken to correct deficiencies found during the inspection.
- (2) Records of all maintenance performed on the affected source, the addon air pollution control device, and monitoring equipment;
- (3) Records of the occurrence, duration, and cause (if known) of each malfunction of process, add-on air pollution control, and monitoring equipment:
- (4) Records of actions taken during periods of malfunction when such actions are inconsistent with the operation and maintenance plan;
- (5) Other records, which may take the form of checklists, necessary to demonstrate consistency with the provisions of the operation and maintenance plan required by §63.342(f)(3);
- (6) Test reports documenting results of all performance tests;

- (7) All measurements as may be necessary to determine the conditions of performance tests, including measurements necessary to determine compliance with the special compliance procedures of §63.344(e);
- (8) Records of monitoring data required by §63.343(c) that are used to demonstrate compliance with the standard including the date and time the data are collected;
- (9) The specific identification (i.e., the date and time of commencement and completion) of each period of excess emissions, as indicated by monitoring data, that occurs during malfunction of the process, add-on air pollution control, or monitoring equipment:
- (10) The specific identification (i.e., the date and time of commencement and completion) of each period of excess emissions, as indicated by monitoring data, that occurs during periods other than malfunction of the process, add-on air pollution control, or monitoring equipment;
- (11) The total process operating time of the affected source during the reporting period;
- (12) Records of the actual cumulative rectifier capacity of hard chromium electroplating tanks at a facility expended during each month of the reporting period, and the total capacity expended to date for a reporting period, if the owner or operator is using the actual cumulative rectifier capacity to determine facility size in accordance with §63.342(c)(2);
- (13) For sources using fume suppressants to comply with the standards, records of the date and time that fume suppressants are added to the electroplating or anodizing bath;
- (14) For sources complying with \$63.342(e), records of the bath components purchased, with the wetting agent clearly identified as a bath constituent contained in one of the components;
- (15) Any information demonstrating whether a source is meeting the requirements for a waiver of record-keeping or reporting requirements, if the source has been granted a waiver under §63.10(f); and

- (16) All documentation supporting the notifications and reports required by §63.9, §63.10, and §63.347.
- (c) All records shall be maintained for a period of 5 years in accordance with $\S63.10(b)(1)$.

§63.347 Reporting requirements.

- (a) The owner or operator of each affected source subject to these standards shall fulfill all reporting requirements outlined in this section and in the General Provisions to 40 CFR part 63, according to the applicability of subpart A as identified in Table 1 of this subpart. These reports shall be made to the Administrator at the appropriate address as identified in §63.13 or to the delegated State authority.
- (1) Reports required by subpart A of this part and this section may be sent by U.S. mail, fax, or by another courier.
- (i) Submittals sent by U.S. mail shall be postmarked on or before the specified date.
- (ii) Submittals sent by other methods shall be received by the Administrator on or before the specified date.
- (2) If acceptable to both the Administrator and the owner or operator of an affected source, reports may be submitted on electronic media.
- (b) The reporting requirements of this section apply to the owner or operator of an affected source when such source becomes subject to the provisions of this subpart.
- (c) *Initial notifications*. (1) The owner or operator of an affected source that has an initial startup before January 25, 1995, shall notify the Administrator in writing that the source is subject to this subpart. The notification shall be submitted no later than 180 calendar days after January 25, 1995, and shall contain the following information:
- (i) The name, title, and address of the owner or operator;
- (ii) The address (i.e., physical location) of each affected source;
- (iii) A statement that subpart N of this part is the basis for this notification:
- (iv) Identification of the applicable emission limitation and compliance date for each affected source;

- (v) A brief description of each affected source, including the type of process operation performed;
- (vi) For sources performing hard chromium electroplating, the maximum potential cumulative potential rectifier capacity;
- (vii) For sources performing hard chromium electroplating, a statement of whether the affected source(s) is located at a small or a large, hard chromium electroplating facility and whether this will be demonstrated through actual or maximum potential cumulative rectifier capacity;
- (viii) For sources performing hard chromium electroplating tanks, a statement of whether the owner or operator of an affected source(s) will limit the maximum potential cumulative rectifier capacity in accordance with §63.342(c)(2) such that the hard chromium electroplating facility is considered small; and
- (ix) A statement of whether the affected source is located at a major source or an area source as defined in §63.2.
- (2) The owner or operator of a new or reconstructed affected source that has an initial startup after January 25, 1995 shall submit an initial notification (in addition to the notification of construction or reconstruction required by §63.345(b) as follows:
- (i) A notification of the date when construction or reconstruction was commenced, shall be submitted simultaneously with the notification of construction or reconstruction, if construction or reconstruction was commenced before January 25, 1995;
- (ii) A notification of the date when construction or reconstruction was commenced, shall be submitted no later than 30 calendar days after such date, if construction or reconstruction was commenced after January 25, 1995; and
- (iii) A notification of the actual date of startup of the source shall be submitted within 30 calendar days after such date.
- (d) Notification of performance test. (1) The owner or operator of an affected source shall notify the Administrator in writing of his or her intention to conduct a performance test at least 60 calendar days before the test is sched-

- uled to begin to allow the Administrator to have an observer present during the test. Observation of the performance test by the Administrator is optional.
- (2) In the event the owner or operator is unable to conduct the performance test as scheduled, the provisions of §63.7(b)(2) apply.
- (e) Notification of compliance status. (1) A notification of compliance status is required each time that an affected source becomes subject to the requirements of this subpart.
- (2) If the State in which the source is located has not been delegated the authority to implement the rule, each time a notification of compliance status is required under this part, the owner or operator of an affected source shall submit to the Administrator a notification of compliance status, signed by the responsible official (as defined in §63.2) who shall certify its accuracy, attesting to whether the affected source has complied with this subpart. If the State has been delegated the authority, the notification of compliance status shall be submitted to the appropriate authority. The notification shall list for each affected source:
- (i) The applicable emission limitation and the methods that were used to determine compliance with this limitation:
- (ii) If a performance test is required by this subpart, the test report documenting the results of the performance test, which contains the elements required by §63.344(a), including measurements and calculations to support the special compliance provisions of §63.344(e) if these are being followed;
- (iii) The type and quantity of hazardous air pollutants emitted by the source reported in mg/dscm or mg/hr if the source is using the special provisions of §63.344(e) to comply with the standards. (If the owner or operator is subject to the construction and reconstruction provisions of §63.345 and had previously submitted emission estimates, the owner or operator shall state that this report corrects or verifies the previous estimate.) For sources not required to conduct a performance test in accordance with

§63.343(b), the surface tension measurement may fulfill this requirement;

- (iv) For each monitored parameter for which a compliant value is to be established under §63.343(c), the specific operating parameter value, or range of values, that corresponds to compliance with the applicable emission limit;
- (v) The methods that will be used to determine continuous compliance, including a description of monitoring and reporting requirements, if methods differ from those identified in this subpart;
- (vi) A description of the air pollution control technique for each emission point:
- (vii) A statement that the owner or operator has completed and has on file the operation and maintenance plan as required by the work practice standards in §63.342(f);
- (viii) If the owner or operator is determining facility size based on actual cumulative rectifier capacity in accordance with \$63.342(c)(2), records to support that the facility is small. For existing sources, records from any 12-month period preceding the compliance date shall be used or a description of how operations will change to meet a small designation shall be provided. For new sources, records of projected rectifier capacity for the first 12-month period of tank operation shall be used;
- (ix) A statement by the owner or operator of the affected source as to whether the source has complied with the provisions of this subpart.
- (3) For sources required to conduct a performance test by §63.343(b), the notification of compliance status shall be submitted to the Administrator no later than 90 calendar days following completion of the compliance demonstration required by §63.7 and §63.343(b).
- (4) For sources that are not required to complete a performance test in accordance with §63.343(b), the notification of compliance status shall be submitted to the Administrator no later than 30 days after the compliance date specified in §63.343(a), except the date on which sources in California shall monitor the surface tension of the anodizing bath is extended to January 25, 1008

(f) Reports of performance test results. (1) If the State in which the source is located has not been delegated the authority to implement the rule, the owner or operator of an affected source shall report to the Administrator the results of any performance test conducted as required by §63.7 or §63.343(b). If the State has been delegated the authority, the owner or operator of an affected source should report performance test results to the appropriate authority.

(2) Reports of performance test results shall be submitted no later than 90 days following the completion of the performance test, and shall be submitted as part of the notification of compliance status required by para-

graph (e) of this section.

- (g) Ongoing compliance status reports for major sources. (1) The owner or operator of an affected source that is located at a major source site shall submit a summary report to the Administrator to document the ongoing compliance status of the affected source. The report shall contain the information identified in paragraph (g)(3) of this section, and shall be submitted semiannually except when:
- (i) The Administrator determines on a case-by-case basis that more frequent reporting is necessary to accurately assess the compliance status of the source; or
- (ii) The monitoring data collected by the owner or operator of the affected source in accordance with §63.343(c) show that the emission limit has been exceeded, in which case quarterly reports shall be submitted. Once an owner or operator of an affected source reports an exceedance, ongoing compliance status reports shall be submitted quarterly until a request to reduce reporting frequency under paragraph (g)(2) of this section is approved.
- (2) Request to reduce frequency of ongoing compliance status reports. (i) An owner or operator who is required to submit ongoing compliance status reports on a quarterly (or more frequent basis) may reduce the frequency of reporting to semiannual if all of the following conditions are met:
- (A) For 1 full year (e.g., 4 quarterly or 12 monthly reporting periods), the ongoing compliance status reports

demonstrate that the affected source is in compliance with the relevant emission limit;

- (B) The owner or operator continues to comply with all applicable recordkeeping and monitoring requirements of subpart A of this part and this subpart; and
- (C) The Administrator does not object to a reduced reporting frequency for the affected source, as provided in paragraphs (g)(2) (ii) and (iii) of this section.
- (ii) The frequency of submitting ongoing compliance status reports may be reduced only after the owner or operator notifies the Administrator in writing of his or her intention to make such a change, and the Administrator does not object to the intended change. In deciding whether to approve a reduced reporting frequency, the Administrator may review information concerning the source's entire previous performance history during the 5-year recordkeeping period prior to the intended change, or the recordkeeping period since the source's compliance date, whichever is shorter. Records subject to review may include performance test results, monitoring data, and evaluations of an owner or operator's conformance with emission limitations and work practice standards. Such information may be used by the Administrator to make a judgment about the source's potential for noncompliance in the future. If the Administrator disapproves the owner or operator's request to reduce reporting frequency, the Administrator will notify the owner or operator in writing within 45 days after receiving notice of the owner or operator's intention. The notification from the Administrator to the owner or operator will specify the grounds on which the disapproval is based. In the absence of a notice of disapproval within 45 days, approval is automatically granted.
- (iii) As soon as the monitoring data required by §63.343(c) show that the source is not in compliance with the relevant emission limit, the frequency of reporting shall revert to quarterly, and the owner shall state this exceedance in the ongoing compliance status report for the next reporting period. After demonstrating ongoing compli-

ance with the relevant emission limit for another full year, the owner or operator may again request approval from the Administrator to reduce the reporting frequency as allowed by paragraph (g)(2) of this section.

- (3) Contents of ongoing compliance status reports. The owner or operator of an affected source for which compliance monitoring is required in accordance with §63.343(c) shall prepare a summary report to document the ongoing compliance status of the source. The report must contain the following information:
- (i) The company name and address of the affected source;
- (ii) An identification of the operating parameter that is monitored for compliance determination, as required by §63.343(c):
- (iii) The relevant emission limitation for the affected source, and the operating parameter value, or range of values, that correspond to compliance with this emission limitation as specified in the notification of compliance status required by paragraph (e) of this section:
- (iv) The beginning and ending dates of the reporting period;
- (v) A description of the type of process performed in the affected source;
- (vi) The total operating time of the affected source during the reporting period;
- (vii) If the affected source is a hard chromium electroplating tank and the owner or operator is limiting the maximum cumulative rectifier capacity in accordance with §63.342(c)(2), the actual cumulative rectifier capacity expended during the reporting period, on a month-by-month basis;
- (viii) A summary of operating parameter values, including the total duration of excess emissions during the reporting period as indicated by those values, the total duration of excess emissions expressed as a percent of the total source operating time during that reporting period, and a breakdown of the total duration of excess emissions during the reporting period into those that are due to process upsets, control equipment malfunctions, other known causes, and unknown causes;
- (ix) A certification by a responsible official, as defined in §63.2, that the

work practice standards in §63.342(f) were followed in accordance with the operation and maintenance plan for the source;

- (x) If the operation and maintenance plan required by $\S63.342(f)(3)$ was not followed, an explanation of the reasons for not following the provisions, an assessment of whether any excess emission and/or parameter monitoring exceedances are believed to have occurred, and a copy of the report(s) required by $\S63.342(f)(3)(iv)$ documenting that the operation and maintenance plan was not followed;
- (xi) A description of any changes in monitoring, processes, or controls since the last reporting period;
- (xii) The name, title, and signature of the responsible official who is certifying the accuracy of the report; and (xiii) The date of the report.
- (4) When more than one monitoring device is used to comply with the continuous compliance monitoring required by §63.343(c), the owner or operator shall report the results as required for each monitoring device. However, when one monitoring device is used as a backup for the primary monitoring device, the owner or operator shall only report the results from the monitoring device used to meet the monitoring requirements of this subpart. If both devices are used to meet these requirements, then the owner or operator shall report the results from each monitoring device for the relevant compliance period.
- (h) Ongoing compliance status reports for area sources. The requirements of this paragraph do not alleviate affected area sources from complying with the requirements of State or Federal operating permit programs under 40 CFR part 71.
- (1) The owner or operator of an affected source that is located at an area source site shall prepare a summary report to document the ongoing compliance status of the affected source. The report shall contain the information identified in paragraph (g)(3) of this section, shall be completed annually and retained on site, and made available to the Administrator upon request. The report shall be completed annually except as provided in paragraph (h)(2) of this section.

- (2) Reports of exceedances. (i) If both of the following conditions are met, semiannual reports shall be prepared and submitted to the Administrator:
- (A) The total duration of excess emissions (as indicated by the monitoring data collected by the owner or operator of the affected source in accordance with $\S 63.343(c)$) is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time for the reporting period; and
- (B) The total duration of malfunctions of the add-on air pollution control device and monitoring equipment is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time.
- (ii) Once an owner or operator of an affected source reports an exceedance as defined in paragraph (h)(2)(i) of this section, ongoing compliance status reports shall be submitted semiannually until a request to reduce reporting frequency under paragraph (h)(3) of this section is approved.
- (iii) The Administrator may determine on a case-by-case basis that the summary report shall be completed more frequently and submitted, or that the annual report shall be submitted instead of being retained on site, if these measures are necessary to accurately assess the compliance status of the source.
- (3) Request to reduce frequency of ongoing compliance status reports. (i) An owner or operator who is required to submit ongoing compliance status reports on a semiannual (or more frequent) basis, or is required to submit its annual report instead of retaining it on site, may reduce the frequency of reporting to annual and/or be allowed to maintain the annual report onsite if all of the following conditions are met:
- (A) For 1 full year (e.g., 2 semiannual or 4 quarterly reporting periods), the ongoing compliance status reports demonstrate that the affected source is in compliance with the relevant emission limit:
- (B) The owner or operator continues to comply with all applicable recordkeeping and monitoring requirements of subpart A of this part and this subpart; and
- (C) The Administrator does not object to a reduced reporting frequency for the affected source, as provided in

paragraphs (h)(3) (ii) and (iii) of this section.

(ii) The frequency of submitting ongoing compliance status reports may be reduced only after the owner or operator notifies the Administrator in writing of his or her intention to make such a change, and the Administrator does not object to the intended change. In deciding whether to approve a reduced reporting frequency, the Administrator may review information concerning the source's previous performance history during the 5-year recordkeeping period prior to the intended change, or the recordkeeping period since the source's compliance date, whichever is shorter. Records subject to review may include performance test results, monitoring data, and evaluations of an owner or operator's conformance with emission limitations and work practice standards. Such information may be used by the Administrator to make a judgement about the source's potential for noncompliance in the future. If the Administrator disapproves the owner or operator's request to reduce reporting frequency, the Administrator will notify the owner or operator in writing within 45 days after receiving notice of the owner or operator's intention. The notification from the Administrator to the owner or operator will specify the grounds on which the disapproval is based. In the absence of a notice of disapproval within 45 days, approval is automatically granted.

(iii) As soon as the monitoring data required by §63.343(c) show that the source is not in compliance with the relevant emission limit, the frequency of reporting shall revert to semiannual, and the owner shall state this exceedance in the ongoing compliance status report for the next reporting period. After demonstrating ongoing compliance with the relevant emission limit for another full year, the owner or operator may again request approval from the Administrator to reduce the reporting frequency as allowed by paragraph (h)(3) of this section.

(i) Reports associated with trivalent chromium baths. The requirements of this paragraph do not alleviate affected sources from complying with the requirements of State or Federal operating permit programs under title V. Owners or operators complying with the provisions of §63.342(e) are not subject to paragraphs (a) through (h) of this section, but must instead submit the following reports:

(1) Within 180 days after January 25, 1995, submit an initial notification that includes:

- (i) The same information as is required by paragraphs (c)(1) (i) through (v) of this section; and
- (ii) A statement that a trivalent chromium process that incorporates a wetting agent will be used to comply with §63.342(e); and
- (iii) The list of bath components that comprise the trivalent chromium bath, with the wetting agent clearly identified; and
- (2) Within 30 days of the compliance date specified in §63.343(a), a notification of compliance status that contains an update of the information submitted in accordance with paragraph (i)(1) of this section or a statement that the information is still accurate; and
- (3) Within 30 days of a change to the trivalent chromium electroplating process, a report that includes:
- (i) A description of the manner in which the process has been changed and the emission limitation, if any, now applicable to the affected source;
- (ii) If a different emission limitation applies, the applicable information required by paragraph (c)(1) of this section; and
- (iii) The notification and reporting requirements of paragraphs (d), (e), (f), (g), and (h) of this section, which shall be submitted in accordance with the schedules identified in those paragraphs.

[60 FR 4963, Jan. 25, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 27787, June 3, 1996; 62 FR 4465, Jan. 30, 1997, 62 FR 42921, Aug. 11, 1997]

Pt. 63, Subpt. N, Table 1

Table 1 to Subpart N of Part 63—General Provisions Applicability to Subpart N $\,$

General provisions reference	Applies to sub- part N	Comment
63.1(a)(1)	Yes	Additional terms defined in §63.341; when overlap between sub- parts A and N occurs, subpart N takes precedence.
63.1(a)(2)	Yes	
63.1(a)(3)		
63.1(a)(4)	Yes	Subpart N clarifies the applicability of each paragraph in subpart A to sources subject to subpart N.
63.1(a)(6)	Yes	, ,
63.1(a)(7)	Yes	
63.1(a)(8)	Yes	
63.1(a)(10)		
63.1(a)(11)	Yes	§ 63.347(a) of subpart N also allows report submissions via fax and on electronic media.
63.1(a)(12)-(14)	Yes	
63.1(b)(1)		§ 63.340 of subpart N specifies applicability.
63.1(b)(2)		
63.1(b)(3)	No	This provision in subpart A is being deleted. Also, all affected area and major sources are subject to subpart N; there are no exemptions.
63.1(c)(1)	Yes	Subpart N clarifies the applicability of each paragraph in subpart A to sources subject to subpart N.
63.1(c)(2)		Subpart N specifies permit requirements for area sources.
63.1(c)(4)		
63.1(c)(5)		Subpart N clarifies that an area source that becomes a major source is subject to the requirements for major sources.
63.1(e)		Additional terms defined in §63.341; when overlap between sub-
63.3		parts A and N occurs, subpart N takes precedence. Other units used in subpart N are defined in that subpart.
63.4		Office units used in subpart is are defined in that subpart.
63.5(a)		Except replace the term "source" and "stationary source" in §63.5(a) (1) and (2) of subpart A with "affected sources."
63.5(b)(1)	Yes	3 (-) (-)
63.5(b)(3)		Applies only to major affected sources.
63.5(b)(4)		Subpart N (§63.345) specifies requirements for the notification of construction or reconstruction for affected sources that are not major.
63.5(b)(5)		
63.5(b)(6)		
63.5(d)(1)(i)		§ 63.345(c)(5) of subpart N specifies when the application or notification shall be submitted.
63.5(d)(1)(ii)		Applies to major affected sources that are new or reconstructed.
63.5(d)(1)(iii)		Except information should be submitted with the Notification of Compliance Status required by §63.347(e) of subpart N. Applies to major affected sources that are new or reconstructed ex-
		cept: (1) replace "source" in §63.5(d)(2) of subpart A with "affected source"; and (2) actual control efficiencies are submitted with the Notification of Compliance Status required by §63.347(e).
63.5(d)(3)-(4)		Applies to major affected sources that are new or reconstructed.
63.5(e)		Applies to major affected sources that are new or reconstructed.
63.5(f)(1)	Yes	Except replace "source" in §63.5(f)(1) of subpart A with "affected source."
63.5(f)(2)		New or reconstructed affected sources shall submit the request for approval of construction or reconstruction under § 63.5(f) of sub- part A by the deadline specified in § 63.345(c)(5) of subpart N.
63.6(a)		Except replace "source" in §63.6(b)(1)–(2) of part A with "affected source."
63.6(b)(3)–(4)	Yes	554.55.
63.6(b)(5)	Yes	Except replace "source" in §63.6(b)(5) of subpart A with "affected source."
63.6(b)(7)	No	Provisions for new area sources that become major sources are contained in § 63.343(a)(4) of subpart N.
63.6(c)(1)-(2)	Yes	Except replace "source" in §63.6(c)(1)–(2) of subpart A with "affected source."
63.6(c)(5)	No	Compliance provisions for existing area sources that become major sources are contained in §63.343(a)(3) of subpart N.
63.6(e)		§ 63.342(f) of subpart N contains work practice standards (operation and maintenance requirements) that override these provisions.
63.6(f)(1)	Yes	§ 63.342(b) of subpart N specifies when the standards apply.
63.6(f)(2)(iii)	No	§ 63.344(b) of subpart N specifies instances in which previous performance test results for existing sources are acceptable.

Table 1 to Subpart N of Part 63—General Provisions Applicability to Subpart N—Continued

		Continued
General provisions reference	Applies to sub- part N	Comment
63.6(f)(2)(iv)	Yes	
63.6(f)(2)(v)		
63.6(f)(3)		
63.6(g)		
		Subpart N does not contain any opacity or visible emission stand-
63.6(h)		
C2 C(i)(4)	Vaa	ards.
63.6(i)(1)		E
63.6(i)(2)	Yes	Except replace "source" in §63.6(i)(2)(i) and (ii) of subpart A with
		"affected source."
63.6(i)(3)		
63.6(i)(4)(i)	No	§63.343(a)(6) of subpart N specifies the procedures for obtaining an extension of compliance and the date by which such requests must be submitted.
63.6(i)(4)(ii)	Yes	
63.6(i)(5)	Yes	
63.6(i)(6)(i)	Yes	This paragraph only references "paragraph (i)(4) of this section" for compliance extension provisions. But, § 63.343(a)(6) of subpart N also contains provisions for requesting a compliance extension.
63.6(i)(6)(ii)	Yes	
63.6(i)(7)		
63.6(i)(8)		This paragraph only references "paragraphs (i)(4) through (i)(6) of
30.0(1)(0)		this section" for compliance extension provisions. But, §63.343(a)(6) of subpart N also contains provisions for requesting a compliance extension.
63.6(i)(9)	Yes	This paragraph only references "paragraphs (i)(4) through (i)(6) of this section" and "paragraphs (i)(4) and (i)(5) of this section" for compliance extension provisions. But, §63.343(a)(6) of subpart N
	.,	also contains provisions for requesting a compliance extension.
63.6(i)(10)(i)–(iv)		
63.6(i)(10)(v)(A)	Yes	This paragraph only references "paragraph (i)(4)" for compliance extension provisions. But, § 63.343(a)(6) of subpart N also contains provisions for requesting a compliance extension.
63.6(i)(10)(v)(B)		
63.6(i)(11)	Yes	
63.6(i)(12)(i)	Yes	This paragraph only references "paragraph (i)(4)(i) or (i)(5) of this section" for compliance extension provisions. But, §63.343(a)(6) of subpart N also contains provisions for requesting a compliance extension.
63.6(i)(12)(ii)–(iii)	Yes	exterision.
63.6(i)(13)		
63.6(i)(14)		
63.6(i)(16)		
63.6(j)		
63.7(a)(1)		
63.7(a)(2)(i)–(vi)		
63.7(a)(2)(ix)		
63.7(a)(3)		
63.7(b)(1)		§63.347(d) of subpart N requires notification prior to the performance test. §63.344(a) of subpart N requires submission of a site-specific test plan upon request.
63.7(b)(2)		
63.7(c)`		§63.344(a) of subpart N specifies what the test plan should contain, but does not require test plan approval or performance audit samples.
63.7(d)		Except replace "source" in the first sentence of §63.7(d) of subpart A with "affected source."
63.7(e)		Subpart N also contains test methods specific to affected sources covered by that subpart.
63.7(f)		§ 63.344(c)(2) of subpart N identifies CARB Method 425 as acceptable under certain conditions.
•		Subpart N identifies the items to be reported in the compliance test [§63.344(a)] and the timeframe for submitting the results [§63.347(f)].
63.7(g)(3)		
63.7(h)(1)–(2)		
63.7(h)(3)(i)		This paragraph only references "§ 63.6(i)" for compliance extension provisions. But, § 63.343(a)(6) of subpart N also contains provisions for requesting a compliance extension.
63.7(h)(3)(ii)–(iii)	Yes	Sions for requesting a compliance extension.
62 7(h)(4) (5)	Voc	
63.7(h)(4)–(5)	i res	

Pt. 63, Subpt. N, Table 1

Table 1 to Subpart N of Part 63—General Provisions Applicability to Subpart N—Continued

Continued			
General provisions reference	Applies to sub- part N	Comment	
63.8(a)(1)	Yes		
63.8(a)(2)	No	Work practice standards are contained in §63.342(f) of subpart N.	
		Work practice standards are contained in § 05.542(i) or subpart iv.	
63.8(a)(4)	No		
63.8(b)(1)	Yes		
63.8(b)(2)	No	§63.344(d) of subpart N specifies the monitoring location when	
		there are multiple sources.	
00.0(1.)(0)	NI-		
63.8(b)(3)	No	§63.347(g)(4) of subpart N identifies reporting requirements when	
		multiple monitors are used.	
63.8(c)(1)(i)	No	Subpart N requires proper maintenance of monitoring devices ex-	
		pected to be used by sources subject to subpart N.	
C2 0(a)(4)(ii)	No		
63.8(c)(1)(ii)	NO	§63.342(f)(3)(iv) of subpart N specifies reporting when the O&M	
		plan is not followed.	
63.8(c)(1)(iii)	No	§63.343(f)(2) identifies the criteria for whether O&M procedures are	
(/ (/ (/		acceptable.	
63.8(c)(2)-(3)	No		
		§63.344(d)(2) requires appropriate use of monitoring devices.	
63.8(c)(4)–(7)	No		
63.8(d)	No	Maintenance of monitoring devices is required by §§ 63.342(f) and	
• •		63.344(d)(2) of subpart N.	
62.9(a)	No		
63.8(e)	INO	There are no performance evaluation procedures for the monitoring	
	1	devices expected to be used to comply with subpart N.	
63.8(f)(1)	Yes		
63.8(f)(2)	No	Instances in which the Administrator may approve alternatives to the	
/ //=/		monitoring methods and procedures of subpart N are contained in	
		§63.343(c)(8) of subpart N.	
00.0(0/0)		903.343(C)(O) OI SUDPAIL IN.	
63.8(f)(3)	Yes		
63.8(f)(4)	Yes		
63.8(f)(5)	Yes		
63.8(f)(6)	No	Subpart N does not require the use of CEM's.	
63.8(g)	No	Monitoring data does not need to be reduced for reporting purposes	
00.0(g)	140	because subpart N requires measurement once/day.	
00.0(-)	V	because subpart in requires measurement once/day.	
63.9(a)	Yes		
63.9(b)(1)(i)–(ii)	No	§63.343(a)(3) of subpart N requires area sources to comply with	
		major source provisions if an increase in HAP emissions causes	
		them to become major sources.	
63.9(b)(1)(iii)	No	§63.347(c)(2) of subpart N specifies initial notification requirements	
03.3(b)(1)(iii)	140	for new or reconstructed affected sources.	
63.9(b)(2)	No	§63.347(c)(1) of subpart N specifies the information to be contained	
		in the initial notification.	
63.9(b)(3)	No	§63.347(c)(2) of subpart N specifies notification requirements for	
		new or reconstructed sources that are not major affected sources.	
63.9(b)(4)	No	,	
63.9(b)(5)	No		
		TI: 1 1 1 (((0.00.0(*)/4) // 1.000.0(*)/0)** (
63.9(c)	Yes	This paragraph only references "§63.6(i)(4) through §63.6(i)(6)" for	
		compliance extension provisions. But, §63.343(a)(6) of subpart N also contains provisions for requesting a compliance extension Subpart N provides a different timeframe for submitting the request than §63.6(i)(4).	
63 0(4)	Voc		
63.9(d)	Yes	This paragraph only references "the notification dates established in	
		paragraph (g) of this section." But, §63.347 of subpart N also	
		contains notification dates.	
63.9(e)	No	Notification of performance test is required by §63.347(d) of subpart	
. ,		N.	
63.9(f)	No	'"	
		Outrood N. door and assuring a marketing state of	
63.9(g)	No	Subpart N does not require a performance evaluation or relative ac-	
		curacy test for monitoring devices.	
63.9(h)(1)–(3)	No	§ 63.347(e) of subpart N specifies information to be contained in the	
		notification of compliance status and the timeframe for submitting	
		this information.	
63 0/b)/5)	No		
63.9(h)(5)	No	Similar language has been incorporated into §63.347(e)(2)(iii) of	
	l	subpart N.	
63.9(h)(6)	Yes		
m	Yes		
63.9(1)	Yes		
	100		
63.9(j)	Vac		
63.9(j)	Yes		
63.9(j)	Yes Yes		
63.10(a)		§63.346(b) of subpart N specifies the records that must be main-	
63.10(a)	Yes	§63.346(b) of subpart N specifies the records that must be maintained.	
63.9(j) 63.10(a) 63.10(b)(1) 63.10(b)(2)	Yes No	tained.	
63.9(j) 63.10(a) 63.10(b)(1) 63.10(b)(2) 63.10(b)(3)	Yes No	tained. Subpart N applies to major and area sources.	
63.9(j)	Yes No	tained.	

Environmental Protection Agency, EPA

Pt. 63, Subpt. N, Table 1

Table 1 to Subpart N of Part 63—General Provisions Applicability to Subpart N—Continued

General provisions reference	Applies to sub- part N	Comment
63.10(d)(1)	Yes	
63.10(d)(2)	No	§ 63.347(f) of subpart N specifies the timeframe for reporting performance test results.
63.10(d)(3)	No	Subpart N does not contain opacity or visible emissions standards.
63.10(d)(4)	Yes	
63.10(d)(5)	No	§63.342(f)(3)(iv) and §63.347(g)(3) of subpart N specify reporting associated with malfunctions.
63.10(e)	No	§63.347(g) and (h) of subpart N specify the frequency of periodic reports of monitoring data used to establish compliance. Applicable requirements of §63.10(e) have been incorporated into §63.347(g) and (h).
63.10(f)	Yes	
63.11	No Yes	Flares will not be used to comply with the emission limits.

[60 FR 4963, Jan. 25, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 27787, June 3, 1996]